

**BOARD AGENDA ITEM 701 JUNE 2016**  
**PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT**  
*(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<u>CLIENT-LAWYER RELATIONSHIP</u>	1	Rule 1.8.9 [4-300] Purchasing Property at a Foreclosure or a Sale Subject to Judicial Review	16
Rule 1.0 [1-100] Purpose and Function of the Rules of Professional Conduct	1	Rule 1.8.10 [3-120] Sexual Relations with Client	16
Rule 1.0.1 [1-100(B)] Terminology	2	Rule 1.8.11 Imputation of Prohibitions Under Rules 1.8.1 to 1.8.9	17
Rule 1.1 [3-110] Competence	3	Rule 1.9 [3-310(E)] Duties to Former Clients	17
Rule 1.2 [3-210] Scope of Representation and Allocation of Authority	4	Rule 1.10 Imputation of Conflicts of Interest: General Rule	18
Rule 1.2.1 [3-210] Advising or Assisting the Violation of Law	4	Rule 1.11 Special Conflicts of Interest for Former and Current Government Officials and Employees	19
Rule 1.3 [3-110(B)] Diligence	5	Rule 1.12 Former Judge, Arbitrator, Mediator or Other Third-Party Neutral	20
Rule 1.4 [3-500] Communication with Clients	5	Rule 1.13 [3-600] Organization as Client	21
Rule 1.4.1 [3-510] Communication of Settlement Offers	6	Rule 1.14 Client with Diminished Capacity	22
Rule 1.4.2 [3-410] Disclosure of Professional Liability Insurance	6	Rule 1.15 [4-100] Safekeeping Funds and Property of Clients and Other Persons	24
Rule 1.5 [4-200] Fees for Legal Services	6	Rule 1.16 [3-700] Declining or Terminating Representation	26
Rule 1.5.1 [2-200] Fee Divisions Among Lawyers	7	Rule 1.17 [2-300] Sale of a Law Practice	28
Rule 1.6 [3-100] Confidential Information of a Client	8	<u>COUNSELOR</u>	29
Rule 1.7 [3-310] Conflict of Interests: Current Clients	11	Rule 2.4 Lawyer as Third-Party Neutral	29
Rule 1.8.1 [3-300] Business Transactions with a Client and Pecuniary Interests Adverse to the Client	13	Rule 2.4.1 [1-710] Lawyer as Temporary Judge, Referee, or Court-Appointed Arbitrator	29
Rule 1.8.2 Use of Current Client's Information	14	<u>ADVOCATE</u>	29
Rule 1.8.3 [4-400] Gifts from Client	14	Rule 3.1 [3-200] Meritorious Claims and Contentions	29
Rule 1.8.5 [4-210] Payment of Personal or Business Expenses Incurred by or for a Client	15	Rule 3.2 Delay of Litigation	30
Rule 1.8.6 [3-310(F)] Compensation from One Other Than Client	15	Rule 3.3 [5-200(A)-(D)] Candor Toward the Tribunal	30
Rule 1.8.7 [3-310(D)] Aggregate Settlements	16		
Rule 1.8.8 [3-400] Limiting Liability to Client	16		

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

**PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT**  
*(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Rule 3.4 [5-200(E), 5-220, 5,310] Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel	31	Rule 5.5 [1-300] Unauthorized Practice of Law; Multijurisdictional Practice of Law	43
Rule 3.5 [5-300, 5-320] Contact with Judges, Officials, Employees, and Jurors	32	Rule 5.6 [1-500] Restrictions on a Lawyer's Right to Practice	44
Rule 3.6 [5-120] Trial Publicity	33	<u>PUBLIC SERVICE</u>	44
Rule 3.7 [5-210] Lawyer as Witness	34	Rule 6.3 Membership in Legal Services Organizations	44
Rule 3.8 [5-110] Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor	34	Rule 6.5 [1-650] Limited Legal Services Programs	44
Rule 3.9 Advocate in Non-adjudicative Proceedings	36	<u>INFORMATION ABOUT LEGAL SERVICES</u>	45
Rule 3.10 [5-100] Threatening Criminal, Administrative, or Disciplinary Charges	36	Rule 7.1 [1-400] Communications Concerning a Lawyer's Services	45
<u>TRANSACTIONS WITH PERSONS OTHER THAN CLIENTS</u>	37	Rule 7.2 [1-400, 1-320(B), (C), & (A)(4), 2-200(B)] Advertising	46
Rule 4.1 Truthfulness in Statements to Others	37	Rule 7.3 [1-400] Solicitation of Clients	47
Rule 4.2 [2-100] Communication with a Represented Person	37	Rule 7.4 [1-400(D)(6)] Communication of Fields of Practice and Specialization	48
Rule 4.3 Communicating with an Unrepresented Person	39	Rule 7.5 [1-400] Firm Names and Trade Names	48
Rule 4.4 Duties Concerning Inadvertently Transmitted Writings	39	<u>MAINTAINING THE INTEGRITY OF THE PROFESSION</u>	48
<u>LAW FIRMS AND ASSOCIATIONS</u>	39	Rule 8.1 [1-200] False Statement Regarding Application for Admission, Readmission, Certification or Registration	48
Rule 5.1 Responsibilities of Managerial and Supervisory Lawyers	39	Rule 8.1.1 [1-110] Compliance with Conditions of Discipline and Agreements in Lieu of Discipline	49
Rule 5.2 Responsibilities of a Subordinate Lawyer	40	Rule 8.2 [1-700] Judicial Officials	49
Rule 5.3 Responsibilities Regarding Nonlawyer Assistants	41	Rule 8.4 [1-120] Misconduct	49
Rule 5.3.1 [1-311] Employment of Disbarred, Suspended, Resigned, or Involuntarily Inactive Lawyer	41	Rule 8.4.1 [2-400] Prohibited Discrimination, Harassment and Retaliation	50
Rule 5.4 [1-320, 1-310, 1-600] Financial and Similar Arrangements with Nonlawyers	42	Rule 8.5 [1-100(D)] Disciplinary Authority; Choice of Law	52

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

## CLIENT-LAWYER RELATIONSHIP

### **Rule 1.0 [1-100] Purpose and Function of the Rules of Professional Conduct**

#### (a) Purpose.

The following rules are intended to regulate professional conduct of lawyers through discipline. They have been adopted by the Board of Trustees of the State Bar of California and approved by the Supreme Court of California pursuant to Business and Professions Code §§ 6076 and 6077 to protect the public, the courts, and the legal profession; protect the integrity of the legal system; and promote the administration of justice and confidence in the legal profession. These Rules together with any standards adopted by the Board of Trustees pursuant to these Rules shall be binding upon all lawyers.

#### (b) Function.

- (1) A willful violation of any of these rules is a basis for discipline.
- (2) The prohibition of certain conduct in these rules is not exclusive. Lawyers are also bound by applicable law including the State Bar Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6000 et seq.) and opinions of California courts.
- (3) A violation of a rule does not itself give rise to a cause of action for damages caused by failure to comply with the rule. Nothing in these Rules or the Comments to the Rules is intended to enlarge or to restrict the law regarding the liability of lawyers to others.

#### (c) Purpose of Comments.

The comments are not a basis for imposing discipline but are intended only to provide guidance for interpreting and practicing in compliance with the Rules.

#### (d) These Rules may be cited and referred to as the “California Rules of Professional Conduct.”

### **Comment**

[1] The Rules of Professional Conduct are intended to establish the standards for lawyers for purposes of discipline. See *Ames v. State Bar* (1973) 8 Cal.3d 910, 917 [106 Cal.Rptr. 489]. Therefore, failure to comply with an obligation or prohibition imposed by a rule is a basis for invoking the disciplinary process. Because the Rules are not designed to be a basis for civil liability, a

violation of a rule does not itself give rise to a cause of action for enforcement of a rule or for damages caused by failure to comply with the rule. *Stanley v. Richmond* (1995) 35 Cal.App.4th 1070, 1097 [41 Cal.Rptr.2d 768]. Nevertheless, a lawyer’s violation of a rule may be evidence of breach of a lawyer’s fiduciary or other substantive legal duty in a non-disciplinary context. *Id.*; *Mirabito v. Liccardo* (1992) 4 Cal.App.4th 41, 44 [5 Cal.Rptr.2d 571]. A violation of a rule may have other non-disciplinary consequences. See e.g., *Fletcher v. Davis* (2004) 33 Cal.4th 61, 71-72 [14 Cal.Rptr.3d 58] (enforcement of attorney’s lien); *Chambers v. Kay* (2002) 29 Cal.4th 142, 161 [126 Cal.Rptr.2d 536] (enforcement of fee sharing agreement).

[2] While the rules are intended to regulate professional conduct of lawyers, a violation of a rule can occur when a lawyer is not practicing law or acting in a professional capacity.

[3] A willful violation of a rule does not require that the lawyer intend to violate the rule. *Phillips v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 944, 952 [264 Cal.Rptr. 346]; and see Business and Professions Code § 6077.

[4] In addition to the sources of guidance identified in paragraph (b)(2), opinions of ethics committees in California, although not binding, should be consulted for guidance on proper professional conduct. Ethics opinions and rules and standards promulgated by other jurisdictions and bar associations may also be considered.

[5] The disciplinary standards created by these Rules are not intended to address all aspects of a lawyer’s professional obligations. A lawyer, as a member of the legal profession, is a representative and advisor of clients, an officer of the legal system and a public citizen having special responsibilities for the quality of justice. A lawyer should be aware of deficiencies in the administration of justice and of the fact that the poor, and sometimes persons\* who are not poor, cannot afford adequate legal assistance. Therefore, all lawyers are encouraged to devote professional time and resources and use civic influence to ensure equal access to the system of justice for those who because of economic or social barriers cannot afford or secure adequate legal counsel. In meeting this responsibility, every lawyer should aspire to render at least fifty hours of pro bono publico legal services per year. In fulfilling this responsibility, the lawyer should provide a substantial\* majority of such hours to indigent individuals or to nonprofit organizations with a primary purpose of providing services to the poor or on behalf of the poor or disadvantaged. See Business and Professions Code

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

§ 6073 (financial support for programs providing pro bono legal services).

## Rule 1.0.1 [1-100(B)] Terminology

- (a) “Belief” or “believes” means that the person involved actually supposes the fact in question to be true. A person’s belief may be inferred from circumstances.
- (b) [Reserved]
- (c) “Firm” or “law firm” means a law partnership; a professional law corporation; a lawyer acting as a sole proprietorship; an association authorized to practice law; or lawyers employed in a legal services organization or in the legal department, division or office of a corporation, of a government organization, or of another organization.
- (d) “Fraud” or “fraudulent” means conduct that is fraudulent under the law of the applicable jurisdiction and has a purpose to deceive.
- (e) “Informed consent” means a person’s agreement to a proposed course of conduct after the lawyer has communicated and explained (i) the relevant circumstances and (ii) the material risks, including any actual and reasonably foreseeable adverse consequences of the proposed course of conduct.
- (e-1) “Informed written consent” means that the disclosures and the consent required by paragraph (e) must be in writing.
- (f) “Knowingly,” “known,” or “knows” means actual knowledge of the fact in question. A person’s knowledge may be inferred from circumstances.
- (g) “Partner” means a member of a partnership, a shareholder in a law firm organized as a professional corporation, or a member of an association authorized to practice law.
- (g-1) “Person” means a natural person or an organization.
- (h) “Reasonable” or “reasonably” when used in relation to conduct by a lawyer means the conduct of a reasonably prudent and competent lawyer.
- (i) “Reasonable belief” or “reasonably believes” when used in reference to a lawyer means that the lawyer believes the matter in question and that the circumstances are such that the belief is reasonable.

- (j) “Reasonably should know” when used in reference to a lawyer means that a lawyer of reasonable prudence and competence would ascertain the matter in question.
- (k) “Screened” means the isolation of a lawyer from any participation in a matter, including the timely imposition of procedures within a law firm that are adequate under the circumstances (i) to protect information that the isolated lawyer is obligated to protect under these Rules or other law; and (ii) to protect against other law firm lawyers and nonlawyer personnel communicating with the lawyer with respect to the matter.
- (l) “Substantial” when used in reference to degree or extent means a material matter of clear and weighty importance.
- (m) “Tribunal” means: (i) a court, an arbitrator, an administrative law judge, or an administrative body acting in an adjudicative capacity and authorized to make a decision that can be binding on the parties involved; or (ii) a special master or other person to whom a court refers one or more issues and whose decision or recommendation can be binding on the parties if approved by the court.
- (n) “Writing” or “written” has the meaning stated in Evidence Code § 250. A “signed” writing includes an electronic sound, symbol, or process attached to or logically associated with a writing and executed, inserted, or adopted by or at the direction of a person with the intent to sign the writing.

## Comment

### *Firm\* or Law Firm\**

[1] Practitioners who share office space and occasionally consult or assist each other ordinarily would not be regarded as constituting a law firm.\* However, if they present themselves to the public in a way that suggests that they are a law firm\* or conduct themselves as a law firm,\* they may be regarded as a law firm\* for purposes of these Rules. The terms of any formal agreement between associated lawyers are relevant in determining whether they are a firm,\* as is the fact that they have mutual access to information concerning the clients they serve.

[2] The term “of counsel” implies that the lawyer so designated has a relationship with the law firm,\* other than as a partner\* or associate, or officer or shareholder, that is close, personal, continuous, and regular. Whether a lawyer who is denominated as “of counsel” or by a

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

similar term should be deemed a member of a law firm\* for purposes of these Rules will also depend on the specific facts. Compare *People ex rel. Department of Corporations v. Speedee Oil Change Systems, Inc.* (1999) 20 Cal.4th 1135 [86 Cal.Rptr.2d 816] with *Chambers v. Kay* (2002) 29 Cal.4th 142 [126 Cal.Rptr.2d 536].

## *Fraud\**

[3] When the terms “fraud”\* or “fraudulent”\* are used in these Rules, it is not necessary that anyone has suffered damages or relied on the misrepresentation or failure to inform because requiring the proof of those elements of fraud\* would impede the purpose of certain rules to prevent fraud\* or avoid a lawyer assisting in the perpetration of a fraud,\* or otherwise frustrate the imposition of discipline on lawyers who engage in fraudulent\* conduct. The term “fraud”\* or “fraudulent”\* when used in these Rules does not include merely negligent misrepresentation or negligent failure to apprise another of relevant information.

## *Informed consent\* and Informed Written Consent\**

[4] The communication necessary to obtain informed consent\* or informed written consent\* will vary according to the rule involved and the circumstances giving rise to the need to obtain consent.

