

**SECTION 1**  
**THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA**

<b>TAB 1.1</b>	<b>The State Bar of California – A Judicial Branch Agency</b>
<b>TAB 1.2</b>	<b>Strategic Planning</b>
<b>TAB 1.3</b>	<b>Staffing</b>

## **TAB 1.1**

### **THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA – A JUDICIAL BRANCH AGENCY**

#### **Article 1 THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA**

*Section 1 Statutes*

*Section 2 Mission*

*Section 3 Goals*

*Section 4 Offices of the State Bar of California*

*Section 5 California Bar Journal*

#### **Article 2 DIVERSITY PRINCIPLES**

*Section 1 Elimination of Bias Resolution*

*Section 2 Prohibition Against Use of Discriminatory Facilities*

*Section 3 Discriminatory Membership Policies*

*Section 4 Discriminatory Practices and State Bar Members*

*Section 5 General Statement of Diversity Principles*

## Article 1 THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

### **Section 1    Statutes**

The State Bar of California is a statutory public corporation, which was established on July 29, 1927, and a Constitutional Agency in the Judicial Branch of state government. It was created as the “administrative assistant” or “adjunct” of the Supreme Court for the purpose of assisting the Court in matters pertaining to the admission and discipline of attorneys. It is *sui generis*, not an administrative board in the ordinary sense.

**(Source: Business & Professions Code Section 6001; Cal. Const. Art. VI, Section 9; In re Attorney Discipline (1998) 19 Cal 4th 582, 598-600.)**

All property of the State Bar is held for essential public and governmental purposes in the judicial branch of the government and such property is exempt from all taxes of the State or any city, county, district, public corporation or other political subdivision, public body or public agency.

**(Source: Business & Professions Code Section 6008.)**

Although the State Bar was originally a purely legislative creation, its unique nature has been recognized by the Legislature throughout the existence of the State Bar. The State Bar's special character further was emphasized when it became a constitutional body, placed within the judicial article of the California Constitution and thus expressly acknowledged to be an integral part of the judicial function.

**(Source: In re Attorney Discipline (1998) 19 Cal 4th 582, 599.)**

~~At least for state law purposes, the State Bar is a government entity.~~

~~(Source: Keller v. State Bar of California (1989) 47 Cal 3d 1152 (rev'd on other grounds); Keller v. State Bar of California (1990) 496 U.S. 1.)<sup>1</sup>~~

State laws restricting or prescribing a mode of procedure for the exercise of powers of state public bodies or state agencies, or classes thereof are not applicable to the State Bar, unless the legislature expressly so declares.

**(Business & Professions Code Section 6001.)**

---

<sup>1</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Strike language because it is an inaccurate summary of the California Supreme Court *Keller* case.

The members of the State Bar are all persons admitted and licensed to practice law in this State, except justices and judges of courts of record during their continuance in office.

**(Source: Business & Professions Code Section 6002.)**

Public protection is the highest priority for the State Bar in exercising its licensing, regulatory, and disciplinary functions. Whenever public protection is inconsistent with other interests sought to be promoted, public protection is paramount.

**(Source: Business and Professions Code Section 6001.1 (Added by Stats. 2011, c. 417 (S.B. 163).)**

## ***Section 2    Mission***

The purpose of the State Bar of California is to ensure that the people of California are served by the legal profession in a manner consistent with the highest standards of professional competence, care, and ethical conduct; to carry out such additional programs as may be required by law or by rule of court; and to contribute generally to the science of jurisprudence and the administration of justice to the extent and in a manner consistent with the First Amendment rights of its members.

**(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, August 1991, May 20, 1997 Planning Meeting, Board of Governors' Resolution July 11, 2008.)**

## ***Section 3    Goals***

The goals of the State Bar are:

- (a) Public Protection - The public is protected and served by attorneys and other legal service providers that meet the highest standards of competency and ethics.
- (b) Administration of Justice - The State Bar is recognized and respected as a contributing and accountable leader in improving the administration of justice and ensuring the rule of law in our civil society.
- (c) Member Services - The State Bar provides a wide array of services and benefits to members that meet their professional development, business, and personal needs.
- (d) State Bar Administration - The State Bar obtains and uses resources effectively and efficiently to support all aspects of its operations and demonstrates the cost effectiveness of State Bar services and activities

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, August 1991, September 1996, amended January 2002, July 11, 2008.)

#### **Section 4    *Offices of The State Bar of California***

Unless otherwise ordered by the board, the main office of the State Bar must be maintained in San Francisco and a branch office in Los Angeles.

A petition, notice, or other document required by these rules to be filed with the State Bar or served on the board must be filed at the main office.

(Source: State Bar Rule 6.91 adopted effective May 16, 2008.)

#### **Historical Note**

This rule supersedes Article X, § 1 of the Rules and Regulations of the State Bar of California (Offices of the State Bar), adopted effective December 4, 1999; repealed May 16, 2008.

#### **Section 5    *California Bar Journal***

The California Bar Journal is the official publication of the State Bar of California and is distributed monthly to every member of the State Bar. The editorial staff of the California Bar Journal has the primary responsibility for determining the editorial content to be published in the California Bar Journal. Oversight of the editorial staff and publication resides with the bar's Executive Director, and the designated Board Committee and ultimately, the Board of Trustees. Copies of the California Bar Journal's editorial policy are available from the office of the California Bar Journal.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution May 1994.)

## **Article 2**

### **DIVERSITY PRINCIPLES**

#### ***Section 1    Elimination of Bias Resolution***

**Whereas** the Board of Trustees is undergoing governance changes to enhance and ensure that public protection is the highest priority in the licensing, regulation and discipline of attorneys; and

**Whereas** the State Bar's mission, vision and goals have supported a justice system reflecting the diversity of the State and the elimination of bias in the profession;

**NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED**, that the Board of Trustees hereby reaffirms its commitment to and support of effective policies and activities to enhance access, fairness and diversity in the legal profession and the elimination of bias in the practice of law, consistent with limitations on the expenditure of mandatory dues.

**(Source: Board of Trustees Resolution July 20, 2012)**

#### **Historical Note**

Regarding Governance Changes – On October 2, 2011, Governor Brown signed SB 163 (Evans) into law. This bill required the Board to complete and implement a five-year strategic plan that is to be updated every two years and requires the Board president to report annually to the Supreme Court, the Governor, and the Senate and Assembly Judiciary Committees on the steps taken to implement that strategic plan and to indicate any measures that the Board will need to take in the upcoming years to address the projected needs contained in the plan.

The Board of Trustees met on January 6 and 7, 2012, to discuss the future of the State Bar, the future's implications to the Bar's public protection mission, the organization of the practice of law and lawyers' sense of professional responsibility and identity. The Board discussed the State Bar's core functions and considered operational initiatives and concrete actions that would inform the development of the Five-Year Strategic Plan.

On February 10, 2012, and upon the recommendation of the Board Planning, Program Development, and Budget Committee, the Board adopted the Five-Year Strategic Plan

#### ***Section 2    Prohibition Against Use Of Discriminatory Facilities***

- (a) The Board of Trustees wishes to assure that no attorney will be denied the opportunity to participate in any State Bar activities because of discrimination unrelated to professional competence and believes that participation in the activities of the State Bar should not be denied because of any discriminatory restrictions imposed by any facilities where such activities are held. State Bar funds should not be used to contribute in any way towards the support of any facilities which discriminate. It is the belief of the Board of Trustees that no private club having the benefit

of a liquor license should restrict its membership or the use of its facilities on the basis of color, race, sex, religion, national origin, ancestry, physical handicap, age, medical condition, marital status or sexual preference.