## *[Screened\*]*

[5] The purpose of screening is to assure the affected client, former client, or prospective client that confidential information known\* by the personally prohibited lawyer is neither disclosed to other law firm\* lawyers or nonlawyer personnel nor used to the detriment of the person\* to whom the duty of confidentiality is owed. The personally prohibited lawyer shall acknowledge the obligation not to communicate with any of the other lawyers and nonlawyer personnel in the law firm\* with respect to the matter. Similarly, other lawyers and nonlawyer personnel in the law firm\* who are working on the matter promptly shall be informed that the screening is in place and that they may not communicate with the personally prohibited lawyer with respect to the matter. Additional screening measures that are appropriate for the particular matter will depend on the circumstances. To implement, reinforce and remind all affected law firm\* personnel of the presence of the screening, it may be appropriate for the law firm\* to undertake such procedures as a written\* undertaking by the personally prohibited lawyer to avoid any communication with other law firm\* personnel and any contact with any law firm\* files or other materials relating to the matter,

written\* notice and instructions to all other law firm\* personnel forbidding any communication with the personally prohibited lawyer relating to the matter, denial of access by that lawyer to law firm\* files or other materials relating to the matter, and periodic reminders of the screen to the personally prohibited lawyer and all other law firm\* personnel.

[6] In order to be effective, screening measures must be implemented as soon as practical after a lawyer or law firm\* knows\* or reasonably should know\* that there is a need for screening.

## **Rule 1.1 [3-110] Competence**

- (a) A lawyer shall not intentionally, recklessly, with gross negligence, or repeatedly fail to perform legal services with competence.
- (b) For purposes of this Rule, “competence” in any legal service shall mean to apply the (i) learning and skill, and (ii) mental, emotional, and physical ability reasonably\* necessary for the performance of such service.
- (c) If a lawyer does not have sufficient learning and skill when the legal services are undertaken, the lawyer nonetheless may provide competent representation by (i) associating with or, where appropriate, professionally consulting another lawyer whom the lawyer reasonably believes\* to be competent, (ii) acquiring sufficient learning and skill before performance is required, or (iii) referring the matter to another lawyer whom the lawyer reasonably believes\* to be competent.
- (d) In an emergency a lawyer may give advice or assistance in a matter in which the lawyer does not have the skill ordinarily required if referral to, or association or consultation with, another lawyer would be impractical. Assistance in an emergency must be limited to that reasonably\* necessary in the circumstances.

## **Comment**

[1] This Rule addresses only a lawyer’s responsibility for his or her own professional competence. See Rules 5.1 and 5.3 with respect to a lawyer’s disciplinary responsibility for supervising subordinate lawyers and nonlawyers.

[2] See Rule 1.3 with respect to a lawyer’s duty to act with reasonable\* diligence.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

## Rule 1.2 [3-210] Scope of Representation and Allocation of Authority

- (a) Subject to Rule 1.2.1, a lawyer shall abide by a client's decisions concerning the objectives of representation and, as required by Rule 1.4, shall reasonably\* consult with the client as to the means by which they are to be pursued. Subject to Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) and Rule 1.6, a lawyer may take such action on behalf of the client as is impliedly authorized to carry out the representation. A lawyer shall abide by a client's decision whether to settle a matter. Except as otherwise provided by law in a criminal case, the lawyer shall abide by the client's decision, after consultation with the lawyer, as to a plea to be entered, whether to waive jury trial and whether the client will testify.
- (b) A lawyer may limit the scope of the representation if the limitation is reasonable\* under the circumstances, is not otherwise prohibited by law, and the client gives informed consent.

### Comment

#### *Allocation of Authority between Client and Lawyer*

[1] Paragraph (a) confers upon the client the ultimate authority to determine the purposes to be served by legal representation, within the limits imposed by law and the lawyer's professional obligations. See e.g., Cal. Constitution Article I, § 16; Penal Code § 1018. A lawyer retained to represent a client is authorized to act on behalf of the client, such as in procedural matters and in making certain tactical decisions. A lawyer is not authorized merely by virtue of the lawyer's retention to impair the client's substantive rights or the client's claim itself. *Blanton v. Womancare, Inc.* (1985) 38 Cal.3d 396, 404 [212 Cal.Rptr. 151, 156].

[2] At the outset of, or during a representation, the client may authorize the lawyer to take specific action on the client's behalf without further consultation. Absent a material change in circumstances and subject to Rule 1.4, a lawyer may rely on such an advance authorization. The client may revoke such authority at any time.

#### *Independence from Client's Views or Activities*

[3] A lawyer's representation of a client, including representation by appointment, does not constitute an endorsement of the client's political, economic, social or moral views or activities.

#### *Agreements Limiting Scope of Representation*

[4] All agreements concerning a lawyer's representation of a client must accord with the Rules of Professional Conduct and other law. See, e.g., Rules 1.1, 1.8.1 and 5.6. See also California Rules of Court 3.35-3.37 (limited scope rules applicable in civil matters generally), and 5.425 (limited scope rule applicable in family law matters).

## Rule 1.2.1 [3-210] Advising or Assisting the Violation of Law

- (a) A lawyer shall not advise or knowingly\* assist a client in the violation of any law, rule, or ruling of a tribunal\* unless the lawyer believes\* in good faith that such law, rule, or ruling is invalid. A lawyer may take appropriate steps in good faith to test the validity of any law, rule, or ruling of a tribunal.
- (b) A lawyer shall not advise or knowingly\* assist a client in a fraudulent\* act.
- (c) A lawyer may discuss the legal consequences of any proposed course of conduct with a client.

### Comment

[1] There is a critical distinction under this Rule between presenting an analysis of legal aspects of questionable conduct and recommending the means by which a crime or fraud\* might be committed with impunity. The fact that a client uses a lawyer's advice in a course of action that is criminal or fraudulent\* does not of itself make a lawyer a party to the course of action.

[2] Paragraphs (a) and (b) apply whether or not the client's conduct has already begun and is continuing. In complying with this Rule, a lawyer shall not violate the duty of confidentiality as provided in Rule 1.6 and Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1). In some cases, the lawyer's response is limited to the lawyer's right and, where appropriate, duty to resign or withdraw in accordance with Rules 1.13 and 1.16.

[3] Determining the validity, scope, meaning or application of a law, rule, or ruling of a tribunal\* in good faith may require a course of action involving disobedience of the law, rule, or ruling of a tribunal,\* or of the meaning placed upon it by governmental authorities.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

*(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)*

[4] Paragraph (c) authorizes a lawyer to advise a client on the consequences of violating a law, rule, or ruling of a tribunal\* that the client does not contend is unenforceable or unjust in itself, as a means of protesting a law or policy the client finds objectionable. For example, a lawyer may properly advise a client about the consequences of blocking the entrance to a public building as a means of protesting a law or policy the client believes\* to be unjust or invalid.

[5] If a lawyer comes to know or reasonably should know\* that a client expects assistance not permitted by these Rules or other law or if the lawyer intends to act contrary to the client's instructions, the lawyer must advise the client regarding the limitations on the lawyer's conduct. See Rule 1.4(a)(4).

## Rule 1.3 [3-110(B)] Diligence

- (a) A lawyer shall not intentionally, recklessly, with gross negligence, or repeatedly fail to act with reasonable\* diligence in representing a client.
- (b) For purposes of this Rule, "reasonable diligence" shall mean that a lawyer acts with commitment and dedication to the interests of the client and does not neglect or disregard, or without just cause, unduly delay a legal matter entrusted to the lawyer.

### Comment

[1] This Rule addresses only a lawyer's responsibility for his or her own professional diligence. See Rules 5.1 and 5.3 with respect to a lawyer's disciplinary responsibility for supervising subordinate lawyers and nonlawyers.

[2] See Rule 1.1 with respect to a lawyer's duty to perform legal services with competence.

## Rule 1.4 [3-500] Communication with Clients

- (a) A lawyer shall:
  - (1) promptly inform the client of any decision or circumstance with respect to which disclosure or the client's informed consent,\* as defined in Rule 1.0.1(e), is required by these Rules or the State Bar Act;
  - (2) reasonably\* consult with the client about the means by which to accomplish the client's objectives in the representation;

- (3) keep the client reasonably\* informed about significant developments relating to the representation, including promptly complying with reasonable\* requests for information and copies of significant documents when necessary to keep the client so informed; and

- (4) advise the client about any relevant limitation on the lawyer's conduct when the lawyer knows\* that the client expects assistance not permitted by the Rules of Professional Conduct or other law.

- (b) A lawyer shall explain a matter to the extent reasonably\* necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions regarding the representation.

- (c) A lawyer may delay transmission of information to a client if the lawyer reasonably believes\* that the client would be likely to react in a way that may cause imminent harm to the client or others.

- (d) A lawyer's obligation under this Rule to provide information and documents is subject to any applicable protective order, non-disclosure agreement, or statutory limitation.

### Comment

[1] A lawyer will not be subject to discipline under paragraph (a)(3) of this rule for failing to communicate insignificant or irrelevant information. (See Bus. & Prof. Code § 6068(m).) Whether a particular development is significant will generally depend on the surrounding facts and circumstances.

[2] A lawyer may comply with paragraph (a)(3) by providing to the client copies of significant documents by electronic or other means. This Rule does not prohibit a lawyer from seeking recovery of the lawyer's expense in any subsequent legal proceeding.

[3] Paragraph (c) applies during a representation and does not alter the obligations applicable at termination of a representation (see Rule 1.16(e)(1)).

[4] This Rule is not intended to create, augment, diminish, or eliminate any application of the work product rule. The obligation of the lawyer to provide work product to the client shall be governed by relevant statutory and decisional law.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

## Rule 1.4.1 [3-510] Communication of Settlement Offers

- (a) A lawyer shall promptly communicate to the lawyer's client:
  - (1) all terms and conditions of a proposed plea bargain or other dispositive offer made to the client in a criminal matter; and
  - (2) All amounts, terms, and conditions of any written\* offer of settlement made to the client in all other matters.
- (b) As used in this Rule, "client" includes a person\* who possesses the authority to accept an offer of settlement or plea, or, in a class action, all the named representatives of the class.

### Comment

An oral offer of settlement made to the client in a civil matter must also be communicated if it is a "significant development" under Rule 1.4.

## Rule 1.4.2 [3-410] Disclosure of Professional Liability Insurance

- (a) A lawyer who knows\* or reasonably should know\* that the lawyer does not have professional liability insurance shall inform a client in writing,\* at the time of the client's engagement of the lawyer, that the lawyer does not have professional liability insurance.
- (b) If notice under paragraph (a) has not been provided at the time of a client's engagement of the lawyer, the lawyer shall inform the client in writing\* within thirty days of the date the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* that the lawyer no longer has professional liability insurance during the representation of the client.
- (c) This Rule does not apply to:
  - (1) a lawyer who knows\* or reasonably should know\* at the time of the client's engagement of the lawyer that the lawyer's legal representation of the client in the matter will not exceed four hours; provided that if the representation subsequently exceeds four hours, the lawyer must comply with paragraphs (a) and (b);
  - (2) a lawyer who is employed as a government lawyer or in-house counsel when that lawyer

is representing or providing legal advice to a client in that capacity;

- (3) a lawyer who is rendering legal services in an emergency to avoid foreseeable prejudice to the rights or interests of the client;
- (4) a lawyer who has previously advised the client in writing\* under paragraph (a) or (b) that the lawyer does not have professional liability insurance.

### Comment

[1] The disclosure obligation imposed by Paragraph (a) applies with respect to new clients and new engagements with returning clients.

[2] A lawyer may use the following language in making the disclosure required by paragraph (a), and may include that language in a written\* fee agreement with the client or in a separate writing:

*"Pursuant to California Rule of Professional Conduct 1.4.2, I am informing you in writing that I do not have professional liability insurance."*

[3] A lawyer may use the following language in making the disclosure required by paragraph (b):

*"Pursuant to California Rule of Professional Conduct 1.4.2, I am informing you in writing that I no longer have professional liability insurance."*

[4] The exception in paragraph (c)(2) for government lawyers and in-house counsels is limited to situations involving direct employment and representation, and does not, for example, apply to outside counsel for a private or governmental entity, or to counsel retained by an insurer to represent an insured. If a lawyer is employed by and provides legal services directly for a private entity or a federal, state or local governmental entity, that entity is presumed to know whether the lawyer is or is not covered by professional liability insurance.

## Rule 1.5 [4-200] Fees for Legal Services

- (a) A lawyer shall not make an agreement for, charge, or collect an unconscionable or illegal fee.
- (b) Unconscionability of a fee shall be determined on the basis of all the facts and circumstances existing at the time the agreement is entered into except where the parties contemplate that the fee will be

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.



# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

affected by later events. The factors to be considered in determining the unconscionability of a fee include without limitation the following:

- (1) whether the lawyer engaged in fraud\* or overreaching in negotiating or setting the fee;
  - (2) whether the lawyer has failed to disclose material facts;
  - (3) the amount of the fee in proportion to the value of the services performed;
  - (4) the relative sophistication of the lawyer and the client;
  - (5) the novelty and difficulty of the questions involved, and the skill requisite to perform the legal service properly;
  - (6) the likelihood, if apparent to the client, that the acceptance of the particular employment will preclude other employment by the lawyer;
  - (7) the amount involved and the results obtained;
  - (8) the time limitations imposed by the client or by the circumstances;
  - (9) the nature and length of the professional relationship with the client;
  - (10) the experience, reputation, and ability of the lawyer or lawyers performing the services;
  - (11) whether the fee is fixed or contingent;
  - (12) the time and labor required;
  - (13) whether the client gave informed consent\* to the fee.
- (c) A lawyer shall not enter into an arrangement for, charge, or collect:
- (1) any fee in a family law matter, the payment or amount of which is contingent upon the securing of a dissolution or declaration of nullity of a marriage or upon the amount of spousal or child support, or property settlement in lieu thereof; or
  - (2) a contingent fee for representing a defendant in a criminal case.

- (d) A lawyer may make an agreement for, charge, or collect a fee that is denominated as “earned on receipt” or “non-refundable,” or in similar terms, only if the fee is a true retainer and the client agrees in writing\* after disclosure that the client will not be entitled to a refund of all or part of the fee charged. A true retainer is a fee that a client pays to a lawyer to ensure the lawyer’s availability to the client during a specified period or on a specified matter, but not to any extent as compensation for legal services performed or to be performed.
- (e) A lawyer may make an agreement for, charge, or collect a flat fee for specified legal services as long as the lawyer performs the agreed upon services. A flat fee is a fee which constitutes complete payment for legal fees to be performed in the future for a fixed sum regardless of the amount of work ultimately involved and which may be paid in whole or in part in advance of the lawyer providing those services.