- (b) No meetings of the State Bar, or any sections or committees of the State Bar, shall be held in any facilities which discriminate in the membership or availability of services on the basis of race, sex, religious creed, color, national origin, ancestry, physical handicap, age, medical condition, marital status, or sexual preference.
- (c) No member of the Board of Trustees, or other representative of the State Bar, will participate in any official or representative capacity as a representative of the State Bar in any functions held in such facilities, and the State Bar shall not cosponsor, promote, or otherwise participate in any way in any functions held in such facilities.
- (d) No funds of the State Bar will be expended to reimburse anyone for any expenses incurred in such facilities or in travel to or from functions held in such facilities.
- (e) The Board of Trustees urges other statewide and local legal organizations in California to adopt a policy prohibiting the holding of bar group functions in the facilities of clubs which engage in the above listed forms of discrimination, and notify such bar groups that the State Bar and its representatives will not participate in any way in any functions held in such facilities.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolutions, January 1981, December 1983.)

### ***Section 3 Discriminatory Membership Policies***

The State Bar of California shall not arrange any speaking engagements nor hold programs with or in any organization or club that maintains discriminatory membership policies, except with respect to changing those policies.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, January 1990.)

### ***Section 4 Discriminatory Practices And State Bar Members***

- (a) The Board of Trustees finds that invidious discrimination exists to an unacceptable degree, interferes with the administration of justice and, when committed by members of the State Bar, reduces public confidence in the integrity of the profession.
- (b) The legal profession and the State Bar of California should be on the forefront in the effort to eliminate invidious discrimination.

- (c) The Board of Trustees recommends that each member of the State Bar of California abstain from discriminatory conduct; at a minimum, each member of the State Bar should take it upon himself or herself to not discriminate against or threaten, harass, intimidate or denigrate any other person on the basis of race, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, religion, age or disability while engaged in the practice of law.
- (d) The Board of Trustees requests that all organized bar associations in California consider adoption of resolutions calling on attorneys to abstain from discriminatory conduct while engaged in the practice of law.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolutions, September 1992.)

## **Section 5    *General Statement Of Diversity Principles***

The State Bar of California and all signatories to these principles affirm our commitment to fostering diversity in the legal profession. Diversity is an inclusive concept and encompasses, without limitation, race, color, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity and expression, disability, religion, nationality, age, marital and familial status, as well as practice setting, geographical location, prior experience and length of time in practice. A commitment to diversity reflects the needs of the legal profession for the best minds to address the increasingly complex needs of diverse customers and communities. Greater diversity allows the legal profession to become more creative, effective and just. Diversity brings more varied perspectives, talents and interests to the practice of law and the administration of justice. Diversity is important to the success of law firms, corporate or government law departments, legal services programs, law schools, public service organizations, bar associations and every other organization that includes attorneys.

To facilitate diversity in the profession, the signatories pledge to encourage diversity by recruiting, mentoring and promoting attorneys to leadership positions within our respective organizations.

The Signatories will actively pursue inclusiveness in the recruitment of members. Toward this end, the signatories shall undertake to implement the following:

1. Recruiting diverse persons to participate in each of our organizations;
2. Mentoring and retaining diverse members in our organizations; and
3. Developing individuals for leadership positions by giving them challenging tasks and the opportunity to represent our entities in public appearances, educational programs, and other prominent roles.

Measuring Success: With the adoption of these principles, the State Bar of California will collect information, compile statistics, and disseminate information to their



membership, and will look to the law schools and community to encourage the commitment to diversity. Each year the State Bar of California will recognize the organizations (i.e. bar association, law firm, law school) that have made significant efforts to increase diversity.

**(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution July 2005.)**

***TAB 1.2***

**Strategic Planning**

*Section 1     The State Bar of California Five-Year Strategic Plan 2012-2016*

## **Section 1    *The State Bar of California Five-Year Strategic Plan 2012-2016***

On October 2, 2011, Governor Brown signed SB 163 (Evans) into law. This bill requires the Board to complete and implement a five-year strategic plan that is to be updated every two years and requires the Board president to report annually to the Supreme Court, the Governor, and the Senate and Assembly Judiciary Committees on the steps taken to implement that strategic plan and to indicate any measures that the Board will need to take in the upcoming years to address the projected needs contained in the plan.

The Board of Trustees met on January 6 and 7, 2012, to discuss the future of the State Bar, the future's implications to the Bar's public protection mission, the organization of the practice of law and lawyers' sense of professional responsibility and identity. The Board discussed the State Bar's core functions and considered operational initiatives and concrete actions that would inform the development of the Five-Year Strategic Plan.

On February 10, 2012, and upon the recommendation of the Board Planning, Program Development, and Budget Committee, the Board adopted the Five-Year Strategic Plan.

The State Bar submits its proposed final budget by February 15 annually, so that the budget can be reviewed and approved in conjunction with any bill that would authorize the imposition of membership dues. Going forward, in conjunction with submission of the proposed final budget, the Bar President will report to the Supreme Court, the Governor, and the Senate and Assembly Judiciary Committees on the measures the Board has taken to implement the strategic plan and would indicate the measures the Board will need to take in the remaining years of the strategic plan to address projected needs contained in the strategic plan.

Adoption of the proposed Five-Year Strategic Plan supersedes the July 2008 *Long Range Strategy* in its entirety.

To comply with Business and Professions Code sections 6001.2 and 6140.12 the State Bar's Board of Trustees annually holds a planning session to review the State Bar's progress in implementing its strategic plan and to consider and propose other measures to enhance its mission of public protection. As a result, the full Board, instead of only 7 its members as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6001.2, participates in the development of the measures and recommendations under both statutes.

At its January 23-24, 2015 Planning Meeting, the Board of Trustees began the discussion for producing draft strategic goals for the forthcoming 2016 Five-Year Strategic Plan. The Trustees left the session with a list of strategic goals that served as the foundation for our continued planning process and resulted in the completed *State Bar of California 2015 Strategic Planning Goals and Objectives* that was adopted on July 24, 2015.

In keeping with the policy-oriented focus of the Board, the in-process *State Bar of California 2015 Strategic Planning Goals and Objectives* consists of high level goals and objectives, and measures as required by statute. It is the Chief Executive Officer's task to collaborate with staff to examine the implementation issues and proceed as appropriate, within existing resources, towards additional development of internal work/action plans and an ongoing identification of measures and scheduling of staff reporting to Trustees.

Adoption of the *State Bar of California 2015 Strategic Planning Goals and Objectives* supersedes the 2012 Strategic Plan in its entirety

**(Source: Board of Governors' Resolutions August 2002, July 2008; Board of Trustees Resolutions February 2012, July 2015.)**

#### **Historical Note**

In December 2001, the State Bar of California's Interim Strategic Plan was adopted by the then Board of Governors on January 25, 2002. In May 2002, the Board Planning, Program Development, and Budget Committee ("PPDB") authorized staff to circulate the Interim Strategic Plan for a further public comment period, pursuant to the provisions of section 3 of the Procedures for Adoption Amendment, or Repeal of State Bar Regulations. On August 24, 2002, the Board adopted the *State Bar of California's Long-Range Strategic Plan and related Planning Policies* to institutionalize and guide future planning activities of the State Bar of California.

In 2007, pursuant to one of the State Auditor's recommendations, State Bar finance staff developed and implemented a new State Bar budget development process that linked departmental budgets to departmental plans. The 2008 budget aligned the Bar's departmental and functional structures and presented basic workload and performance information in the Bar's major program areas. With the 2009 budget, staff continued to develop and report performance measures and activity measures for the Bar's major programs. The proposed Long Range Strategy set out the principal goals by functional areas, and linked them to the Bar's internal organizational and programmatic structure, and to the budget process.

In 2008, the *Long Range Strategy* document was created by revisiting existing strategic planning documents in order to simplify and clarify the structure, as well as reflect the noteworthy and important values articulated in the existing plan. The intended goals for revising the Strategic Plan were to:

- Provide for both a Long Range Strategy and a year-to-year Special Focus Areas (i.e., Board Annual Planning Session);
- Articulate both a vision and operational strategies that can be implemented;
- Separate big-picture Board-level strategy (Vision/Policy) from administrative detail;
- Continue to integrate the planning and budget processes.

Business and Professions Code section 6140.12 (added Stats. 2011, ch. 417, § 47) requires that the State Bar of California's Board of Trustees complete and implement a five-year strategic plan to be updated every two years and that each year by February 15, the State Bar president's report to the Supreme Court, the Governor, and the Senate and Assembly Committees on Judiciary on the measures the board has taken to implement

the strategic plan and those measures the board will need to take in the remaining years of the strategic plan. Business and Professions Code section 6001.2 (added Stats. 2011, ch. 417, § 2.5) also requires that the State Bar, commencing in 2014 and every three years thereafter, submit by May 15 a report on its measures and recommendations for enhancing the protection of the public and ensuring that protection of the public is its highest priority. To comply with both these provisions, the State Bar's Board of Trustees annually holds a planning session to review the State Bar's progress in implementing its strategic plan and to consider and propose other measures to enhance its mission of public protection, including proposals to ensure access to justice and diversity in the justice system consistent with that mission. As a result, the full Board, instead of only 7 its members as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6001.2, participates in the development of the measures and recommendations under both statutes.

## **TAB 1.3**

### **STAFFING<sup>1</sup>**

#### **Article 1 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

- Section 1 Selection*
- Section 2 Duties*
- Section 3 Administration of Personnel*
- Section 4 Executive Director's Financial Limitations Policy*
- Section 5 Relationship with the Board of Trustees*
- Section 6 Government Affairs Representative*
- Section 7 Secretary and General Counsel*
- Section 8 The Executive Director's Annual Performance Evaluation*

#### **Article 2 GENERAL COUNSEL**

- Section 1 Authority of the General Counsel*
- Section 2 The General Counsel's Annual Performance Evaluation*
- Section 3 Authorization to File Unlawful Detainer Actions*
- Section 4 Authorization to Arbitrate Under ICANN Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy*
- Section 5 Authorization to Seek Restraining Orders*
- Section 6 Pending Rules of Court: Technical Changes*
- Section 7 Who May Assert Claim of Privilege or Confidentiality*
- Section 8 Claim of Confidentiality – General*
- Section 9 Claim of Confidentiality in a Civil Cause Against The State Bar*

#### **Article 3 CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL**

- Section 1 Relationship with the Executive Director*
- Section 2 Appointment, Term, Qualifications*
- Section 3 The Chief Trial Counsel's Annual Performance Evaluation*

#### **Article 4 LINES OF AUTHORITY**

- State Bar of California Lines of Authority Policy Statement*
- Governance Responsibility and Delegation of Management Functions*

#### **Article 5 HUMAN RESOURCES**

- Section 1 Authority*
- Section 2 Personnel Actions*
- Section 3 Involuntary Termination of Employment*
- Section 4 Fiscal Review*
- Section 5 Personnel Impact Analysis*

---

<sup>1</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Non-substantive changes throughout Tab 1.3 to correct typos and provide grammatical consistency.

## Article 1 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

### **Section 1    Selection**

~~The Board of Trustees hires the executive director of the State Bar. The Board as a whole has the authority to hire, supervise, and fire the Executive Director, and may do so through its designated leadership of Board President or other designate.~~

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolutions, May 20, 1997, May 2004.)<sup>2, 3</sup>

### **Section 2    Duties**

The ~~executive~~ Executive director-Director works with the Board Executive Committee to develop and update a description of the executive director's job duties which is adopted by the Board. This description of duties is updated regularly and includes the following:

Support for the Board, including playing an active role in supporting the Board's standing committees and assisting the Board in developing its leadership capability.

External Relations, including speaking on behalf of the State Bar in public forums and maintaining key external relationships.

Strategic Planning and Program Development, including making sure that the State Bar advances its strategic planning process.

Internal Operations and Systems Development, including making sure the State Bar's administrative structure and processes are well developed enough to support high quality operations, making sure there is adequate administrative support, and making sure appropriate direction is provided to staff and consultants employed by the State Bar.

Individual Professional Development, including making sure that the ~~executive~~ Executive director's-Director's professional standing and developmental needs are given serious consideration.

Authorizes issuance of certificates of recognition and/or proclamations bearing the President's signature or, if appropriate, that of the requesting Board member, to appropriate persons, groups or staff as long as such requests are made in writing at least three weeks prior to their presentation.

---

<sup>2</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Language revised to update according to current board policy.

<sup>3</sup> Phase II proposed change (Colantuono): Remove language re designate.

The Executive Director or his or her designee is authorized by the Board of Trustees to execute conflict of interest waivers.

(Source: Board of Governors; Resolution Adopting in Principle The Strategic Leadership Action Report, October 21, 2001; Board of Governors' Resolutions October 24, 1987, June 2006, November 2007.)

### ***Section 3 Administration of Personnel***

Personnel administration shall reside exclusively in the Executive Director, except that the Executive Director will advise the Board Executive Committee of any material change to the terms and conditions of employment or performance of any senior executive. The Executive Director shall be advised and assisted by the Office of Human Resources in the administration of personnel.

The Executive Director shall be guided by The Rules & Regulations for the Administration of Employer-Employee Relations, the Memoranda of Understanding, The Rules & Regulations Pertaining to the Employment of Executive Staff, and The Rules & Regulations Pertaining to the Employment of Confidential Employees in the administration of personnel.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolutions May 20, 1997, September 2004, January 9, 2010.)

### ***Section 4 Executive Director's Financial Limitations Policy***

The Executive Director shall manage the financial affairs of the State Bar of California in an ethical and prudent fashion:

The Executive Director shall avoid financial conditions that would result in fiscal jeopardy to, or disruption of, the programmatic integrity of the State Bar's services and activities.

The Executive Director shall establish a financially prudent fund reserve in the State Bar's budget.

The Executive Director shall establish a financially prudent technology improvement fund in the State Bar's budget.

The Executive Director shall base salaries, contracts, and other expenditures on appropriate business considerations of the fair market value or cost of such transactions.

The Executive Director shall establish an annual budget within the limitation that expenditure shall not exceed revenue and available funds and present this budget and its rationale to the Board of Trustees.



The Executive Director shall annually establish a three year financial plan and present this plan and its rationale to the Board of Trustees.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution November 2000.)

### **Section 5 Relationship with the Board of Trustees**

- (a) The ~~E~~executive ~~D~~irector is appointed by and is directly accountable to the Board of Trustees as a whole. The ~~E~~executive ~~D~~irector under no circumstances reports to either an individual volunteer president/board chair or any other individual officer of the Board or to a particular board committee.
- (b) The Board's domain is proactive, strategic leadership and governance at the highest level rather than programmatic or operational details. The ~~E~~executive ~~D~~irector takes individual responsibility for helping the Board realize its leadership potential and, with the Board focused on high level leadership, need not encounter Board activity in exercising appropriate executive prerogatives in connection with the operation of the institution.
- (c) The Board Executive Committee is the committee which administers the development and administration of the ~~E~~executive ~~D~~irector/~~B~~board relationship. The Board Executive Committee's functions in this regard include:
  - (1) Designing and overseeing the process of recruiting the ~~executive~~ Executive director ~~Director~~ in the event of a vacancy.
  - (2) Assuring that the ~~executive~~ Executive director's ~~Director's~~ job duties are regularly updated to ensure completeness, accuracy and the appropriate "fit" with the organization and its needs.
  - (3) Developing, with the ~~executive~~ Executive director ~~Director~~, specific leadership targets and goals and evaluating the ~~executive~~ Executive director's ~~Director's~~ performance against those goals.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution Adopting in Principle The Strategic Leadership Action Report, October 21, 2001.)

### **Section 6 Government Affairs Representative**

The State Bar's Government Affairs representative(s) and the Office of Government Affairs shall be subject to the executive and administrative authority of the Executive Director in like manner as other Executive Staff.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, September 2004.)

## **Section 7    Secretary and General Counsel**

- (a) The Secretary and General Counsel, and the respective offices of each, shall be subject to the executive and administrative authority of the Executive Director in like manner as other Executive Staff, regarding operational issues including, personnel administration, budget and facilities.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, May 20, 1997; Board of Trustees Resolution, January 16, 2015.)