## Comment

### *Prohibited Contingent Fees*

[1] Paragraph (c)(1) does not preclude a contract for a contingent fee for legal representation in connection with the recovery of post-judgment balances due under child or spousal support or other financial orders.

### *Payment of Fees in Advance of Services*

[2] When a lawyer-client relationship terminates, the lawyer must refund the unearned portion of a fee. See Rule 1.16(e)(2).

### *Division of Fee*

[3] A division of fees among lawyers is governed by Rule 1.5.1.

## **Rule 1.5.1 [2-200] Fee Divisions Among Lawyers**

- (a) Lawyers who are not in the same law firm\* shall not divide a fee for legal services unless:
- (1) the lawyers enter into a written\* agreement to divide the fee;
  - (2) the client has consented in writing,\* either at the time the lawyers enter into the agreement to divide the fee or as soon thereafter as reasonably\* practicable, after a full written\* disclosure to the client of: (i) the fact that a

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

division of fees will be made, (ii) the identity of the lawyers or law firms\* that are parties to the division, and (iii) the terms of the division; and

- (3) the total fee charged by all lawyers is not increased solely by reason of the agreement to divide fees.

- (b) This Rule does not apply to a division of fees pursuant to court order.

## Rule 1.6 [3-100] Confidential Information of a Client

- (a) A lawyer shall not reveal information protected from disclosure by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) unless the client gives informed consent,\* or the disclosure is permitted by paragraph (b) of this Rule.
- (b) A lawyer may, but is not required to, reveal information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) to the extent that the lawyer reasonably believes\* the disclosure is necessary to prevent a criminal act that the lawyer reasonably believes\* is likely to result in death of, or substantial\* bodily harm to, an individual, as provided in paragraph (c).
- (c) Before revealing information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) to prevent a criminal act as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall, if reasonable\* under the circumstances:
  - (1) make a good faith effort to persuade the client: (i) not to commit or to continue the criminal act or (ii) to pursue a course of conduct that will prevent the threatened death or substantial\* bodily harm; or do both (i) and (ii); and
  - (2) inform the client, at an appropriate time, of the lawyer's ability or decision to reveal information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) as provided in paragraph (b).
- (d) In revealing information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) as provided in paragraph (b), the lawyer's disclosure must be no more than is necessary to prevent the criminal act, given the information known\* to the lawyer at the time of the disclosure.

- (e) A lawyer who does not reveal information permitted by paragraph (b) does not violate this Rule.

## Comment

### *Duty of confidentiality.*

[1] Paragraph (a) relates to a lawyer's obligations under Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1), which provides it is a duty of a lawyer: "To maintain inviolate the confidence, and at every peril to himself or herself to preserve the secrets, of his or her client." A lawyer's duty to preserve the confidentiality of client information involves public policies of paramount importance. (*In Re Jordan* (1974) 12 Cal.3d 575, 580 [116 Cal.Rptr. 371].) Preserving the confidentiality of client information contributes to the trust that is the hallmark of the lawyer-client relationship. The client is thereby encouraged to seek legal assistance and to communicate fully and frankly with the lawyer even as to embarrassing or detrimental subjects. The lawyer needs this information to represent the client effectively and, if necessary, to advise the client to refrain from wrongful conduct. Almost without exception, clients come to lawyers in order to determine their rights and what is, in the complex of laws and regulations, deemed to be legal and correct. Based upon experience, lawyers know that almost all clients follow the advice given, and the law is upheld. Paragraph (a) thus recognizes a fundamental principle in the lawyer-client relationship, that, in the absence of the client's informed consent,\* a lawyer must not reveal information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1). (See, e.g., *Commercial Standard Title Co. v. Superior Court* (1979) 92 Cal.App.3d 934, 945 [155 Cal.Rptr.393].)

*Lawyer-client confidentiality encompasses the lawyer-client privilege, the work-product doctrine and ethical standards of confidentiality.*

[2] The principle of lawyer-client confidentiality applies to information a lawyer acquires by virtue of the representation, whatever its source, and encompasses matters communicated in confidence by the client, and therefore protected by the lawyer-client privilege, matters protected by the work product doctrine, and matters protected under ethical standards of confidentiality, all as established in law, rule and policy. (See *In the Matter of Johnson* (Rev. Dept. 2000) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 179; *Goldstein v. Lees* (1975) 46 Cal.App.3d 614, 621 [120 Cal.Rptr. 253].) The lawyer-client privilege and work-product doctrine apply in judicial and other proceedings in which a lawyer may be called as a witness or be otherwise compelled to produce evidence concerning a client. A lawyer's ethical duty of

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

confidentiality is not so limited in its scope of protection for the lawyer-client relationship of trust and prevents a lawyer from revealing the client's information even when not subjected to such compulsion. Thus, a lawyer may not reveal such information except with the consent of the client or as authorized or required by the State Bar Act, these Rules, or other law.

*Narrow exception to duty of confidentiality under this Rule.*

[3] Notwithstanding the important public policies promoted by lawyers adhering to the core duty of confidentiality, the overriding value of life permits disclosures otherwise prohibited by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1). Paragraph (b) is based on Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(2), which narrowly permits a lawyer to disclose information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) even without client consent. Evidence Code § 956.5, which relates to the evidentiary lawyer-client privilege, sets forth a similar express exception. Although a lawyer is not permitted to reveal information protected by § 6068(e)(1) concerning a client's past, completed criminal acts, the policy favoring the preservation of human life that underlies this exception to the duty of confidentiality and the evidentiary privilege permits disclosure to prevent a future or ongoing criminal act.

*Lawyer not subject to discipline for revealing information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) as permitted under this Rule.*

[4] Paragraph (b) reflects a balancing between the interests of preserving client confidentiality and of preventing a criminal act that a lawyer reasonably believes\* is likely to result in death or substantial\* bodily harm to an individual. A lawyer who reveals information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) as permitted under this Rule is not subject to discipline.

*No duty to reveal information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1).*

[5] Neither Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(2) nor paragraph (b) imposes an affirmative obligation on a lawyer to reveal information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) in order to prevent harm. A lawyer may decide not to reveal such information. Whether a lawyer chooses to reveal information protected by § 6068(e)(1) as permitted under this Rule is a matter for the individual lawyer to decide, based on all the facts and circumstances, such as those discussed in Comment [6] of this Rule.

*Whether to reveal information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e) as permitted under paragraph (b).*

[6] Disclosure permitted under paragraph (b) is ordinarily a last resort, when no other available action is reasonably\* likely to prevent the criminal act. Prior to revealing information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) as permitted by paragraph (b), the lawyer must, if reasonable\* under the circumstances, make a good faith effort to persuade the client to take steps to avoid the criminal act or threatened harm. Among the factors to be considered in determining whether to disclose information protected by § 6068(e)(1) are the following:

- (1) the amount of time that the lawyer has to make a decision about disclosure;
- (2) whether the client or a third party has made similar threats before and whether they have ever acted or attempted to act upon them;
- (3) whether the lawyer believes\* the lawyer's efforts to persuade the client or a third person\* not to engage in the criminal conduct have or have not been successful;
- (4) the extent of adverse effect to the client's rights under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and analogous rights and privacy rights under Article I of the Constitution of the State of California that may result from disclosure contemplated by the lawyer;
- (5) the extent of other adverse effects to the client that may result from disclosure contemplated by the lawyer; and
- (6) the nature and extent of information that must be disclosed to prevent the criminal act or threatened harm.

A lawyer may also consider whether the prospective harm to the victim or victims is imminent in deciding whether to disclose the information protected by § 6068(e)(1). However, the imminence of the harm is not a prerequisite to disclosure and a lawyer may disclose the information protected by § 6068(e)(1) without waiting until immediately before the harm is likely to occur.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

*Whether to counsel client or third person\* not to commit a criminal act reasonably\* likely to result in death of substantial\* bodily harm.*

[7] Subparagraph (c)(1) provides that before a lawyer may reveal information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1), the lawyer must, if reasonable\* under the circumstances, make a good faith effort to persuade the client not to commit or to continue the criminal act, or to persuade the client to otherwise pursue a course of conduct that will prevent the threatened death or substantial\* bodily harm, including persuading the client to take action to prevent a third person\* from committing or continuing a criminal act. If necessary, the client may be persuaded to do both. The interests protected by such counseling are the client's interests in limiting disclosure of information protected by § 6068(e) and in taking responsible action to deal with situations attributable to the client. If a client, whether in response to the lawyer's counseling or otherwise, takes corrective action – such as by ceasing the client's own criminal act or by dissuading a third person\* from committing or continuing a criminal act before harm is caused – the option for permissive disclosure by the lawyer would cease because the threat posed by the criminal act would no longer be present. When the actor is a nonclient or when the act is deliberate or malicious, the lawyer who contemplates making adverse disclosure of protected information may reasonably\* conclude that the compelling interests of the lawyer or others in their own personal safety preclude personal contact with the actor. Before counseling an actor who is a nonclient, the lawyer should, if reasonable\* under the circumstances, first advise the client of the lawyer's intended course of action. If a client or another person\* has already acted but the intended harm has not yet occurred, the lawyer should consider, if reasonable\* under the circumstances, efforts to persuade the client or third person\* to warn the victim or consider other appropriate action to prevent the harm. Even when the lawyer has concluded that paragraph (b) does not permit the lawyer to reveal information protected by § 6068(e)(1), the lawyer nevertheless is permitted to counsel the client as to why it may be in the client's best interest to consent to the attorney's disclosure of that information.

*Disclosure of information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) must be no more than is reasonably\* necessary to prevent the criminal act.*

[8] Paragraph (d) requires that disclosure of information protected by § 6068(e) as permitted by paragraph (b), when made, must be no more extensive than the lawyer reasonably believes\* necessary to

prevent the criminal act. Disclosure should allow access to the information to only those persons\* who the lawyer reasonably believes\* can act to prevent the harm. Under some circumstances, a lawyer may determine that the best course to pursue is to make an anonymous disclosure to the potential victim or relevant law-enforcement authorities. What particular measures are reasonable\* depends on the circumstances known\* to the lawyer. Relevant circumstances include the time available, whether the victim might be unaware of the threat, the lawyer's prior course of dealings with the client, and the extent of the adverse effect on the client that may result from the disclosure contemplated by the lawyer.

*Informing client pursuant to subparagraph (c)(2) of lawyer's ability or decision to reveal information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1).*

[9] A lawyer is required to keep a client reasonably\* informed about significant developments regarding the employment or representation. Rule 1.4; Business and Professions Code § 6068(m). Paragraph (c)(2), however, recognizes that under certain circumstances, informing a client of the lawyer's ability or decision to reveal information protected by § 6068(e)(1) as permitted in paragraph (b) would likely increase the risk of death or substantial\* bodily harm, not only to the originally-intended victims of the criminal act, but also to the client or members of the client's family, or to the lawyer or the lawyer's family or associates. Therefore, paragraph (c)(2) requires a lawyer to inform the client of the lawyer's ability or decision to reveal information protected by § 6068(e)(1) as permitted in paragraph (b) only if it is reasonable\* to do so under the circumstances. Paragraph (c)(2) further recognizes that the appropriate time for the lawyer to inform the client may vary depending upon the circumstances. (See Comment [10] of this Rule.) Among the factors to be considered in determining an appropriate time, if any, to inform a client are:

- (1) whether the client is an experienced user of legal services;
- (2) the frequency of the lawyer's contact with the client;
- (3) the nature and length of the professional relationship with the client;
- (4) whether the lawyer and client have discussed the lawyer's duty of confidentiality or any exceptions to that duty;

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

(5) the likelihood that the client's matter will involve information within paragraph (b);

(6) the lawyer's belief,\* if applicable, that so informing the client is likely to increase the likelihood that a criminal act likely to result in the death of, or substantial\* bodily harm to, an individual; and

(7) the lawyer's belief,\* if applicable, that good faith efforts to persuade a client not to act on a threat have failed.

*Avoiding a chilling effect on the lawyer-client relationship.*

[10] The foregoing flexible approach to the lawyer's informing a client of his or her ability or decision to reveal information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) recognizes the concern that informing a client about limits on confidentiality may have a chilling effect on client communication. (See Comment [1].) To avoid that chilling effect, one lawyer may choose to inform the client of the lawyer's ability to reveal information protected by § 6068(e)(1) as early as the outset of the representation, while another lawyer may choose to inform a client only at a point when that client has imparted information that comes within paragraph (b), or even choose not to inform a client until such time as the lawyer attempts to counsel the client as contemplated in Comment [7]. In each situation, the lawyer will have satisfied the lawyer's obligation under paragraph (c)(2), and will not be subject to discipline.

*Informing client that disclosure has been made; termination of the lawyer-client relationship.*

[11] When a lawyer has revealed information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e) as permitted in paragraph (b), in all but extraordinary cases the relationship between lawyer and client that is based on trust and confidence will have deteriorated so as to make the lawyer's representation of the client impossible. Therefore, when the relationship has deteriorated because of the lawyer's disclosure, the lawyer is required to seek to withdraw from the representation (see Rule 1.16(a)), unless the client has given informed consent\* to the lawyer's continued representation. The lawyer normally must inform the client of the fact of the lawyer's disclosure. If the lawyer has a compelling interest in not informing the client, such as to protect the lawyer, the lawyer's family or a third person\* from the risk of death or substantial\* bodily harm, the lawyer must withdraw from the representation. (See Rule 1.16.)

*Other consequences of the lawyer's disclosure.*

[12] Depending upon the circumstances of a lawyer's disclosure of information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) as permitted by this Rule, there may be other important issues that a lawyer must address. For example, a lawyer who is likely to testify as a witness in a matter involving a client must comply with Rule 3.7. Similarly, the lawyer must also consider his or her duties of loyalty and competence. (See Rules 1.7 (Conflicts of Interest: Current Clients) and 1.1 (Competence).)

[13] *Other exceptions to confidentiality under California law.* This Rule is not intended to augment, diminish, or preclude any other exceptions to the duty to preserve information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) recognized under California law.