- (b) The Executive Director advises the Board on the selection and performance of the Secretary and General Counsel, but the Board hires and terminates those employees.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, May 20, 1997; Board of Trustees Resolution, January 16, 2015.)

## **Section 8    The Executive Director's Annual Performance Evaluation**

The Executive Director of the State Bar is subject to an annual performance evaluation. The review shall be conducted by a Board Committee designated by the Board, currently the Board Executive Committee. The State Bar's Office of Human Resources and the State Bar's ~~Deputy Executive Director~~ Chief Operations Officer<sup>4</sup> shall assist the designated Board Committee in this process.

- (a) Evaluation Criteria

The Executive Director's evaluation is conducted based on performance criteria and competencies and on goals and objectives set by the designated Board Committee. The designated Board Committee sets the Executive Director performance targets and evaluates progress in achieving these targets. The performance criteria and competencies for evaluation are: Champions the State Bar's Mission, Achieves Strategic Plan Priorities and Positions the State Bar Effectively with Constituents; Manages Effectively and Strengthens the Executive Management of the State Bar; Sustains and Enhances Board and Constituency Relations; Provides Strong Fiscal Management; and Leadership and Preparation of the Organization for the Future.

- (b) Confidentiality

The meetings of the designated Board Committee and all information obtained in the course of the evaluation shall be confidential and shall not be disclosed except as otherwise provided in this article. Sufficient disclosure shall be made to the Executive Director to assure a full opportunity to respond

---

<sup>4</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Language updated.

to evaluation material. The identity of the persons providing evaluation material shall not be disclosed.

(c) Timetable and Procedures

Each year, the Board sets the timetable and procedures for conducting the Executive Director's annual performance evaluation. The committee shall meet with the Executive Director as soon as practical in the board year to discuss the performance standards, goals, procedures and timetable. The Board Committee shall set the procedures and meetings during the board year to assure a full and fair evaluation, and shall present its report to the Board in executive session.

**(Source: Board of Governors' Resolutions, March 2002, March 2003, March 2004; July 2011.)**

## **Article 2 GENERAL COUNSEL**

### ***Section 1    Authority of the General Counsel***

The Board of Trustees hereby reaffirms that the Office of General Counsel is and continues to be the designated legal counsel to the State Bar as an entity, including the State Bar's subentities, subject to the direction of the Board of Trustees and its Board Executive Committee or the committee designated by the Board to review legal matters. As legal counsel for the State Bar itself, the General Counsel under the rules of professional conduct, represents the State Bar as an entity, acting through the Board of Trustees, its highest ~~authorized~~authorized body except as otherwise required by Rule of Professional Conduct 3-600. Legal advice to the State Bar as an institution, including its subentities and programs, shall in all instances be rendered only by the Office of General Counsel, except where outside counsel is retained for specific purposes in compliance with existing protocols for the retention of outside counsel.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolutions, June 2000, January 9, 2010. Board of Trustees Resolution January 16, 2015.)

### ***Section 2    The General Counsel's Annual Performance Evaluation***

The General Counsel is subject to an annual performance review by both the Executive Director and the Board. The Board Executive Committee has been designated in conjunction with the Executive Director to conduct the General Counsel's annual performance evaluation and report to the Board. The State Bar's Office of Human Resources ~~and the State Bar's Deputy Executive Director~~<sup>5</sup> will assist the Board Executive Committee in this process

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolutions July 2004, July 11, 2008, January 9, 2010; Board of Trustees Resolutions February 2012; January 16, 2015; July 2015.)

### ***Section 3    Authorization to File Unlawful Detainer Actions***

The General Counsel, or his or her designee, is authorized to initiate unlawful detainer actions against State Bar tenants and sub-tenants upon determination that such actions are appropriate and necessary to protect the State Bar's real property interests and where the action at issue will not result in serious political or operational consequences for the Bar. The General Counsel shall inform the Board Executive Committee, or the committee designated by the Board to review legal matters, in confidential reports at its regularly scheduled meetings of any unlawful detainer actions filed and the status of these actions.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, June 2003.)

---

<sup>5</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Language revised to update according to current board policy.

#### ***Section 4 Authorization to Arbitrate Under ICANN Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy***

The General Counsel is authorized to initiate Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) administrative and arbitration proceedings under the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP) upon a determination that such actions are appropriate and necessary to protect the State Bar's trademarks, service marks, and certification marks and where the action at issue will not result in serious political or operational consequences for the Bar. The General Counsel or her designee shall report to the Board Executive Committee on any ICANN-UDRP administrative and arbitration proceedings.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, Oct 2004.)

#### ***Section 5 Authorization to Seek Restraining Orders***

The General Counsel is authorized to seek restraining orders upon a determination that such actions are appropriate and necessary to protect the State Bar's employees and where the action at issue will not result in serious political or operational consequences for the Bar. The General Counsel or her designee shall report to the Board Executive Committee on any petitions filed seeking restraining orders and the status of such actions.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, May 2005.)

#### ***Section 6 Pending Rules of Court: Technical Changes***

General Counsel is authorized to confer and negotiate with the staff of the Supreme Court with respect to any necessary technical changes to pending Rules of Court previously submitted for approval and adoption by the Supreme Court.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolutions, December 1992.)

#### ***Section 7 Who May Assert Claim of Privilege or Confidentiality***

Any officer of the State Bar is authorized to assert in the name of the State Bar any claim of privilege or confidentiality that might be asserted by the State Bar, including the privilege against disclosing official information contained in Evidence Code section 1040.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, September 1977.)

#### ***Section 8 Claim of Confidentiality - Generally***

**The** General Counsel, or his or her designee, is authorized to appear on behalf of the State Bar, its officers, employees and agents and take such steps as in his or her judgment he or she deems appropriate for the purpose of preserving the confidentiality

of privileged State Bar matters, except that General Counsel is authorized to disclose and permit others to disclose confidential matters, but only to the extent necessary and relevant in his or her judgment to the presentation of such matters.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, September 1977.)

***Section 9 Claim of Confidentiality in a Civil Cause Against The State Bar***

In a civil cause against the State Bar or its officers, employees or agents brought by a person for alleged grievances arising out of an admission, discipline or reinstatement matter, that counsel for the State Bar or for its officers, employees or agents is authorized to disclose otherwise confidential documents or information if relevant to said civil cause in the opinion of counsel for the State Bar and put into issue or otherwise referred to in said cause by the person.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, September 1977.)

## Article 3 CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

### ***Section 1    Relationship with the Executive Director***

The ~~E~~xecutive ~~D~~irector shall bring his or her choice for Chief Trial Counsel (subject to Senate confirmation) to the board for approval. The Chief Trial Counsel shall report to the Board of Trustees discipline system oversight committee consistent with Business & Professions Code Section 6079.5 on substantive issues pertaining to the discipline enforcement system. The Chief Trial Counsel and the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel shall otherwise be subject to the executive and administrative authority of the Executive Director in like manner as other executive staff.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, May 20, 1997, March 2002, March 2003, March 2004, Business and Professions Code § 6079.15.)<sup>6</sup>

### ***Section 2    Appointment, Term, Qualifications***

The rules governing the appointment, the term, and the qualifications of the chief trial counsel are described in Business & Professions Code section 6079.5 (a). (b) ~~(see State Bar Act, Appendix 3e).~~<sup>7</sup>

(Source: Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6079.5 (a) and (b).)

### ***Section 3    The Chief Trial Counsel's Annual Performance Evaluation***

Under Board policy, the Executive Director is responsible for evaluating the performance of all State Bar staff. The Chief Trial Counsel position is unique. Under Business & Professions Code Section 6079.5, the Board appoints the Chief Trial Counsel who serves for a term of four years. The Board has delegated to the Executive Director the selection of the Chief Trial Counsel which is then acted upon by the Board. The appointment is subject to the confirmation of the State Senate. The Chief Trial Counsel, by statute, reports to the discipline system oversight committee of the Board and, in connection with the exercise of prosecutorial discretion, does not report to the Executive Director<sup>8</sup>.

At the recommendation of the Executive Director, because of the uniqueness of the Chief Trial Counsel position, the Board, through its Regulation and Discipline and Board Executive Committees, has undertaken the responsibility for conducting the Chief Trial

---

<sup>6</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Cite corrected.

<sup>7</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Cite corrected.

<sup>8</sup> Consistent with statutory authority, the Chief Trial Counsel reports to the discipline system oversight committee and is independent of the Executive Director with respect to the exercise of the Chief Trial Counsel's prosecutorial discretion. The Chief Trial Counsel is subject to the Executive Director's administration of personnel, budget, and facilities support functions which the Executive Director executes in conformity with Board policy.

Counsel's evaluation. Recognizing the Executive Director's institutional role in selecting the Chief Trial Counsel, the Chief Trial Counsel's role as a senior member of the Executive Director's management team, and the responsibility of the Executive Director for institutional oversight and administration of personnel, budget, and facilities support functions of the State Bar as a whole, including the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, the Executive Director sits as a non-voting member of the Board Executive Committee in connection with the Chief Trial Counsel's review. The Chair of the Board Committee on Regulation and Discipline chairs the review committee.

(a) Evaluation Criteria

The Chief Trial Counsel's evaluation is conducted based on performance criteria and competencies and on goals and objectives set by the designated Board Committee. The designated Board Committee annually sets the Chief Trial Counsel's performance targets and annually evaluates progress in achieving these targets. The performance criteria and competencies for evaluation include: job skills, technological proficiency, quality performance, quantity of work, leadership, teamwork and collaboration, public protection commitment, communication, fiscal accountability, compliance with policies and procedures, strategic perspective/planning, managing change, managing and developing period, interpersonal/conflict resolution skills, valuing diversity.

**I. Performance Criteria I: OCTC Backlog Management**

- A. Progress reducing Notice Open inventory (2011 Goal TBD).
- B. Progress reducing investigative backlog (2011 Goal TBD).
- C. Progress sustaining or improving OCTC productivity in
  - 1. Resolution of matters in Intake.
  - 2. Resolution of Investigations.
  - 3. Resolution of Notice Open matters.
- D. Progress in reducing average time from date of NDC filing to resolution.

**II. Performance Criteria II: Overall Indicators of OCTC Efficiency and Effectiveness**

- A. Success in meeting annual budget targets (2011 Goal TBD).
- B. Achievement of cost savings (2011 Goal TBD).
- C. Litigation results and effectiveness, as shown by
  - 1. Effective use of settlement as a tool of resolution
    - a. Number of settlements<sup>9</sup>
    - b. Number of settlements in which discipline sought was substantially obtained.

---

<sup>9</sup> To be used as a baseline, not a standalone positive indicator



2. Success rate at trial
  - a. Number of trials<sup>10</sup>
  - b. Number of trials in which none of the charges in the NDC were sustained
  - c. Number of trials in which the charges in the NDC were substantially sustained
3. Positive year-to-year “dashboard” trends in overall case-processing statistics and case cycle times, taking into account on an annual basis at least the following
  - a. Number of Complaints received<sup>11</sup>
  - b. Year-end number of open Investigations
  - c. Number of cases resolved upon completion of Investigation, with no NDC filed
  - d. Year-end number of Notice Open matters
  - e. Number of NDCs filed
  - f. Number of cases resolved following filing of NDC
  - g. Within cases resolved following filing of NDC,
    - (i) Number of cases withdrawn or dismissed
    - (ii) Number of cases resolved by settlement
    - (iii) Number of cases resolved by pretrial adjudication
    - (iv) Number of cases tried
  - h. Total number of cases resolved at any point following filing of Complaint
  - i. Speed of resolution
    - (i) Average investigation time (time from filing of Complaint to completion of Investigation)
    - (ii) Average Notice Open time (time from completion of Investigation to filing of NDC)
    - (iii) Average prosecution time (time from filing of NDC to resolution)
    - (iv) Average total case cycle time (time from filing of Complaint to resolution)
  - j. Year-end inventory of unresolved cases (i.e. open matters)
  - k. Average costs per case
    - (i) Average costs per case from date of filing of Complaint to Notice Open date
    - (ii) Average costs per case from Notice Open date to resolution.
    - (iii) Total average costs per case
4. Case administration: Affected Party Notification Practices
  - a. Complainants appropriately advised of the status of their matters.

---

<sup>10</sup> To be used as a baseline, not a standalone positive indicator

<sup>11</sup> To be used as a baseline, not a standalone positive indicator

- b. Respondent attorneys timely notified of the status of the charges against them.
- 5. Number and nature of orders from the Supreme Court directing that OCTC's resolution of a case be re-opened, re-examined or reconsidered
- 6. Number and nature of cases in which the State Bar Court declined to accept a resignation with charges pending that was recommended by OCTC
- 7. Number and nature of complaints received against the discipline system from dissatisfied participants in the system.

### **III. Performance Criteria III: Leadership and Management Skills**

- A. Quality of judgment shown in exercise of prosecutorial discretion.
- B. Success in establishing reputation for integrity, highest standards of ethics, and strong commitment to public protection.
- C. Timeliness, clarity and effectiveness of reporting to RAD and BOT.
- D. Quality of reputation with and ability to inspire confidence in key external stakeholders (i.e. the Legislature, the Supreme Court, the State Bar Court).
- E. Development, maintenance and administration of written policies designed to ensure just and uniform handling and resolution of all cases (e.g. charging guidelines, guidelines for settlement).
- F. Quality of relationship with ADDC (Association of Disciplinary Defense Counsel).
- G. Timeliness and thoroughness of response to issues raised in audits of OCTC.
- H. Clarity and utility of OCTC written communications (e.g. Discipline Report).
- I. Willingness and ability to adopt innovative approaches to management of OCTC (e.g. new approaches to cutting case backlog and expediting preparation NDCs).
- J. Outreach and education efforts directed to the legal community.
- K. Effectiveness as a manager
  - 1. Establishing and communicating office priorities and core values.
  - 2. Efficiency and effectiveness in balancing time spent in Los Angeles office vs. San Francisco office.
  - 3. Delegation to others.
  - 4. Supervising, evaluating and motivating assigned subordinates.
  - 5. Obtaining maximum productivity and cooperation from and among staff.
  - 6. Team-building and promoting good office morale.
  - 7. Planning and effectuating change within the office.

8. Teamwork and collaboration in relations with Senior Staff peers.
9. Commitment to appropriate level of transparency in decision-making.
10. Training and mentoring.
11. Personal organization and temperament.

#### **IV. Performance Criteria IV: Public Communications**

- A. OCTC Messaging effectiveness (i.e. publicly communicating OCTC successes).
- B. Level of skill as chief spokesman for the Bar on disciplinary matters.
- C. Willingness and ability to use different types of media outlets (including traditional as well as new media) in OCTC messaging.

### **EVALUATION PROCEDURE**

The Regulation and Discipline Committee is chaired by the RAD Chair in conducting the Chief Trial Counsel's Evaluation. The Executive Director sits as a full member of the evaluation committee during the CTC evaluation process. The Office of Human Resources, ~~under the Direction of the Deputy Executive Director,~~<sup>12</sup> has staffed and provided administrative assistance in conducting the Chief Trial Counsel's reviews in the past, subject to strict confidentiality requirements. The Office of Human Resources is available to the RAD Committees for this purpose in connection with this review process as well.

(b) Confidentiality

The meetings of the designated Board Committee and all information obtained in the course of the evaluation shall be confidential and shall not be disclosed except as otherwise provided in this article. Sufficient disclosure shall be made to the Chief Trial Counsel to assure a full opportunity to respond to evaluation material. The identity of the persons providing evaluation material shall not be disclosed.

---

<sup>12</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Language updated.