## **Rule 1.7 [3-310] Conflict of Interests: Current Clients**

- (a) A lawyer shall not, without informed written consent\* from each client, represent a client if the representation is directly adverse to another client in the same or a separate matter.
- (b) A lawyer shall not, without informed written consent\* from each affected client, represent a client if there is a significant risk the lawyer's representation of the client will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to or relationships with another client, a former client or a third person,\* or the lawyer's own interests, including when:
  - (1) the lawyer has, or knows\* that another lawyer in the lawyer's firm\* has, a legal, business, financial, professional, or personal relationship with or responsibility to a party or witness in the same matter; or
  - (2) the lawyer:
    - (i) knows\* the lawyer previously had a legal, business, financial, professional, or personal relationship with a party or witness in the same matter; and
    - (ii) knows\* or reasonably should know\* the previous relationship will materially limit the lawyer's representation; or
  - (3) the lawyer has or had a legal, business, financial, professional, or personal

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

relationship with another person\* or entity the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* will be affected substantially by resolution of the matter; or

- (4) the lawyer has or had, or knows\* that another lawyer in the lawyer's firm\* has or had, a legal, business, financial, or personal interest in the subject matter of the representation that the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* will materially limit the lawyer's representation; or
  - (5) the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* that there is a reasonable\* likelihood that the interests of clients being represented by the lawyer in the same matter will conflict.
- (c) A lawyer shall not represent a client in a matter in which another party's lawyer is a spouse, parent, child, or sibling of the lawyer, lives with the lawyer, is a client of the lawyer, or has an intimate personal relationship with the lawyer, unless the lawyer informs the client in writing\* of the relationship.
- (d) Representation is permitted under this Rule only if:
- (1) the lawyer reasonably believes\* that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client;
  - (2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and
  - (3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

## Comment

[1] Loyalty and independent judgment are essential elements in the lawyer's relationship to a client. The duty of undivided loyalty to a current client prohibits undertaking representation directly adverse to that client without that client's informed written consent.\* Thus, absent consent, a lawyer may not act as an advocate in one matter against a person\* the lawyer represents in some other matter, even when the matters are wholly unrelated. See *Flatt v. Superior Court* (1994) 9 Cal.4th 275 [36 Cal.Rptr.2d 537]. A directly adverse conflict under paragraph (a) occurs when: (i) a lawyer accepts representation of more than one client in a matter in which the interests of the clients actually conflict; or (ii)

a lawyer, while representing a client, accepts in another matter the representation of a person\* or organization who, in the first matter, is directly adverse to the lawyer's client. Similarly, direct adversity can arise when a lawyer cross-examines a non-party witness who is the lawyer's client in another matter, if the examination is likely to harm or embarrass the witness. On the other hand, simultaneous representation in unrelated matters of clients whose interests are only economically adverse, such as representation of competing economic enterprises in unrelated litigation, does not ordinarily constitute a conflict of interest and thus may not require informed written consent\* of the respective clients.

[2] Paragraph (a) does not prohibit a lawyer from representing multiple clients having antagonistic positions on the same legal question that has arisen in different cases, unless the interests of any of the clients would be adversely affected by the resolution of the legal question. Factors relevant in determining whether the interests of one or more of the clients would be adversely affected, thus requiring that the clients provide informed written consent\* under paragraph (a), include: the courts and jurisdictions where the different cases are pending, whether a ruling in one case would have a precedential effect on the other case, whether the legal question is substantive or procedural, the temporal relationship between the matters, the significance of the legal question to the immediate and long-term interests of the clients involved, and the clients' reasonable\* expectations in retaining the lawyer.

[3] Paragraphs (a) and (b) apply to all types of legal representations, including the concurrent representation of multiple parties in litigation or in a single transaction or in some other common enterprise or legal relationship. Examples of the latter include the formation of a partnership for several partners\* or a corporation for several shareholders, the preparation of a pre-nuptial agreement, or joint or reciprocal wills for a husband and wife, or the resolution of an "uncontested" marital dissolution. If a lawyer initially represents multiple clients with the informed written consent\* as required under paragraph (b), and circumstances later develop indicating that direct adversity exists between the clients, the lawyer must obtain further informed written consent\* of the clients under paragraph (a).

[4] In *State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company v. Federal Insurance Company* (1999) 72 Cal.App. 4th 1422 [86 Cal.Rptr.2d 20], the court held that subparagraph (C)(3) of predecessor rule 3-310 was violated when a lawyer, retained by an insurer to defend one suit, and while that suit was still pending, filed a

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

direct action against the same insurer in an unrelated action without securing the insurer's consent. Notwithstanding *State Farm*, paragraph (a) does not apply with respect to the relationship between an insurer and a lawyer when, in each matter, the insurer's interest is only as an indemnity provider and not as a direct party to the action.

[5] Even where there is no direct adversity, a conflict of interest requiring informed written consent\* under paragraph (b) exists if there is a significant risk that a lawyer's ability to consider, recommend or carry out an appropriate course of action for the client will be materially limited as a result of the lawyer's other responsibilities or interests. For example, a lawyer's obligations to two or more clients in the same matter, such as several individuals seeking to form a joint venture, may materially limit the lawyer's ability to recommend or advocate all possible positions that each might take because of the lawyer's duty of loyalty to the other clients. The risk is that the lawyer may not be able to offer alternatives that would otherwise be available to each of the clients. The mere possibility of subsequent harm does not itself require disclosure and informed written consent.\* The critical questions are the likelihood that a difference in interests exists or will eventuate and, if it does, whether it will materially interfere with the lawyer's independent professional judgment in considering alternatives or foreclose courses of action that reasonably\* should be pursued on behalf of each client.

[6] Other rules and laws may preclude the disclosures necessary to obtain the informed written consent\* or provide the information required to permit representation under this Rule. (See, e.g., Bus. & Prof. Code § 6068(e)(1) and Rule 1.6.) If such disclosure is precluded, representation subject to paragraph (a), (b), or (c) of this Rule is likewise precluded.

[7] Paragraph (d) imposes conditions that must be satisfied even if informed written consent\* is obtained as required by paragraphs (a) or (b) or the lawyer has informed the client in writing\* as required by paragraph (c). There are some matters in which the conflicts are such that even informed written consent\* may not suffice to permit representation. (See *Woods v. Superior Court* (1983) 149 Cal.App.3d 931 [197 Cal.Rptr. 185]; *Klemm v. Superior Court* (1977) 75 Cal.App.3d 893 [142 Cal.Rptr. 509]; *Ishmael v. Millington* (1966) 241 Cal.App.2d 520 [50 Cal.Rptr. 592].)

[8] This Rule does not preclude an informed written consent\* to a future conflict in compliance with applicable case law. The effectiveness of an advance consent is generally determined by the extent to which

the client reasonably\* understands the material risks that the consent entails. The more comprehensive the explanation of the types of future representations that might arise and the actual and reasonably\* foreseeable adverse consequences to the client of those representations, the greater the likelihood that the client will have the requisite understanding. An advance consent cannot be effective if the circumstances that materialize in the future make the conflict nonconsentable under paragraph (d). A lawyer who obtains from a client an advance consent that complies with this Rule will have all the duties of a lawyer to that client except as expressly limited by the consent. A lawyer cannot obtain an advance consent to incompetent representation. See Rule 1.8.8.

[9] A material change in circumstances relevant to application of this Rule may trigger a requirement to make new disclosures and, where applicable, obtain new informed written consents.\* In the absence of such consents, depending on the circumstances, the lawyer may have the option to withdraw from one or more of the representations in order to avoid the conflict. The lawyer must seek court approval where necessary and take steps to minimize harm to the clients. See Rule 1.16. The lawyer must continue to protect the confidences of the clients from whose representation the lawyer has withdrawn. See Rule 1.9(c).

[10] For special rules governing membership in a legal service organization, see Rule 6.3; and for work in conjunction with certain limited legal services programs, see Rule 6.5.

## **Rule 1.8.1 [3-300] Business Transactions with a Client and Pecuniary Interests Adverse to the Client**

A lawyer shall not enter into a business transaction with a client, or knowingly\* acquire an ownership, possessory, security or other pecuniary interest adverse to a client, unless each of the following requirements has been satisfied:

- (a) The transaction or acquisition and its terms are fair and reasonable\* to the client and the terms and the lawyer's role in the transaction or acquisition are fully disclosed and transmitted in writing\* to the client in a manner that would reasonably\* have been understood by the client;
- (b) The client either is represented in the transaction or acquisition by an independent lawyer of the client's choice or the client is advised in writing\* to seek the advice of an independent lawyer of the

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

client's choice and is given a reasonable\* opportunity to seek that advice; and

- (c) The client thereafter provides informed written consent\* to the terms of the transaction or the terms of the acquisition, and the lawyer's role.

## Comment

[1] This Rule does not apply to the provisions of an agreement between a lawyer and client relating to the lawyer's hiring or compensation unless the agreement confers on the lawyer an ownership, possessory, security, or other pecuniary interest adverse to the client. A lawyer has an "other pecuniary interest adverse to a client" within the meaning of this Rule when the lawyer possesses a legal right to significantly impair or prejudice the client's rights or interests without court action. See *Fletcher v. Davis* (2004) 33 Cal. 4th 61, 68 [14 Cal.Rptr.3d 58]. See also Business and Professions Code § 6175.3 (Sale of financial products to elder or dependent adult clients; Disclosure) and Family Code §§ 2033-2034 (Attorney lien on community real property). However, this Rule does not apply to a charging lien given to secure payment of a contingency fee. See *Plummer v. Day/Eisenberg, LLP* (2010) 184 Cal. App.4th 38.

[2] For purposes of this Rule, factors that can be considered in determining whether a lawyer is independent include whether the lawyer: (i) has a financial interest in the transaction or acquisition, and (ii) has a close legal, business, financial, professional or personal relationship with the lawyer seeking the client's consent.

[3] Fairness and reasonableness under paragraph (a) are measured at the time of the transaction or acquisition based on the facts that then exist.

[4] This Rule does not apply to an agreement to advance to or deposit with a lawyer a sum to be applied to fees, or costs or other expenses, to be incurred in the future. Such agreements are governed, in part, by Rules 1.5 and 1.15.

[5] This Rule does not apply: (i) where a lawyer and client each make an investment on terms offered by a third person\* to the general public or a significant portion thereof; or (ii) to standard commercial transactions for products or services that a lawyer acquires from a client on the same terms that the client generally markets them to others, where the lawyer has no advantage in dealing with the client.

## Rule 1.8.2 Use of Current Client's Information

A lawyer shall not use a client's information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) to the disadvantage of the client unless the client gives informed consent,\* except as permitted by these Rules or the State Bar Act.

## Comment

A lawyer violates the duty of loyalty by using information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) to the disadvantage of a current client.

## Rule 1.8.3 [4-400] Gifts from Client

(a) A lawyer shall not:

- (1) solicit a client to make a substantial\* gift, including a testamentary gift, to the lawyer or a person\* related to the lawyer, or
- (2) prepare on behalf of a client an instrument giving the lawyer or a person\* related to the lawyer any substantial\* gift, unless (i) the lawyer or other recipient of the gift is related to the client or (ii) the client has been advised by an independent lawyer who has provided a certificate of independent review that complies with the requirements of Probate Code § 21384.

(b) For purposes of this Rule, related persons\* include a person\* who is "related by blood or affinity" as that term is defined in California Probate Code § 21374(a).

## Comment

[1] A lawyer or a person\* related to a lawyer may accept a gift from the lawyer's client, subject to general standards of fairness and absence of undue influence. A lawyer also does not violate this Rule merely by engaging in conduct that might result in a client making a gift, such as by sending the client a wedding announcement. Discipline is appropriate where impermissible influence occurs. See *Magee v. State Bar* (1962) 58 Cal.2d 423 [24 Cal.Rptr. 839].

[2] This Rule does not prohibit a lawyer from seeking to have the lawyer or a partner\* or associate of the lawyer named as executor of the client's estate or to another potentially lucrative fiduciary position. Such appointments, however, will be subject to Rule 1.7(b).



# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

## **Rule 1.8.5 [4-210] Payment of Personal or Business Expenses Incurred by or for a Client**

- (a) A lawyer shall not directly or indirectly pay or agree to pay, guarantee, or represent that the lawyer or lawyer's law firm\* will pay the personal or business expenses of a prospective or existing client.
- (b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), a lawyer may:
  - (1) pay or agree to pay such expenses to third persons,\* from funds collected or to be collected for the client as a result of the representation, with the consent of the client;
  - (2) after the lawyer is retained by the client, agree to lend money to the client based on the client's written\* promise to repay the loan, provided the lawyer complies with Rules 1.7(b) and 1.8.1 before making the loan or agreeing to do so;
  - (3) advance the costs of prosecuting or defending a claim or action, or of otherwise protecting or promoting the client's interests, the repayment of which may be contingent on the outcome of the matter; and
  - (4) pay the costs of prosecuting or defending a claim or action, or of otherwise protecting or promoting the interests of an indigent or pro bono client in a matter in which the lawyer represents the client.
- (c) "Costs" within the meaning of paragraphs (b)(3) and (b)(4) are not limited to those costs that are taxable or recoverable under any applicable statute or rule of court but may include any reasonable\* expenses of litigation, including court costs, and reasonable\* expenses in preparing for litigation or in providing other legal services to the client.
- (d) Nothing in this Rule shall be deemed to limit the application of Rule 1.8.9.

## **Rule 1.8.6 [3-310(F)] Compensation from One Other Than Client**

A lawyer shall not enter into an agreement for, charge, or accept compensation for representing a client from one other than the client unless:

- (a) there is no interference with the lawyer's independent professional judgment or with the lawyer-client relationship;

- (b) information is protected as required by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) and Rule 1.6; and
- (c) the lawyer obtains the client's informed written consent\* at or before the time the lawyer has entered into the agreement for, charged, or accepted the compensation, or as soon thereafter as reasonably\* practicable, provided that no disclosure or consent is required if:
  - (1) nondisclosure or the compensation is otherwise authorized by law or a court order; or
  - (2) the lawyer is rendering legal services on behalf of any public agency or nonprofit organization that provides legal services to other public agencies or the public.

## **Comment**

[1] A lawyer's responsibilities in a matter are owed only to the client except where the lawyer also represents the payor in the same matter. With respect to the lawyer's additional duties when representing both the client and the payor in the same matter, see Rule 1.7.

[2] A lawyer who is exempt from disclosure and consent requirements under paragraph (c) nevertheless must comply with paragraphs (a) and (b).

[3] This Rule is not intended to abrogate existing relationships between insurers and insureds whereby the insurer has the contractual right to unilaterally select counsel for the insured, where there is no conflict of interest. (See *San Diego Navy Federal Credit Union v. Cumis Insurance Society* (1984) 162 Cal.App.3d 358 [208 Cal.Rptr. 494].).