(c) Timetable and Procedures

Each year, the Board sets the timetable and procedures for conducting the Chief Trial Counsel's annual performance evaluation. The committee shall meet with the Executive Director as soon as practical in the board year to discuss the performance standards, goals, procedures and timetable. The Board Committee shall set the procedures and meetings during the board year to assure a full and fair evaluation, and shall present its report to the Board in executive session at the July meeting.

**(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, March 2002, March 2003, March 2004, May 2011.)**

**Article 4**  
**LINES OF AUTHORITY**  
**State Bar of California**  
**Lines of Authority Policy Statement**  
**Governance Responsibility and Delegation of Management Functions**

The Board of Trustees has the fundamental fiduciary responsibility for the health and success of the State Bar of California, with a focused attention on the governing responsibilities for this organization. The governing functions are those that provide the essential direction, resources and structure needed to meet specific needs of our core constituencies: the public, the judiciary, and our lawyer-members. The governing functions are:

**Strategic Direction** – setting a direction for the State Bar of California that reflects needs of our constituencies and the mandate provided by the State of California.

**Resource Development** – developing adequate financial resources that support program activities defined within the organization's strategic plan.

**Financial Accountability** – ensuring that the financial resources of the organization are managed prudently and cost-effectively.

**Leadership Development** – developing the human resources that lead the organization today and in the future, the volunteer leadership structures and hiring of the organization's Executive Director.

The Board recognizes the value and need to hire professional staff to support this organization, and looks at staff members as critical partners in the organization's success. The Board recognizes the need to delegate to staff the management functions that ensure effective follow-through and implementation of the governance leadership of the Board. The management functions are:

**Program Planning and Implementation** – developing comprehensive plans for implementing the direction established by the Board, with a focus on both output and outcome delivery.

**Administration** – establishing the systems and procedures necessary to support the governance and management of the organization.

To support this delineation of responsibility and authority, the Board agrees to accept responsibility for organizational governance, and to delegate to the staff through its Executive Director the responsibility for organizational management. All planning and implementation strategies will strive to reinforce the appropriate roles of Board and staff, and will work to reinforce the effective integration of the governing and management functions.

## ***Oversight Role***

The Board will provide oversight to the management functions it has delegated, and will conduct regular reviews, audits and evaluations necessary to ensure fulfillment of the responsibility delegated to staff. This oversight role will be done in cooperation with the Executive Director and incorporated into the ongoing operations of the organization.

In addition, the Board will review and evaluate its own performance related to its governing responsibility on a regular basis to ensure the effectiveness of the Board. The Board Executive Committee will oversee these activities.

## ***Relationship to Executive Director***

To support the effective delegation of management authority to the staff, the Board will maintain its primary relationship with the staff through the Executive Director. The Board will provide such guidance and feedback to the Executive Director as may be needed to support her/his effective management leadership.

~~The Board will maintain an advise and consent function with the hiring of the Chief Trial Counsel and General Counsel. The Executive Director will make recommendations for hiring of the Chief Trial Counsel and General Counsel and dismissal of these positions to the but the Board for their final approval hires and terminates these employees. The Executive Director will be responsible for all other supervision and management functions related to the Chief Trial Counsel and General Counsel, while maintaining the prosecutorial and legal representation independence of these positions.~~<sup>13</sup>

The Executive Director will be responsible for further staff development issues, including hiring, supervision and firing of staff, and the delegation of responsibility for specific aspects of organizational management. The Board may provide advice to the Executive Director to support her/his management, but will not assume the role of managing other staff members unless there is a compelling reason to do so. Such a step would be considered a temporary and emergency action.

In consultation with the Board president, the Executive Director will assign staff members to provide support to the Board and its various functions. Every effort will be made to make these assignments to reflect the priorities of the strategic and operational plans. The board or committee leadership will provide direction to assigned staff to ensure fulfillment of these board and committee functions.

## ***Effective Communication***

The organization will make a distinction between various types of communication between Board and staff members. Communication between Board and staff with the purpose of providing direction or formalized feedback should funnel through the Board

---

<sup>13</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Language updated to be consistent with current board policy.

President, who has the primary responsibility for communicating direction and concerns to the Executive Director. Communication between Board and senior staff with the purpose of clarification and general understanding should have no limits or restrictions except as otherwise appropriate to respect time limitations and other professional relationship boundaries. Board member contact to staff other than senior staff should come through a prior referral from a senior staff member.

### ***Procedural Elements Defined or Identified for Development***

*Below are current procedures and several that could be developed to support the policy statement.*

#### **I. *Board Oversight Role:***

- a. ***Board Self Evaluation*** - Although no Board self-evaluation process exists, it is recommended that a process be developed and conducted annually led by the Board Executive Committee. This review would include both an evaluation of the overall effectiveness of the Board as a body (using assessments and discussions) and individual evaluations of board member performance (using self-evaluations, job descriptions and board contracts). The purpose of these evaluations are to strengthen the effectiveness of the entire Board of Trustees, and to give personalized feedback to the individual board members on their performance and how they may be able to best fulfill their responsibilities. The Board President will hold personal discussions with board members unable or unwilling to complete the evaluation process.

- b. ***Audit by the California State Auditor***<sup>14</sup> is a financial compliance and performance audit that is conducted every two years by state statute. The audit focuses on the finances, discipline system, and other issues (varying from year-to-year) determined by the auditor. In addition, the audit follows up on concerns and problems highlighted from previous audits, and does a general management review of key functions and investigates those issues

There are no management practices that are outside of the State Auditor's purview. The State Auditor may audit any function including the confidential and non-public files, including the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel and the Office of General Counsel.

The Board of the State Bar of California cannot provide direction to the State Auditor<sup>15</sup> to seek their review of key issues that the Board would like reviewed. The State Auditor function is designed " ...to be free from influence of existing state control agencies that could be the subject of audits."

---

<sup>14</sup> Cal Bus & Prof Code § 6145 (2007). (b) The board shall contract with the Bureau of State Audits to conduct a performance audit of the State Bar's operations from July 1, 2000, to December 31, 2000, inclusive. A copy of the performance audit shall be submitted by May 1, 2001, to the board, to the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, and to the Assembly and Senate Committees on Judiciary.

Every two years thereafter, the board shall contract with the Bureau of State Audits to conduct a performance audit of the State Bar's operations for the respective fiscal year, commencing with January 1, 2002, through December 31, 2002, inclusive. A copy of the performance audit shall be submitted within 120 days of the close of the fiscal year for which the audit was performed to the board, to the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, and to the Assembly and Senate Committees on Judiciary.

For the purposes of this subdivision, the Bureau of State Audits may contract with a third party to conduct the performance audit. This subdivision is not intended to reduce the number of audits the Bureau of State Audits may otherwise be able to conduct.

<sup>15</sup> To achieve this mandate, the bureau was organized to fulfill the independence requirements of the Government Auditing Standards issued by the U.S. Comptroller General. Specifically, the second general standard for government auditing states, in part:

"In all matters relating to the audit work, the audit organization and the individual auditors, whether government or public, should be free from personal and external impairments to independence, should be organizationally independent, and should maintain an independent attitude and appearance." (Chapter 3, page 4)



- c. A **financial audit** conducted by independent auditors annually, currently the firm of ~~Deloitte and Touche~~ Moss Adams<sup>16</sup>, reviewing management and governance practices to ensure their compliance with GASB, FASB and other accounting standards. The financial audit is also required by statute.<sup>17</sup>
- d. **Internal program review**, conducted on an annual basis and extensively every three years as part of the strategic planning process. The board “oversight” committee(s) using the performance measures developed and put in place by board and staff conducts the program review. Completion of this helps guide some key elements of the strategic planning process.

At its January 2004 Planning Session the State Bar Board of Governors focused on the next steps for putting in place the Board’s Strategic Management Process, a two-track effort to establish performance measures related to (1) the Board’s Long Range Strategic Plan, and (2) internal departmental operations overseen by the Executive Director.

A Staff Working Group on Performance Measures was established to guide the Performance Measures Project. To support the Track I effort, a series of issue meetings were scheduled to invite participation of Board members, advisory committee members, and staff in developing the Strategic Plan performance measures for the plan’s six goal areas: (1) Attorney Admission, Regulation and Discipline; (2) Member Services; (3) Access to Justice; (4) Stakeholder Relations; (5) Leadership and Accountability; and (6) Technology.

---

<sup>16</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Language updated.

<sup>17</sup> Cal Bus & Prof Code § 6145 (2007) provides that the board shall contract with an independent national or regional public accounting firm for an audit of its financial statement for each fiscal year beginning after December 31, 1998. The financial statement shall be promptly certified under oath by the Treasurer of the State Bar, and a copy of the audit and financial statement shall be submitted within 120 days of the close of the fiscal year to the board, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, and to the Assembly and Senate Committees on Judiciary.

The audit shall examine the receipts and expenditures of the State Bar and the State Bar sections, to assure that the receipts of the sections are being applied, and their expenditures are being made, in compliance with subdivision (a) of Section 6031.5, and that the receipts of the sections are applied only to the work of the sections.

The audit also shall examine the receipts and expenditures of the State Bar to ensure that the funds collected on behalf of the Conference of Delegates of California Bar Associations as the independent successor entity to the former Conference of Delegates of the State Bar are conveyed to that entity, that the State Bar has been paid or reimbursed for the full cost of any administrative and support services provided to the successor entity, including the collection of fees or donations on its behalf, and that no mandatory dues are being used to fund the activities of the successor entity.

**e. Five Year Strategic Plan (2012-2016)**

On October 2, 2011, Governor Brown signed SB 163 (Evans) into law. This bill requires the Board to complete and implement a five-year strategic plan that is to be updated every two years and requires the Board president to report annually to the Supreme Court, the Governor, and the Senate and Assembly Judiciary Committees on the steps taken to implement that strategic plan and to indicate any measures that the Board will need to take in the upcoming years to address the projected needs contained in the plan.

The Board of Trustees met on January 6 and 7, 2012, to discuss the future of the State Bar, the future's implications to the Bar's public protection mission, the organization of the practice of law and lawyers' sense of professional responsibility and identity. The Board discussed the State Bar's core functions and considered operational initiatives and concrete actions that would inform the development of the Five-Year Strategic Plan.

On February 10, 2012, and upon the recommendation of the Board Planning, Program Development, and Budget Committee, the Board adopted the Five-Year Strategic Plan.

The State Bar submits its proposed final budget by February 15 annually, so that the budget can be reviewed and approved in conjunction with any bill that would authorize the imposition of membership dues. Going forward, in conjunction with submission of the proposed final budget, the Bar President will report to the Supreme Court, the Governor, and the Senate and Assembly Judiciary Committees on the measures the Board has taken to implement the strategic plan and would indicate the measures the Board will need to take in the remaining years of the strategic plan to address projected needs contained in the strategic plan.

Adoption of the proposed Five-Year Strategic Plan supersedes the July 2008 *Long Range Strategy* in its entirety.

As noted above, Business and Professions Code section 6140.12 (added Stats. 2011, ch. 417, § 47) requires that the State Bar of California's Board of Trustees complete and implement a five-year strategic plan to be updated every two years and that each year by February 15, the State Bar president's report to the Supreme Court, the Governor, and the Senate and Assembly Committees on Judiciary on the measures the board has taken to implement the strategic plan and those measures the board will need to take in the remaining years of the strategic plan. Business and Professions Code section 6001.2 (added Stats. 2011, ch. 417, § 2.5) also requires that the State Bar, commencing in 2014 and every three years

thereafter, submit by May 15 a report on its measures and recommendations for enhancing the protection of the public and ensuring that protection of the public is its highest priority. To comply with both these provisions, the State Bar's Board of Trustees annually holds a planning session to review the State Bar's progress in implementing its strategic plan and to consider and propose other measures to enhance its mission of public protection, including proposals to ensure access to justice and diversity in the justice system consistent with that mission. As a result, the full Board, instead of only 7 its members as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6001.2, participates in the development of the measures and recommendations under both statutes.

At its January 23-24, 2015 Planning Meeting, the Board of Trustees began the discussion for producing draft strategic goals for the forthcoming 2016 Five-Year Strategic Plan. The Trustees left the session with a list of strategic goals that served as the foundation for a continued planning process and resulted in the completed *State Bar of California 2015 Strategic Planning Goals and Objectives* that was adopted on July 24, 2015.

In keeping with the policy-oriented focus of the Board, the *State Bar of California 2015 Strategic Planning Goals and Objectives* consists of high level goals and objectives, and measures as required by statute. It is the Chief Executive Officer's task to collaborate with staff to examine the implementation issues and proceed as appropriate, within existing resources, towards additional development of internal work/action plans and an ongoing identification of measures and scheduling of staff reporting to Trustees.

**SEE:** Board Book TAB 1.2.

- f. ***An Executive Director Performance Evaluation***, conducted annually, provides an analysis of the performance of the Executive Director and her ability to effectively implement the direction established by the Board. Input to the process is both quantitative, based on specific outcomes and outputs developed in the strategic and annual plans, and in the annual work plan of the Executive Director; and qualitative, providing personal feedback through a 360 analysis of the Executive Director among board members, staff members, core volunteers and other key constituents. The process is done more intensively every other year and abbreviated in the off years.

## II. **Relationship of Staff<sup>18</sup> to the Executive Director**

- a. **Executive Director** – The Executive Director has management and administrative authority for Chief Trial Counsel, General Counsel and other executive managers, while independence is granted for certain functions within these positions. Current policy is quite clear.

“The Executive Director administers staff and facilities of the State Bar subject to the direction and control of the Board of Trustees. . . [The Board] holds the State Bar’s ~~executive~~ Executive director Director accountable [for institutional] financial responsibility...The Secretary and General Counsel, and the respective offices of each, shall be subject to the executive and administrative authority of the Executive Director [Executive Director] in like manner as other senior executives.” (State Bar’s Administrative Manual, Division 8, Chapter 1, Article 1, Sections 1, 2 & 8.) The Executive Director will advise Board Executive Committee of any material change to the terms and conditions of employment or performance of any senior executive.

All personnel are subject to the administration of the Executive Director. This administration is through the Rules and Regulations Pertaining to the Employment of Executive Staff Employees, the Rules and Regulations Pertaining to the Employment of Confidential Employees, and the union negotiated memoranda of understanding. This administration is subject to certain very narrow variations:

- b. **State Bar Court** - It is a responsibility of the Board to assure the adjudicatory independence of the State Bar Court. State Bar Court Judges are not State Bar employees and are not subject to Executive Director or Board administration. They are appointed by the Supreme Court, Legislature, or Governor ~~appoint them~~<sup>19</sup> pursuant to statute for set terms. Their salary rates are established by statute. The Rules & Regulations Pertaining to the Service of State Bar Court Judges otherwise establish their terms and conditions of employment. The State Bar (through its Executive Director) must provide the State Bar Court with “adequate supporting staff and facilities” and conduct itself otherwise to assure the adjudicatory independence of the State Bar Court.

The State Bar Court Administrative Officer and Chief Court Counsel is the Senior Executive for the State Bar Court. This position is a Senior Executive of the State Bar serving in conformity with the Executive Staff Rules. The State Bar Court Administrative Officer has no independence from the Executive Director except where the adjudicatory independence

<sup>18</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Title changed to clarify.