[4] In some limited circumstances, a lawyer might not be able to obtain client consent before the lawyer has entered into an agreement for, charged, or accepted compensation, as required by this Rule. This might happen, for example, when a lawyer is retained or paid by a family member on behalf of an incarcerated client or in certain commercial settings, such as when a lawyer is retained by a creditors' committee involved in a corporate debt restructuring and agrees to be compensated for any services to be provided to other similarly situated creditors who have not yet been identified. In such limited situations, paragraph (c) permits the lawyer to comply with this Rule as soon thereafter as is reasonably\* practicable

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

## Rule 1.8.7 [3-310(D)] Aggregate Settlements

A lawyer who represents two or more clients shall not enter into an aggregate settlement of the claims of or against the clients, or in a criminal case an aggregate agreement as to guilty or nolo contendere pleas, unless each client gives informed written consent.\* This Rule does not apply to class action settlements subject to court approval.

## Rule 1.8.8 [3-400] Limiting Liability to Client

A lawyer shall not:

- (a) Contract with a client prospectively limiting the lawyer's liability to the client for the lawyer's professional malpractice; or
- (b) Settle a claim or potential claim for the lawyer's liability to a client or former client for the lawyer's professional malpractice, unless the client or former client is either:
  - (1) represented by an independent lawyer concerning the settlement; or
  - (2) advised in writing\* by the lawyer to seek the advice of an independent lawyer of the client's choice regarding the settlement and given a reasonable\* opportunity to seek that advice.

### Comment

[1] Paragraph (b) does not absolve the lawyer of the obligation to comply with other law. See, e.g., Business and Professions Code § 6090.5.

[2] This Rule does not apply to customary qualifications and limitations in legal opinions and memoranda, nor does it prevent a lawyer from reasonably\* limiting the scope of the lawyer's representation. See Rule 1.2(b).

## Rule 1.8.9 [4-300] Purchasing Property at a Foreclosure or a Sale Subject to Judicial Review

- (a) A lawyer shall not directly or indirectly purchase property at a probate, foreclosure, receiver's, trustee's, or judicial sale in an action or proceeding in which such lawyer or any lawyer affiliated by reason of personal, business, or professional relationship with that lawyer or with that lawyer's law firm\* is acting as a lawyer for a party or as

executor, receiver, trustee, administrator, guardian, or conservator.

- (b) A lawyer shall not represent the seller at a probate, foreclosure, receiver, trustee, or judicial sale in an action or proceeding in which the purchaser is a spouse or relative of the lawyer or of another lawyer in the lawyer's law firm\* or is an employee of the lawyer or the lawyer's law firm.\*

## Rule 1.8.10 [3-120] Sexual Relations with Client

- (a) A lawyer shall not engage in sexual relations with a client unless a consensual sexual relationship existed between them when the lawyer-client relationship commenced.
- (b) For purposes of this Rule, "sexual relations" means sexual intercourse or the touching of an intimate part of another person\* for the purpose of sexual arousal, gratification, or abuse.

### Comment

[1] Although this Rule does not apply to a consensual sexual relationship that exists when a lawyer-client relationship commences, the lawyer nevertheless must comply with all other applicable rules. See, e.g., Rules 1.1 (Competence), 1.7 (Conflicts of Interest: Current Clients) and [2.1 (independent judgment)]<sup>1</sup>.

[2] When the client is an organization, this Rule applies to a lawyer for the organization (whether inside counsel or outside counsel) who has sexual relations with a constituent of the organization who supervises, directs or regularly consults with that lawyer concerning the organization's legal matters. See Rule 1.13.

[3] Business and Professions Code § 6106.9, including the requirement that the complaint be verified, applies to charges under subdivision (a) of that section. This Rule and the statute impose different obligations.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Rules Revision Commission has not made a recommendation to adopt or reject a counterpart to ABA Model Rule 2.1. This bracketed reference is a placeholder pending a recommendation from the Commission. Consideration of Model Rule 2.1 is anticipated for the Commission's August 26, 2016 meeting.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

## Rule 1.8.11 Imputation of Prohibitions Under Rules 1.8.1 to 1.8.9

While lawyers are associated in a law firm,\* a prohibition in Rules 1.8.1 through 1.8.9 that applies to any one of them shall apply to all of them.

### Comment

A prohibition on conduct by an individual lawyer in Rules 1.8.1 through 1.8.9 also applies to all lawyers associated in a law firm\* with the personally prohibited lawyer. For example, one lawyer in a law firm\* may not enter into a business transaction with a client of another lawyer associated in the law firm\* without complying with Rule 1.8.1, even if the first lawyer is not personally involved in the representation of the client. This Rule does not apply to Rule 1.8.10 since the prohibition in that Rule is personal and is not applied to associated lawyers.

## Rule 1.9 [3-310(E)] Duties to Former Clients

- (a) A lawyer who has formerly represented a client in a matter shall not thereafter represent another person\* in the same or a substantially related matter in which that person's interests are materially adverse to the interests of the former client unless the former client gives informed written consent.\*
- (b) A lawyer shall not knowingly\* represent a person\* in the same or a substantially related matter in which a firm\* with which the lawyer formerly was associated had previously represented a client
  - (1) whose interests are materially adverse to that person; and
  - (2) about whom the lawyer had acquired information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e) and Rules 1.6 and 1.9(c) that is material to the matter;unless the former client gives informed written consent.\*
- (c) A lawyer who has formerly represented a client in a matter or whose present or former firm\* has formerly represented a client in a matter shall not thereafter:
  - (1) use information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e) and Rule 1.6 acquired by virtue of the representation of the former client to the disadvantage of the

former client except as these Rules or the State Bar Act would permit with respect to a current client, or when the information has become generally known;\*

- (2) reveal information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e) and Rule 1.6 acquired by virtue of the representation of the former client except as these Rules or the State Bar Act permit with respect to a current client; or
- (3) without the informed written consent\* of the former client, accept representation adverse to the former client where, by virtue of the representation of the former client, the lawyer has acquired information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e) and Rule 1.6 that is material to the representation.

### Comment

[1] After termination of a lawyer-client relationship, the lawyer owes two duties to a former client. The lawyer may not (i) do anything that will injuriously affect the former client in any matter in which the lawyer represented the former client, or (ii) at any time use against the former client knowledge or information acquired by virtue of the previous relationship. See *Oasis West Realty, LLC v. Goldman* (2011) 51 Cal.4th 811 [124 Cal.Rptr.3d 256] and *Wutchumna Water Co. v. Bailey* (1932) 216 Cal. 564 [15 P.2d 505]. For example, (i) a lawyer could not properly seek to rescind on behalf of a new client a contract drafted on behalf of the former client and (ii) a lawyer who has prosecuted an accused person\* could not represent the accused in a subsequent civil action against the government concerning the same matter. See also Business and Professions Code § 6131 and 18 U.S.C. § 207(a). These duties exist to preserve a client's trust in the lawyer and to encourage the client's candor in communications with the lawyer.

[2] Paragraph (b) addresses a lawyer's duties to a client who has become a former client because the lawyer no longer is associated with the law firm\* that represents or represented the client. In that situation, the lawyer has a conflict of interest only when the lawyer involved has actual knowledge of information protected by Rules 1.6, 1.9(c), and Business and Professions Code § 6068(e). Thus, if a lawyer while with one firm\* acquired no knowledge or information relating to a particular client of the firm,\* and that lawyer later joined another firm,\* neither the lawyer individually nor the second firm\* would violate this Rule by representing another client in the same or a related matter even though the interests of the two clients conflict. See Rule

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

1.10(b) for the restrictions on a firm\* once a lawyer has terminated association with the firm.\*

[3] The fact that information can be discovered in a public record does not, by itself, render that information generally known\* under paragraph (c). See, e.g., *In the Matter of Johnson* (Review Dept. 2000) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 179.

[4] With regard to the effectiveness of an advance consent, see Comment [8] to Rule 1.7. With regard to disqualification of a firm\* with which a lawyer is or was formerly associated, see Rule 1.10. Current and former government lawyers must comply with this Rule to the extent required by Rule 1.11.

## Rule 1.10 Imputation of Conflicts of Interest: General Rule

- (a) While lawyers are associated in a firm,\* none of them shall knowingly\* represent a client when any one of them practicing alone would be prohibited from doing so by Rules 1.7 or 1.9, unless
  - (1) the prohibition is based on a personal interest of the prohibited lawyer and does not present a significant risk of materially limiting the representation of the client by the remaining lawyers in the firm;\* or
  - (2) the prohibition is based upon Rule 1.9(a), (b), or (c)(3) and arises out of the prohibited lawyer's association with a prior firm,\* and
    - (i) the prohibited lawyer did not substantially participate in the same or a substantially related matter;
    - (ii) the prohibited lawyer is timely screened\* [in accordance with Rule 1.0.1(k)] from any participation in the matter and is apportioned no part of the fee therefrom; and
    - (iii) written\* notice is promptly given to any affected former client to enable the former client to ascertain compliance with the provisions of this Rule, which shall include a description of the screening procedures employed; and an agreement by the firm\* to respond promptly to any written\* inquiries or objections by the former client about the screening procedures.

(b) When a lawyer has terminated an association with a firm,\* the firm\* is not prohibited from thereafter representing a person\* with interests materially adverse to those of a client represented by the formerly associated lawyer and not currently represented by the firm,\* unless:

- (1) the matter is the same or substantially related to that in which the formerly associated lawyer represented the client; and
- (2) any lawyer remaining in the firm\* has information protected by Rules 1.6, 1.9(c), and Business and Professions Code § 6068(e) that is material to the matter.

(c) A prohibition under this Rule may be waived by each affected client under the conditions stated in Rule 1.7.

(d) The imputation of a conflict of interest to lawyers associated in a firm\* with former or current government lawyers is governed by Rule 1.11.

## Comment

[1] Paragraph (a) does not prohibit representation by others in the law firm\* where the person\* prohibited from involvement in a matter is a nonlawyer, such as a paralegal or legal secretary. Nor does paragraph (a) prohibit representation if the lawyer is prohibited from acting because of events before the person\* became a lawyer, for example, work that the person\* did as a law student. Such persons,\* however, ordinarily must be screened\* from any personal participation in the matter. See Rules 1.0.1(k) and 5.3.

[2] Paragraph (a)(2)(ii) does not prohibit the screened\* lawyer from receiving a salary or partnership share established by prior independent agreement, but that lawyer may not receive compensation directly related to the matter in which the lawyer is prohibited.

[3] Where a lawyer is prohibited from engaging in certain transactions under Rules 1.8.1 through 1.8.9, Rule 1.8.11, and not this Rule, determines whether that prohibition also applies to other lawyers associated in a firm\* with the personally prohibited lawyer.

[4] The responsibilities of managerial and supervisory lawyers prescribed by Rules 5.1 and 5.3 apply to screening arrangements implemented under this Rule.

[5] Standards for disqualification, and whether in a particular matter (1) a lawyer's conflict will be imputed to other lawyers in the same firm\* or (2) the use of a timely

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

screen is effective to avoid that imputation, are also the subject of statutes and case law. See, e.g., Code of Civil Procedure § 128(a)(5); Penal Code § 1424; *In re Charlissee C.* (2008) 45 Cal.4th 145 [84 Cal.Rptr.3d 597]; *Rhaburn v. Superior Court* (2006) 140 Cal.App.4th 1566 [45 Cal.Rptr.3d 464].

## Rule 1.11 Special Conflicts of Interest for Former and Current Government Officials and Employees

- (a) Except as law may otherwise expressly permit, a lawyer who has formerly served as a public official or employee of the government:
  - (1) is subject to Rule 1.9(c); and
  - (2) shall not otherwise represent a client in connection with a matter in which the lawyer participated substantially as a public official or employee, unless the appropriate government agency gives its informed written consent\* to the representation. This paragraph shall not apply to matters governed by Rule 1.12(a).
- (b) When a lawyer is prohibited from representation under paragraph (a), no lawyer in a firm\* with which that lawyer is associated may knowingly\* undertake or continue representation in such a matter unless:
  - (1) the personally prohibited lawyer is timely screened\* [in accordance with Rule 1.0.1(k)] from any participation in the matter and is apportioned no part of the fee therefrom; and
  - (2) written\* notice is promptly given to the appropriate government agency to enable it to ascertain compliance with the provisions of this Rule
- (c) Except as law may otherwise expressly permit, a lawyer who was a public official or employee and, during that employment, acquired information that the lawyer knows\* is confidential government information about a person,\* may not represent a private client whose interests are adverse to that person\* in a matter in which the information could be used to the material disadvantage of that person.\* As used in this Rule, the term “confidential government information” means information that has been obtained under governmental authority, that, at the time this Rule is applied, the government is prohibited by law from disclosing to the public, or has a legal privilege not to disclose, and that is not otherwise available to the public. A

firm\* with which that lawyer is associated may undertake or continue representation in the matter only if the personally prohibited lawyer is timely screened\* [in accordance with Rule 1.0.1(k)] from any participation in the matter and is apportioned no part of the fee therefrom.

- (d) Except as law may otherwise expressly permit, a lawyer currently serving as a public official or employee:
  - (1) is subject to Rules 1.7 and 1.9; and
  - (2) shall not:
    - (i) participate in a matter in which the lawyer participated substantially while in private practice or nongovernmental employment, unless the appropriate government agency gives its informed written consent;\* or
    - (ii) negotiate for private employment with any person\* who is involved as a party, or as a lawyer for a party, or with a law firm\* for a party, in a matter in which the lawyer is participating substantially, except that a lawyer serving as a law clerk to a judge, other adjudicative officer or arbitrator may negotiate for private employment as permitted by Rule 1.12(b) and subject to the conditions stated in Rule 1.12(b).
- (e) As used in this Rule, the term “matter” includes:
  - (1) any judicial or other proceeding, application, request for a ruling or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, investigation, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular matter involving a specific party or parties, and
  - (2) any other matter covered by the conflict of interest rules of the appropriate government agency.

## Comment

- [1] Rule 1.10 is not applicable to the conflicts of interest addressed by this Rule.
- [2] Paragraphs (a)(2) and (d)(2) apply regardless of whether a lawyer is adverse to a former client.
- [3] By requiring a former government lawyer to comply with Rule 1.9(c), paragraph (a)(1) protects

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

information obtained while working for the government to the same extent as information learned while representing a private client. This provision applies regardless of whether the lawyer was working in a “legal” capacity. Thus, information learned by the lawyer while in public service in an administrative, policy or advisory position also is covered by paragraph (a)(1).

[4] Paragraph (c) operates only when the lawyer in question has actual knowledge of the information; it does not operate with respect to information that merely could be imputed to the lawyer.