<sup>19</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Language revised to clarify.

of the State Bar Court is threatened, “as all executive personnel decisions reside exclusively in the ~~executive~~ Executive director Director.” Depending upon the nature of such a transgression, the Administrative Officer is to report the transgression to the Board and/or the Supreme Court. (Admin. Man. Div. 8, Art. 1, §4.; See generally, Business & Professions Code §§ 6079.1, 6086.5, 6086.65)

~~The official duties of a public member of the State Bar Court appointed pursuant to section 6086.6 of the Business and Professions Code are as follows:~~

- ~~(1) — Attending meetings of the State Bar Court and committees of the State Bar Court;~~
- ~~(2) — Sitting as a member of hearing panels, advisory review panels and department of the State Bar Court;~~
- ~~(3) — Preparing decisions;~~
- ~~(4) — Meeting with State Bar personnel; and~~
- ~~(5) — Such other duties as may be prescribed by the Board of Trustees from time to time.~~

~~(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, September 1977.)~~<sup>20</sup>

~~c. **Chief Trial Counsel** - The Executive Director “bring[s] his or her choice for Chief Trial Counsel (subject to Senate confirmation) to the Board for approval” who serves as a member of the Executive Director’s Senior Management Team. (Admin. Man. Div.8, Ch. 1, Art. 2.) The ~~e~~Executive ~~d~~Director shall bring his or her choice for Chief Trial Counsel (subject to Senate confirmation) to the board for approval. The Chief Trial Counsel shall report to the Board of Trustees discipline system oversight committee consistent with Business & Professions Code Section 6079.5 on substantive issues pertaining to the discipline enforcement system. The Chief Trial Counsel and the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel shall otherwise be subject to the executive and administrative authority of the Executive Director, with regard to personnel, budget, facilities and other institutional matters in conformity with the policy parameters established by the Board, in like manner as other executive staff.~~<sup>21</sup>

~~d.c.~~

The Chief Trial Counsel is the Senior Executive for the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, the enforcement/prosecutorial arm of the disciplinary

<sup>20</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Strike. Business & Professions Code section 6086.6 was repealed.

<sup>21</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Language updated to be consistent with current board policy.

system. This position is a Senior Executive of the State Bar serving subject to a statutory term. The qualifications and term of the Chief Trial Counsel are set by statute.

The terms and conditions of employment for the Chief Trial Counsel are set by the Executive Staff Rules, subject only to the provision that the State Bar (through its Executive Director) must assure the prosecutorial integrity and independence of the Chief Trial Counsel. The Board's discipline oversight committee administers the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel through the Executive Director except where the prosecutorial independence of the office is threatened. Depending upon the nature of such a transgression, the Chief Trial Counsel is to report the transgression to the Board and/or the Supreme Court. (See generally, Business & Professions Code § 6079.5).

e.d. **General Counsel** - The General Counsel is the Senior Executive serving as the State Bar's chief legal officer. As legal counsel for the State Bar itself, the General Counsel, under the Rules of Professional Conduct, represents the State Bar as an entity, acting through the Board of Trustees, its highest ~~authorized~~ authorized body except as otherwise required by Rule of Professional Conduct 3-600. This position is a Senior Executive of the State Bar serving in conformity with the Executive Staff Rules, subject to the duties and responsibilities incumbent upon the attorney-client relationship in the institutional setting. The General Counsel has the duty to provide accurate and complete legal advice and counsel to the Board and to other constituent elements of the institution regardless of the consequences to him or herself personally. (See generally, Rule of Professional Conduct 3-600.)

f.e. **Secretary** - The Secretary [an office currently combined with the position of Executive Director] is the Senior Executive serving as the Board's administrative officer. This position is a Senior Executive of the State Bar serving in conformity with the Executive Staff Rules, subject only to the statutory provisions creating the office. (See generally, California Business and Professions Code Sections 6020, 6022, 6024).

g.f. **Admissions** - The Senior Executive for Admissions is the Senior Executive overseeing the attorney admissions function. This position is a Senior Executive of the State Bar serving in conformity with the Executive Staff Rules, subject only to the limitation that the State Bar (through its Executive Director) must maintain the integrity of the bar examination and admissions process. The Senior Executive for Admissions has no independence from the Executive Director on any issue except where the integrity of the bar examination and admission process is threatened. Depending upon the nature of such a transgression, the Senior Executive for Admissions is to report the transgression to the Committee of Bar

Examiners, the Board and/or the Supreme Court. (See generally, California Business & Professions Code §§ 6076 et seq.; §§ 6060 et seq.).

h.g. **Other Staff** - All other staff serve in conformity with the governing terms of the Union negotiated Memoranda of Understanding and/or governing rules and regulations administered by the Executive Director.

### **III. Board and Board Committee Staff Liaisons (Board Committee Coordinators)**

- a. The Executive Director assigns staff members (Board Committee Coordinators), having expertise related to specific board and board committee functions, to support the board and its various functions.

The Executive Director “provides effective liaison and staff support to Board Committees and State Bar Sub-committees to allow them to perform their functions.” (Executive Director Performance Criteria and Competencies, May 2002.)

- b. Any concerns regarding Board support staff should be directed to the Board President and Executive Director. The Executive Director will address the issue directly with the board or committee member raising the concern and provide feedback to the board member or committee.

### **IV. Effective Communication**

- a. Board members are provided with a list of all senior staff within the State Bar and the functions for which they are responsible in their Board Orientation materials. Additionally, Board members are provided with a document entitled “State Bar Overview” that contains a summary description of each State Bar program and the key staff.
- b. If the above-identified resources do not answer the contact questions, Board members should contact the Executive Director directly and ask for her help in locating the information source needed.

## V. **Board Orientation**

- a. The Board of Trustees is responsible for Board orientation with the support of the Executive Director.
- b. A "Board Book," a compilation of Board Governance Policy ~~has been prepared by staff and will be submitted to~~ was adopted by the Board at its ~~July~~ September<sup>22</sup> 2004 meeting.
- c. A more complete orientation binder is given to new board members, offering additional materials on this subject, including more specific procedural information about lines of authority to augment the Board Governance Model. In addition, an orientation meeting shall be held at the annual meeting of the Bar. Participation in this meeting is mandatory. If a board member misses this orientation, the member will make a significant effort to set up a substitute meeting with those who are part of the orientation process.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolutions, May 20, 1997, March 2002, March 2003, March 2004, July 2004, July 11, 2008, January 9, 2010; Board of Trustees' Resolution February 2012; January 16, 2015; July 2015; Business and Professions Code § 6079.1<sup>23</sup>.)

---

<sup>22</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Language revised to clarify.

<sup>23</sup> PHASE I PROPOSED CHANGE: Cites corrected.



## **Article 5 HUMAN RESOURCES**

### ***Section 1    Authority***

Personnel administration shall reside exclusively in the Executive Director. The Executive Director shall be advised and assisted by the Office of Human Resources in the administration of personnel.

The Executive Director shall be guided by The Rules & Regulations for the Administration of Employer-Employee Relations, the Memoranda of Understanding, The Rules & Regulations Pertaining to the Employment of Executive Staff, The Rules & Regulations Pertaining to the Employment of Confidential Employees in the administration of personnel.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, July 1981 September 2004.)

### ***Section 2    Personnel Actions***

No personnel action, including but not limited to recruiting, interviewing, selection, hiring, salary placement, promotion, transfer, layoff, discipline, or termination shall be undertaken without the approval of the Executive Director, or designee, through the Office of Human Resources.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, July 1981 September 2004.)

### ***Section 3    Involuntary Termination of Employment***

An involuntary termination of employment requires the approval of the Executive Director and the review of the Office of General Counsel.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, July 1981 September 2004.)

### ***Section 4    Fiscal Review***

No employment of any person shall be entered into by or on behalf of the State Bar unless the Executive Director, or designee, verifies that any funds obligated by such employment have been budgeted.

(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, July 1981.)

## **Section 5    *Personnel Impact Analysis***

An analysis of personnel impact is to be included in all Board of Trustees agenda items and staff proposals if the adoption of such items will create a personnel impact. The analysis should indicate whether the item/proposal can be accomplished by existing personnel or will require new personnel (and, if so, the number(s) and type(s) of new personnel required). The personnel impact analysis will be prepared by the office responsible for the item/proposal. \_Assistance may be obtained from the Office of Human Resources. All Board of Trustees agenda items having personnel impact shall be reviewed by the Board Committee on Planning, Program Development and Budget.

**(Source: Board of Governors' Resolution, July 1981, September 2004.)**