[5] When a lawyer has been employed by one government agency and then moves to a second government agency, it may be appropriate to treat that second agency as another client for purposes of this Rule, as when a lawyer is employed by a city and subsequently is employed by a federal agency. Because conflicts of interest are governed by paragraphs (a) and (b), the latter agency is required to screen the lawyer. Whether two government agencies should be regarded as the same or different clients for conflict of interest purposes is beyond the scope of these Rules. See Rule 1.13, Comment [6]. See also *Civil Service Commission v. Superior Court* (1984) 163 Cal.App.3d 70, 76-78 [209 Cal.Rptr. 159].

[6] Paragraphs (b) and (c) do not prohibit a lawyer from receiving a salary or partnership share established by prior independent agreement, but that lawyer may not receive compensation directly relating the lawyer’s compensation to the fee in the matter in which the lawyer is disqualified.

[7] Paragraphs (a) and (d) do not prohibit a lawyer from jointly representing a private party and a government agency when doing so is permitted by Rule 1.7 and is not otherwise prohibited by law.

[8] A lawyer serving as a public official or employee of the government may participate in a matter in which the lawyer participated substantially while in private practice or non-governmental employment only if: (i) the government agency gives its informed written consent\* as required by subparagraph (d)(2)(i); and (ii) the former client gives its informed written consent\* as required by Rule 1.9, to which the lawyer is subject by subparagraph (d)(1).

[9] This Rule is not intended to address whether in a particular matter: (i) a lawyer’s conflict under paragraph (d) will be imputed to other lawyers serving in the same governmental agency or (ii) the use of a timely screen will avoid that imputation. The imputation and

screening rules for lawyers moving from private practice into government service under paragraph (d) are left to be addressed by case law and its development. See *City & County of San Francisco v. Cobra Solutions, Inc.*, 38 Cal. 4th at 847, 851-54 and *City of Santa Barbara v. Superior Court* (2004) 122 Cal.App.4th 17, 26-27 [18 Cal.Rptr.3d 403]. Regarding the standards for recusals of prosecutors in criminal matters, see Penal Code § 1424; *Haraguchi v. Superior Court* (2008) 43 Cal. 4th 706, 711-20 [76 Cal.Rptr.3d 250]; and *Hollywood v. Superior Court* (2008) 43 Cal.4th 721, 727-35 [76 Cal.Rptr.3d 264]. Concerning prohibitions against former prosecutors participating in matters in which they served or participated in as prosecutor, see, e.g., Business and Professions Code § 6131 and 18 U.S.C. § 207(a).

## Rule 1.12 Former Judge, Arbitrator, Mediator or Other Third-Party Neutral

- (a) Except as stated in paragraph (d), a lawyer shall not represent anyone in connection with a matter in which the lawyer participated substantially as a judge or other adjudicative officer, judicial staff attorney or law clerk to such a person\* or as an arbitrator, mediator or other third-party neutral, unless all parties to the proceeding give informed written consent.\*
- (b) A lawyer shall not participate in discussions regarding prospective employment with any person\* who is involved as a party or as lawyer for a party, or with a law firm\* for a party, in a matter in which the lawyer is participating substantially as a judge or other adjudicative officer or as an arbitrator, mediator or other third-party neutral. A lawyer serving as a judicial staff attorney or law clerk to a judge or other adjudicative officer may participate in discussions regarding prospective employment with a party, or with a lawyer or a law firm\* for a party, in a matter in which the clerk is participating substantially, but only with the approval of the court.
- (c) If a lawyer is prohibited from representation by paragraph (a), but not by virtue of previous service as a mediator or settlement judge, no lawyer in a firm\* with which that lawyer is associated may knowingly\* undertake or continue representation in the matter unless:
  - (1) the prohibited lawyer is timely screened\* [in accordance with Rule 1.0.1(k)] from any participation in the matter and is apportioned no part of the fee therefrom; and

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

- (2) written\* notice is promptly given to the parties and any appropriate tribunal\* to enable them to ascertain compliance with the provisions of this Rule.
- (d) An arbitrator selected as a partisan of a party in a multimember arbitration panel is not prohibited from subsequently representing that party.

## Comment

[1] For purposes of this Rule, the term “substantially” signifies that a judge who was a member of a multimember court, and thereafter left judicial office to practice law, is not prohibited from representing a client in a matter pending in the court, but in which the former judge did not participate, or acquire material confidential information. The fact that a former judge exercised administrative responsibility in a court also does not prevent the former judge from acting as a lawyer in a matter where the judge had previously exercised remote or incidental administrative responsibility that did not affect the merits, such as uncontested procedural duties typically performed by a presiding or supervising judge or justice. The term “adjudicative officer” includes such officials as judges pro tempore, referees and special masters.

[2] Other law or codes of ethics governing third-party neutrals may impose more stringent standards of personal or imputed disqualification. See Rule 2.4.

[3] Paragraph (c)(1) does not prohibit the screened\* lawyer from receiving a salary or partnership share established by prior independent agreement, but that lawyer may not receive compensation directly related to the matter in which the lawyer is disqualified.

## Rule 1.13 [3-600] Organization as Client

- (a) A lawyer employed or retained by an organization shall conform his or her representation to the concept that the client is the organization itself, acting through its duly authorized directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders, or other constituents overseeing the particular engagement.
- (b) If a lawyer representing an organization knows\* that a constituent is acting, intends to act or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation in a manner that the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* is (i) a violation of a legal obligation to the organization or a violation of law reasonably\* imputable to the organization, and (ii) likely to result in substantial\* injury to the organization, the

lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably\* necessary in the best lawful interest of the organization. Unless the lawyer reasonably believes\* that it is not necessary in the best lawful interest of the organization to do so, the lawyer shall refer the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the circumstances, to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.

- (c) In taking any action pursuant to paragraph (b), the lawyer shall not violate his or her duty of protecting all information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1).
- (d) If, despite the lawyer’s actions in accordance with paragraph (b), the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization insists upon action, or fails to act, in a manner that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization or a violation of law reasonably\* imputable to the organization, and is likely to result in substantial\* injury to the organization, the lawyer shall continue to proceed as is reasonably\* necessary in the best lawful interests of the organization. The lawyer’s response may include the lawyer’s right and, where appropriate, duty to resign or withdraw in accordance with Rule 1.16.
- (e) A lawyer who reasonably believes\* that he or she has been discharged because of the lawyer’s actions taken pursuant to paragraph (b), or who resigns or withdraws under circumstances described in paragraph (d), shall proceed as the lawyer reasonably believes\* necessary to assure that the organization’s highest authority is informed of the lawyer’s discharge or withdrawal.
- (f) In dealing with an organization’s constituents, a lawyer representing the organization shall explain the identity of the lawyer’s client whenever the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* that the organization’s interests are adverse to those of the constituent(s) with whom the lawyer is dealing.
- (g) A lawyer representing an organization may also represent any of its constituents, subject to the provisions of Rules 1.7, 1.8.2, 1.8.6, and 1.8.7. If the organization’s consent to the dual representation is required by any of these Rules, the consent shall be given by an appropriate official or body of the organization other than the individual who is to be represented, or by the shareholders.

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

## Comment

### *The Entity as the Client*

[1] This Rule applies to all forms of private, public and governmental organizations. See Comment [6]. An organizational client can only act through individuals who are authorized to conduct its affairs. The identity of an organization's constituents will depend on its form, structure, and chosen terminology. For example, in the case of a corporation, constituents include officers, directors, employees and shareholders. In the case of other organizational forms, constituents include the equivalents of officers, directors, employees, and shareholders. Any agent or fiduciary authorized to act on behalf of an organization is a constituent of the organization for purposes of the authorized matter.

[2] A lawyer ordinarily must accept decisions an organization's constituents make on behalf of the organization, even if the lawyer questions their utility or prudence. It is not within the lawyer's province to make decisions on behalf of the organization concerning policy and operations, including ones entailing serious risk. A lawyer, however, has a duty to inform the client of significant developments related to the representation under Rule 1.4 and Business and Professions Code § 6068(m). Even when a lawyer is not obligated to proceed in accordance with paragraph (b), the lawyer may refer to higher authority, including the organization's highest authority, matters that the lawyer reasonably believes\* are sufficiently important to refer in the best interest of the organization subject to Rule 1.6 and Business and Professions Code § 6068(e).

[3] Paragraph (b) distinguishes between knowledge of the conduct and knowledge of the consequences of that conduct. When a lawyer knows\* of the conduct, the lawyer's obligations under paragraph (b) are triggered when the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* that the conduct is (i) a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law reasonably\* imputable to the organization, and (ii) likely to result in substantial\* injury to the organization.

[4] In determining how to proceed under paragraph (b), the lawyer should consider the seriousness of the violation and its potential consequences, the responsibility in the organization and the apparent motivation of the person\* involved, the policies of the organization concerning such matters, and any other relevant considerations. Ordinarily, referral to a higher authority would be necessary. In some circumstances, however, the lawyer may ask the constituent to reconsider the matter. For example, if the circumstances involve a constituent's innocent misunderstanding of law and

subsequent acceptance of the lawyer's advice, the lawyer may reasonably\* conclude that the best interest of the organization does not require that the matter be referred to higher authority. If a constituent persists in conduct contrary to the lawyer's advice, it will be necessary for the lawyer to take steps to have the matter reviewed by a higher authority in the organization. If the matter is of sufficient seriousness and importance or urgency to the organization, referral to higher authority in the organization may be necessary even if the lawyer has not communicated with the constituent. For the responsibility of a subordinate lawyer in representing an organization, see Rule 5.2.

[5] This Rule does not authorize a lawyer to substitute the lawyer's judgment for that of the organization or to take action on behalf of the organization independently of the direction the lawyer receives from the highest authorized constituent overseeing the particular engagement. In determining how to proceed in the best lawful interests of the organization, a lawyer should consider the extent to which the organization should be informed of the circumstances, the actions taken by the organization with respect to the matter and the direction the lawyer has received from the organizational client.

### *Governmental Organizations*

[6] It is beyond the scope of this Rule to define precisely the identity of the client and the lawyer's obligations when representing a governmental agency. Although in some circumstances the client may be a specific agency, it may also be a branch of government or the government as a whole. In a matter involving the conduct of government officials, a government lawyer may have authority under applicable law to question such conduct more extensively than that of a lawyer for a private organization in similar circumstances. Duties of lawyers employed by the government or lawyers in military service may be defined by statutes and regulations. In addition, a governmental organization may establish internal organizational rules and procedures that identify an official, agency, organization, or other person\* to serve as the designated recipient of whistleblower reports from the organization's lawyers, consistent with Rule 1.6 and Business and Professions Code § 6068(e). This Rule is not intended to limit that authority.

## **Rule 1.14 Client with Diminished Capacity**

- (a) Duties Owed Client with Diminished Capacity. When a client's capacity to make adequately considered decisions in connection with a representation is diminished, whether because of

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.



# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

- minority, mental impairment or for some other reason, the lawyer shall, as far as reasonably\* possible, maintain a normal lawyer-client relationship with the client.
- (b) Taking Protective Action on Behalf of a Client With Significantly Diminished Capacity.
- (1) Except where the lawyer represents a minor, a client in a criminal matter, or a client who is the subject of a conservatorship proceeding or who has a guardian ad litem or other person\* legally entitled to act for the client, the lawyer may, but is not required to take protective action, provided the lawyer has obtained the client's consent as provided in paragraph (c) or (d), and the lawyer reasonably believes\* that:
- (i) there is a significant risk that the client will suffer substantial\* physical, psychological, or financial harm unless protective action is taken,
  - (ii) the client has significantly diminished capacity such that the client is unable to understand and make adequately considered decisions regarding the potential harm, and
  - (iii) the client cannot adequately act in the client's own interest.
- (2) Information relating to the client's diminished capacity is protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) and Rule 1.6. In taking protective action as authorized by this paragraph, the lawyer must:
- (i) act in the client's best interest, and
  - (ii) disclose no more information than is reasonably\* necessary to protect the client from substantial\* physical, psychological, or financial harm, given the information known\* to the lawyer at the time of disclosure.
- (c) Obtaining Consent To Take Protective Action.
- (1) Before taking protective action as authorized by paragraph (b), a lawyer must take all steps reasonably\* necessary to preserve client confidentiality and decision-making authority, which includes:
- (i) explaining to the client the need to take protective action, and
  - (ii) obtaining the client's consent to take the protective action.
- (2) In seeking the consent of a client to take protective action under paragraph (b), the lawyer may obtain the assistance of an appropriate person\* to assist the lawyer in communicating with the client. In obtaining such assistance, the lawyer must:
- (i) act in the client's best interest;
  - (ii) disclose no more information than is reasonably\* necessary to protect the client from substantial\* physical, psychological, or financial harm, given the information known\* to the lawyer at the time of disclosure; and
  - (iii) take all reasonable\* steps to ensure that the information disclosed remains confidential.
- (d) Obtaining Advance Informed Written Consent\* to Take Protective Action. A lawyer may obtain a client's advance informed written consent\* to take protective action in the event the circumstances set forth in paragraphs (b)(1)(i) – (iii) should later occur. The advance consent must include the following written\* disclosures:
- (1) the authorization to take protective action is valid only when the lawyer reasonably believes\* that the circumstances set forth in (b)(1)(i) – (iii) are present; and
  - (2) the client retains the right to revoke or modify the advance consent at any time.
- (e) Restrictions on Lawyer's Actions. This Rule does not authorize the lawyer to take:
- (1) any action that is adverse to the client, including the filing of a conservatorship petition or other similar action;
  - (2) any action on behalf of a person\* other than the client that the lawyer would not be permitted to take under Rule 1.7 or 1.9; or
  - (3) any action that would violate the client's right to due process of law under the United States or California Constitutions, or the California Probate Code.

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

- (f) Definitions. For purposes of this Rule:
- (1) “Protective action” means to take action to protect the client’s interests by:
    - (i) notifying an individual or organization that has the ability to take action to protect the client, or
    - (ii) seeking to have a guardian ad litem appointed.
- (g) Discipline. Neither a lawyer who takes protective action as authorized by this Rule, nor a lawyer who chooses not to take such action, is subject to discipline.

## Comment

[1] The purpose of this Rule is to allow a lawyer to act competently on behalf of a client with significantly diminished capacity, to further the client’s goals in the representation, and to protect the client’s interests.

[2] A client with significantly diminished capacity, such that the client cannot make adequately considered decisions regarding potential harm, often has the ability to understand, deliberate upon, express preferences concerning, and reach conclusions about matters affecting the client’s own well-being, including the ability to provide consent. (See Prob. Code §§ 810 – 813.)

[3] In determining whether a client has significantly diminished capacity such that the client is unable to make adequately considered decisions, a lawyer may seek information or guidance from an appropriate diagnostician or other qualified medical service provider. In doing so, the lawyer may not reveal client confidential information without the client’s authorization or except as otherwise permitted by these Rules. See Rule 1.6(b) and Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(2).

[4] Where it is reasonably\* foreseeable that a client may suffer from significantly diminished capacity in the future such that the client will likely be unable to make adequately considered decisions, the lawyer may have an obligation to explain to the client the need to take measures to protect the client’s interests, including using voluntary surrogate decision-making tools such as durable powers of attorney and seeking assistance from family members, support groups and professional services with the client’s informed written consent.\* See Rule 1.4.

[5] In obtaining the assistance another person\* such as a trained professional to assist in communicating with and furthering the interests of the client pursuant to paragraph (c), the lawyer must look to the client, and not the other person,\* for authorization to take protective measures on the client’s behalf. See Evidence Code § 952. The lawyer must advise the person\* who assists the lawyer that the person\* is not authorized to disclose information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1) to any third person.\*

[6] This Rule does not apply in the case of a client who is (i) a minor, (ii) involved in a criminal matter, (iii) is the subject of a conservatorship; or (iv) has a guardian or other person\* legally entitled to act for the client. The rights of such persons\* are regulated under other statutory schemes. See Family Code § 3150; Welfare and Institutions Code § 1368 et seq.; Lanterman-Petris-Short Act, Welfare and Institutions Code Division 5, Part 1, § 5000-5579; Probate Code, Division 4, Parts 1-8, § 1400-3803; and Code of Civil Procedure §§ 372-376.

## Rule 1.15 [4-100] Safekeeping Funds and Property of Clients and Other Persons\*

- (a) All funds received or held by a lawyer or law firm\* for the benefit of a client, or other person\* to whom the lawyer owes a contractual, statutory, or other legal duty, including advances for fees, costs and expenses, shall be deposited in one or more identifiable bank accounts labelled “Trust Account” or words of similar import, maintained in the State of California, or, with written\* consent of the client, in any other jurisdiction where there is a substantial\* relationship between the client or the client’s business and the other jurisdiction.
- (b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), a flat fee paid in advance for legal services may be deposited in a lawyer’s or law firm’s operating account, provided:
- (1) The lawyer or law firm\* discloses to the client in writing\* (i) that the client has a right under paragraph (a) to require that the flat fee be deposited in an identified trust account until the fee is earned, and (ii) that the client is entitled to a refund of any amount of the fee that has not been earned in the event the representation is terminated or the services for which the fee has been paid are not completed, and

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

- (2) The client's agreement to deposit the flat fee in the lawyer's operating account and the disclosures required by paragraph (b)(1) are set forth in a writing\* signed by the client.
- (c) Funds belonging to the lawyer or the law firm\* shall not be deposited or otherwise commingled with funds held in a trust account except:
  - (1) funds reasonably\* sufficient to pay bank charges.
  - (2) funds belonging in part to a client or other person\* and in part presently or potentially to the lawyer or the law firm,\* in which case the portion belonging to the lawyer or law firm\* must be withdrawn at the earliest reasonable\* time after the lawyer or law firm's interest in that portion becomes fixed. However, if a client or other person\* disputes the lawyer or law firm's right to receive a portion of trust funds, the disputed portion shall not be withdrawn until the dispute is finally resolved.
- (d) A lawyer shall:
  - (1) promptly notify a client or other person\* of the receipt of funds, securities, or other property in which the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* the client or other person\* has an interest;
  - (2) identify and label securities and properties of a client or other person\* promptly upon receipt and place them in a safe deposit box or other place of safekeeping as soon as practicable;
  - (3) maintain complete records of all funds, securities, and other property of a client or other person\* coming into the possession of the lawyer or law firm\*;
  - (4) promptly account in writing\* to the client or other person\* for whom the lawyer holds funds or property;
  - (5) preserve records of all funds and property held by a lawyer or law firm\* under this Rule for a period of no less than five years after final appropriate distribution of such funds or property;
- (6) comply with any order for an audit of such records issued pursuant to the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.
- (7) promptly distribute, as requested by the client or other person,\* any undisputed funds or property in the possession of the lawyer or law firm\* that the client or other person\* is entitled to receive.
- (e) The Board of Trustees of the State Bar shall have the authority to formulate and adopt standards as to what "records" shall be maintained by lawyers and law firms\* in accordance with subparagraph(d)(3). The standards formulated and adopted by the Board, as from time to time amended, shall be effective and binding on all lawyers.

## Standards:

Pursuant to this Rule, the Board of Trustees of the State Bar adopted the following standards, effective \_\_\_\_\_, as to what "records" shall be maintained by lawyers and law firms\* in accordance with subparagraph (d)(3).

(1) A lawyer shall, from the date of receipt of funds of the client or other person\* through the period ending five years from the date of appropriate disbursement of such funds, maintain:

(a) a written\* ledger for each client or other person\* on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:

- (i) the name of such client or other person,
- (ii) the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client or other person,
- (iii) the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client or other person,\* and
- (iv) the current balance for such client or other person;

(b) a written\* journal for each bank account that sets forth:

- (i) the name of such account,
- (ii) the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit, and
- (iii) the current balance in such account;

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

(c) all bank statements and cancelled checks for each bank account; and

(d) each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (a), (b), and (c).

(2) A lawyer shall, from the date of receipt of all securities and other properties held for the benefit of client or other person\* through the period ending five years from the date of appropriate disbursement of such securities and other properties, maintain a written\* journal that specifies:

(a) each item of security and property held;

(b) the person\* on whose behalf the security or property is held;

(c) the date of receipt of the security or property;

(d) the date of distribution of the security or property; and

(e) person\* to whom the security or property was distributed.

## Comment

[1] Whether a lawyer owes a contractual, statutory or other legal duty under paragraph (a) to hold funds on behalf of a person\* other than a client in situations where client funds are subject to a third-party lien will depend on the relationship between the lawyer and the third-party, whether the lawyer has assumed a contractual obligation to the third person\* and whether the lawyer has an independent obligation to honor the lien under a statute or other law. In certain circumstances, a lawyer may be civilly liable when the lawyer has notice of a lien and disburses funds in contravention of the lien. See *Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc. v. Aguiluz* (1996) 47 Cal.App.4th 302 [54 Cal.Rptr.2d 665]. However, civil liability by itself does not establish a violation of this Rule. Compare *Johnstone v. State Bar of California* (1966) 64 Cal.2d 153, 155-156 [49 Cal.Rptr. 97] (“When an attorney assumes a fiduciary relationship and violates his duty in a manner that would justify disciplinary action if the relationship had been that of attorney and client, he may properly be disciplined for his misconduct.”) and *Crooks v. State Bar* (1970) 3 Cal.3d 346, 358 [90 Cal.Rptr. 600] (lawyer who agrees to act as escrow or stakeholder for a client and a third-party owes a duty to the nonclient with regard to held funds).

[2] As used in this Rule, “advances for fees” means a payment intended by the client as an advance payment

for some or all of the services that the lawyer is expected to perform on the client’s behalf. With respect to the difference between a true retainer and a flat fee, which is one type of advance fee, see Rule 1.5(d) and (e). Subject to Rule 1.5, a lawyer or law firm\* may enter into an agreement that defines when or how an advance fee is earned and may be withdrawn from the client trust account.

[3] Absent written\* disclosure and the client’s agreement in a writing\* signed by the client as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer must deposit a flat fee paid in advance of legal services in the lawyer’s trust account. Paragraph (b) does not apply to advance payment for costs and expenses. Paragraph (b) does not alter the lawyer’s obligations under paragraph (d) or the lawyer’s burden to establish that the fee has been earned.

## Rule 1.16 [3-700] Declining or Terminating Representation

(a) Except as stated in paragraph (c), a lawyer shall not represent a client or, where representation has commenced, shall withdraw from the representation of a client if:

(1) the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* that the client is bringing an action, conducting a defense, asserting a position in litigation, or taking an appeal, without probable cause and for the purpose of harassing or maliciously injuring any person;

(2) the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* that the representation will result in violation of these Rules or of the State Bar Act;

(3) the lawyer’s mental or physical condition renders it unreasonably difficult to carry out the representation effectively; or

(4) the client discharges the lawyer.

(b) Except as stated in paragraph (c), a lawyer may withdraw from representing a client if:

(1) the client insists upon presenting a claim or defense in litigation, or asserting a position or making a demand in a non-litigation matter, that is not warranted under existing law and cannot be supported by good faith argument for an extension, modification, or reversal of existing law;

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

- (2) the client either seeks to pursue a criminal or fraudulent\* course of conduct or has used the lawyer's services to advance a course of conduct that the lawyer reasonably believes\* was a crime or fraud;\*
  - (3) the client insists that the lawyer pursue a course of conduct that is criminal or fraudulent;\*
  - (4) the client by other conduct renders it unreasonably difficult for the lawyer to carry out the employment effectively;
  - (5) the client breaches a material term of an agreement with, or obligation, to the lawyer relating to the representation, and the lawyer has given the client a reasonable\* warning after the breach that the lawyer will withdraw unless the client fulfills the agreement or performs the obligation;
  - (6) the client knowingly\* and freely assents to termination of the representation;
  - (7) the inability to work with co-counsel indicates that the best interests of the client likely will be served by withdrawal;
  - (8) the lawyer's mental or physical condition renders it difficult for the lawyer to carry out the representation effectively;
  - (9) a continuation of the representation is likely to result in a violation of these Rules or the State Bar Act; or
  - (10) the lawyer believes\* in good faith, in a proceeding pending before a tribunal,\* that the tribunal\* will find the existence of other good cause for withdrawal.
- (c) If permission for termination of a representation is required by the rules of a tribunal,\* a lawyer shall not terminate a representation before that tribunal\* without its permission.
  - (d) A lawyer shall not terminate a representation until the lawyer has taken reasonable\* steps to avoid reasonably\* foreseeable prejudice to the rights of the client, such as giving the client sufficient notice to permit the client to retain other counsel, and complying with paragraph (e).
  - (e) Upon the termination of a representation for any reason:

- (1) subject to any applicable protective order, non-disclosure agreement or statutory limitation, the lawyer promptly shall release to the client, at the request of the client, all client materials and property. "Client materials and property" includes correspondence, pleadings, deposition transcripts, experts' reports and other writings,\* exhibits, and physical evidence, whether in tangible, electronic or other form, and other items reasonably\* necessary to the client's representation, whether the client has paid for them or not; and
- (2) the lawyer promptly shall refund any part of a fee or expense paid in advance that the lawyer has not earned or incurred. This provision is not applicable to a true retainer fee paid solely for the purpose of ensuring the availability of the lawyer for the matter.

## Comment

[1] This Rule applies, without limitation, to a sale of a law practice under Rule 1.17. A lawyer can be subject to discipline for improperly threatening to terminate a representation. See *In the Matter of Shalant* (Review Dept. 2005) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 829, 837.

[2] When a lawyer withdraws from the representation of a client in a particular matter under paragraph (a) or (b), the lawyer might not be obligated to withdraw from the representation of the same client in other matters. For example, a lawyer might be obligated under paragraph (a)(1) to withdraw from representing a client because the lawyer has a conflict of interest under Rule 1.7, but that conflict might not arise in other representations of the client.

[3] Lawyers must comply with their obligations to their clients under Rule 1.6 and Business and Professions Code § 6068(e), and to the courts under Rule 3.3 when seeking permission to withdraw under paragraph (c). If a tribunal\* denies a lawyer permission to withdraw, the lawyer is obligated to comply with the tribunal's order. See Business and Professions Code §§ 6068(b) and 6103. This duty applies even if the lawyer sought permission to withdraw because of a conflict of interest. Regarding withdrawal from limited scope representations that involve court appearances, compliance with applicable California Rules of Court concerning limited scope representation satisfies paragraph (c).

[4] Statutes may prohibit a lawyer from releasing information in the client materials and property under certain circumstances. See, e.g., Penal Code §§ 1054.2 and 1054.10.

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

*(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)*

[5] Paragraph (e)(1) does not prohibit a lawyer from making, at the lawyer's own expense, and retaining copies of papers released to the client, or to prohibit a claim for the recovery of the lawyer's expense in any subsequent legal proceeding.

## **Rule 1.17 [2-300] Sale of a Law Practice**

All or substantially all of the law practice of a lawyer, living or deceased, including goodwill, may be sold to another lawyer or law firm\* subject to all the following conditions:

- (a) Fees charged to clients shall not be increased solely by reason of the sale.
- (b) If the sale contemplates the transfer of responsibility for work not yet completed or responsibility for client files or information protected by Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1), then;
  - (1) if the seller is deceased, or has a conservator or other person\* acting in a representative capacity, and no lawyer has been appointed to act for the seller pursuant to Business and Professions Code § 6180.5, then prior to the transfer;
    - (i) the purchaser shall cause a written\* notice to be given to each client whose matter is included in the sale, stating that the interest in the law practice is being transferred to the purchaser; that the client has the right to retain other counsel; that the client may take possession of any client materials and property, as required by Rule 1.16(e)(1); and that if no response is received to the notice within 90 days after it is sent, or if the client's rights would be prejudiced by a failure of the purchaser to act during that time, the purchaser may act on behalf of the client until otherwise notified by the client, and
    - (ii) the purchaser shall obtain the written\* consent of the client. If reasonable\* efforts have been made to locate the client and no response to the paragraph (b)(1)(i) notice is received within 90 days, consent shall be presumed until otherwise notified by the client.

(2) in all other circumstances, not less than 90 days prior to the transfer;

- (i) the seller, or the lawyer appointed to act for the seller pursuant to Business and Professions Code § 6180.5, shall cause a written\* notice to be given to each client whose matter is included in the sale, stating that the interest in the law practice is being transferred to the purchaser; that the client has the right to retain other counsel; that the client may take possession of any client materials and property, as required by Rule 1.16(e)(1); and that if no response is received to the notice within 90 days after it is sent, or if the client's rights would be prejudiced by a failure of the purchaser to act during that time, the purchaser may act on behalf of the client until otherwise notified by the client, and
- (ii) the seller, or the lawyer appointed to act for the seller pursuant to Business and Professions Code § 6180.5, shall obtain the written\* consent of the client prior to the transfer. If reasonable\* efforts have been made to locate the client and no response to the paragraph (b)(2)(i) notice is received within 90 days, consent shall be presumed until otherwise notified by the client.
- (c) If substitution is required by the rules of a tribunal\* in which a matter is pending, all steps necessary to substitute a lawyer shall be taken.
- (d) The purchaser shall comply with the applicable requirements of Rules 1.7 and 1.9.
- (e) Confidential information shall not be disclosed to a nonlawyer in connection with a sale under this Rule.
- (f) This Rule does not apply to the admission to or retirement from a law firm,\* retirement plans and similar arrangements, or sale of tangible assets of a law practice.

## **Comment**

[1] The requirement that the sale be of "all or substantially all of the law practice of a lawyer" prohibits the sale of only a field or area of practice or the seller's practice in a geographical area or in a

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

particular jurisdiction. The prohibition against the sale of less than all or substantially all of a practice protects those clients whose matters are less lucrative and who might find it difficult to secure other counsel if a sale could be limited to substantial\* fee-generating matters. The purchasers are required to undertake all client matters sold in the transaction, subject to client consent. This requirement is satisfied, however, even if a purchaser is unable to undertake a particular client matter because of a conflict of interest.

[2] The sale may not be financed by increases in fees charged to the client of the law practice. Existing arrangements between the seller and the client as to fees and scope of work must be honored by the purchaser. Any modifications of existing fee arrangements between the purchaser and the client after the sale must comply with these Rules and the State Bar Act.

[3] Transfer of individual client matters, where permitted, is governed by Rule 1.5.1. Payment of a fee to a nonlawyer broker for arranging the sale or purchase of a law practice is governed by Rule 5.4(a).

## **COUNSELOR**

### **Rule 2.4 Lawyer as Third-Party Neutral**

- (a) A lawyer serves as a third-party neutral when the lawyer assists two or more persons\* who are not clients of the lawyer to reach a resolution of a dispute, or other matter, that has arisen between them. Service as a third-party neutral may include service as an arbitrator, a mediator or in such other capacity as will enable the lawyer to assist the parties to resolve the matter.
- (b) A lawyer serving as a third-party neutral shall inform unrepresented parties that the lawyer is not representing them. When the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* that a party does not understand the lawyer's role in the matter, the lawyer shall explain the difference between the lawyer's role as a third-party neutral and a lawyer's role as one who represents a client.

#### **Comment**

[1] In serving as a third-party neutral, the lawyer may be subject to court rules or other law that apply either to third-party neutrals generally or to lawyers serving as third-party neutrals. Lawyer neutrals may also be subject to various codes of ethics, such as the Judicial

Council Standards for Mediators in Court Connected Mediation Programs or the Judicial Council Ethics Standards for Neutral Arbitrators in Contractual Arbitration.

[2] A lawyer who serves as a third-party neutral subsequently may be asked to serve as a lawyer representing a client in the same matter. The conflicts of interest that arise for both the individual lawyer and the lawyer's law firm\* are addressed in Rule 1.12.

[3] This Rule is not intended to apply to temporary judges, referees or court-appointed arbitrators. See Rule 2.4.1.

### **Rule 2.4.1 [1-710] Lawyer as Temporary Judge, Referee, or Court-Appointed Arbitrator**

A lawyer who is serving as a temporary judge, referee, or court-appointed arbitrator, and is subject to Canon 6D of the Code of Judicial Ethics, shall comply with the terms of that canon.

#### **Comment**

[1] This Rule is intended to permit the State Bar to discipline lawyers who violate applicable portions of the Code of Judicial Ethics while acting in a judicial capacity pursuant to an order or appointment by a court.

[2] This Rule is not intended to apply to a lawyer serving as a third-party neutral in a mediation or a settlement conference, or as a neutral arbitrator pursuant to an arbitration agreement. See Rule 2.4.

## **ADVOCATE**

### **Rule 3.1 [3-200] Meritorious Claims and Contentions**

- (a) A lawyer shall not:
  - (1) bring or continue an action, conduct a defense, assert a position in litigation, or take an appeal, without probable cause and for the purpose of harassing or maliciously injuring any person; or
  - (2) present a claim or defense in litigation that is not warranted under existing law, unless it can be supported by a good faith argument for an extension, modification, or reversal of the existing law.

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

- (b) A lawyer for the defendant in a criminal proceeding, or the respondent in a proceeding that could result in incarceration, may nevertheless defend the proceeding by requiring that every element of the case be established.

## Rule 3.2 Delay of Litigation

In representing a client, a lawyer shall not use means that have no substantial\* purpose other than to delay or prolong the proceeding or to cause needless expense.

### Comment

See Rule 1.3 with respect to a lawyer's duty to act with reasonable\* diligence and Rule 3.1(b) with respect to a lawyer's representation of a defendant in a criminal proceeding. See also Business and Professions Code § 6128(b).

## Rule 3.3 [5-200(A)-(D)] Candor Toward the Tribunal\*

- (a) A lawyer shall not knowingly:
- (1) make a false statement of fact or law to a tribunal\* or fail to correct a false statement of material fact or law previously made to the tribunal\* by the lawyer;
  - (2) fail to disclose to the tribunal\* legal authority in the controlling jurisdiction known\* to the lawyer to be directly adverse to the position of the client and not disclosed by opposing counsel, or misquote to a tribunal\* the language of a book, statute, decision or other authority; or
  - (3) offer evidence that the lawyer knows\* to be false. If a lawyer, the lawyer's client, or a witness called by the lawyer, has offered material evidence, and the lawyer comes to know of its falsity, the lawyer shall take reasonable\* remedial measures, including, if necessary, disclosure to the tribunal,\* unless disclosure is prohibited by Rule 1.6 and Business and Professions Code § 6068(e). A lawyer may refuse to offer evidence, other than the testimony of a defendant in a criminal matter, that the lawyer reasonably believes\* is false.
- (b) A lawyer who represents a client in a proceeding before a tribunal\* and who knows\* that a person\* intends to engage, is engaging or has engaged in

criminal or fraudulent\* conduct related to the proceeding shall take reasonable\* remedial measures to the extent permitted by Rule 1.6 and Business and Professions Code § 6068(e).

- (c) The duties stated in paragraphs (a) and (b) continue to the conclusion of the proceeding.
- (d) In an ex parte proceeding where notice to the opposing party in the proceeding is not required or given and the opposing party is not present, a lawyer shall inform the tribunal\* of all material facts known\* to the lawyer that will enable the tribunal\* to make an informed decision, whether or not the facts are adverse.

### Comment

[1] This Rule governs the conduct of a lawyer in proceedings of a tribunal,\* including ancillary proceedings such as a deposition conducted pursuant to a tribunal's authority. See Rule 1.0.1(m) for the definition of "tribunal."

[2] The prohibition in paragraph (a)(1) against making false statements of law or failing to correct a material misstatement of law includes citing as authority a decision that has been overruled or a statute that has been repealed or declared unconstitutional, or failing to correct such a citation previously made to the tribunal\* by the lawyer.

### Legal Argument

[3] Legal authority in the controlling jurisdiction may include legal authority outside the jurisdiction in which the tribunal\* sits, such as a federal statute or case that is determinative of an issue in a state court proceeding or a Supreme Court decision that is binding on a lower court.

[4] The duties stated in paragraphs (a) and (b) apply to all lawyers, including defense counsel in criminal cases. If a lawyer knows\* that a client intends to testify falsely or wants the lawyer to introduce false evidence, the lawyer should seek to persuade the client that the evidence should not be offered and, if unsuccessful, must refuse to offer the false evidence. If a criminal defendant insists on testifying, and the lawyer knows\* that the testimony will be false, the lawyer may offer the testimony in a narrative form if the lawyer made reasonable\* efforts to dissuade the client from the unlawful course of conduct and the lawyer has sought permission from the court to withdraw as required by Rule 1.16. See, e.g., *People v. Johnson* (1998) 62 Cal.App.4th 608 [72 Cal.Rptr.2d 805]; *People v. Jennings* (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 899 [83 Cal.Rptr.2d 33].



# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

The obligations of a lawyer under these Rules and the State Bar Act are subordinate to applicable constitutional provisions.

## *Remedial Measures*

[5] Reasonable\* remedial measures under paragraphs (a)(3) and (b) refer to measures that are available under these Rules and the State Bar Act, and which a reasonable\* lawyer would consider appropriate under the circumstances to comply with the lawyer's duty of candor to the tribunal.\* See, e.g., Rules 1.2.1, 1.4(b)(4), 1.16(a), and 8.4; Business and Professions Code §§ 6068(d) and 6128. Remedial measures also include explaining to the client the lawyer's obligations under this Rule and, where applicable, the reasons for the lawyer's decision to seek permission from the tribunal\* to withdraw, and remonstrating further with the client to take corrective action that would eliminate the need for the lawyer to withdraw. If the client is an organization, the lawyer should also consider the provisions of Rule 1.13. Remedial measures do not include disclosure of client confidential information, which the lawyer is required to protect under Rule 1.6 and Business and Professions Code § 6068(e).

## *Duration of Obligation*

[6] A proceeding has concluded within the meaning of this Rule when a final judgment in the proceeding has been affirmed on appeal or the time for review has passed. This Rule does not apply when a lawyer comes to know of a violation of paragraph (b) after the lawyer's representation has concluded. There may be obligations that go beyond this Rule. See, e.g., Rule 3.8(g) and (h).

## *Withdrawal*

[7] A lawyer's compliance with the duty of candor imposed by this Rule does not require that the lawyer withdraw from the representation. The lawyer may, however, be required by Rule 1.16 to seek permission of the tribunal\* to withdraw if the lawyer's compliance with this Rule results in a deterioration of the lawyer-client relationship such that the lawyer can no longer competently and diligently represent the client, or where continued employment will result in a violation of these Rules. A lawyer must comply with Rule 1.6 and Business and Professions Code § 6068(e) with respect to a request to withdraw that is premised on a client's misconduct.

## **Rule 3.4 [5-200(E), 5-220, 5,310] Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel**

A lawyer shall not:

- (a) unlawfully obstruct another party's access to evidence, including a witness, or unlawfully alter, destroy or conceal a document or other material having potential evidentiary value. A lawyer shall not counsel or assist another person\* to do any such act;
- (b) suppress any evidence that the lawyer or the lawyer's client has a legal obligation to reveal or to produce;
- (c) falsify evidence, counsel or assist a witness to testify falsely, or offer an inducement to a witness that is prohibited by law;
- (d) directly or indirectly pay, offer to pay, or acquiesce in the payment of compensation to a witness contingent upon the content of the witness's testimony or the outcome of the case. Except where prohibited by law, a lawyer may advance, guarantee, or acquiesce in the payment of:
  - (1) expenses reasonably\* incurred by a witness in attending or testifying;
  - (2) reasonable\* compensation to a witness for loss of time in attending or testifying; or
  - (3) a reasonable\* fee for the professional services of an expert witness;
- (e) advise or directly or indirectly cause a person\* to secrete himself or herself or to leave the jurisdiction of a tribunal\* for the purpose of making that person\* unavailable as a witness therein;
- (f) knowingly\* disobey an obligation under the rules of a tribunal\* except for an open refusal based on an assertion that no valid obligation exists; or
- (g) in trial, assert personal knowledge of facts in issue except when testifying as a witness, or state a personal opinion as to the guilt or innocence of an accused.

## **Comment**

[1] Paragraph (a) applies to evidentiary material generally, including computerized information. It is a criminal offense to destroy material for purpose of impairing its availability in a pending proceeding or one whose commencement can be foreseen. See, e.g., Penal

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

Code § 135; 18 United States Code §§ 1501-1520. Falsifying evidence is also generally a criminal offense. See, e.g., Penal Code § 132; 18 United States Code § 1519. Applicable law may permit a lawyer to take temporary possession of physical evidence of client crimes for the purpose of conducting a limited examination that will not alter or destroy material characteristics of the evidence. Applicable law may require a lawyer to turn evidence over to the police or other prosecuting authorities, depending on the circumstances. See *People v. Lee* (1970) 3 Cal.App.3d 514, 526 [83 Cal.Rptr. 715]; *People v. Meredith* (1981) 29 Cal.3d 682 [175 Cal.Rptr. 612].

[2] A violation of a civil or criminal discovery rule or statute does not by itself establish a violation of this Rule.

## **Rule 3.5 [5-300, 5-320] Contact with Judges, Officials, Employees, and Jurors**

- (a) Except as permitted by an applicable code of judicial ethics, code of judicial conduct, or standards governing employees of a tribunal,\* a lawyer shall not directly or indirectly give or lend anything of value to a judge, official, or employee of a tribunal.\* This Rule does not prohibit a lawyer from contributing to the campaign fund of a judge running for election or confirmation pursuant to applicable law pertaining to such contributions.
- (b) Unless authorized to do so by law, an applicable code of judicial ethics or code of judicial conduct, a ruling of a tribunal,\* or a court order, a lawyer shall not directly or indirectly communicate with or argue to a judge or judicial officer upon the merits of a contested matter pending before the judge or judicial officer, except:
  - (1) in open court; or
  - (2) with the consent of all other counsel in the matter; or
  - (3) in the presence of all other counsel in the matter; or
  - (4) in writing\* with a copy thereof furnished to all other counsel in the matter; or
  - (5) in ex parte matters.
- (c) As used in this Rule, “judge” and “judicial officer” shall also include (i) administrative law judges; (ii) neutral arbitrators; (iii) State Bar Court judges; and (iv) law clerks, research attorneys, or other court personnel who participate in the decision-making

process, including referees, special masters, or other persons\* to whom a court refers one or more issues and whose decision or recommendation can be binding on the parties if approved by the court.

- (d) A lawyer connected with a case shall not communicate directly or indirectly with anyone the lawyer knows\* to be a member of the venire from which the jury will be selected for trial of that case.
- (e) During trial a lawyer connected with the case shall not communicate directly or indirectly with any juror.
- (f) During trial a lawyer who is not connected with the case shall not communicate directly or indirectly concerning the case with anyone the lawyer knows\* is a juror in the case.
- (g) After discharge of the jury from further consideration of a case a lawyer shall not communicate directly or indirectly with a juror if:
  - (1) the communication is prohibited by law or court order;
  - (2) the juror has made known\* to the lawyer a desire not to communicate;
  - (3) the communication involves misrepresentation, coercion, duress or harassment; or
  - (4) the communication is intended to influence the juror’s actions in future jury service.
- (h) A lawyer shall not directly or indirectly conduct an out of court investigation of a person\* who is either a member of a venire or a juror in a manner likely to influence the state of mind of such person\* in connection with present or future jury service.
- (i) All restrictions imposed by this Rule also apply to communications with, or investigations of, members of the family of a person\* who is either a member of a venire or a juror.
- (j) A lawyer shall reveal promptly to the court improper conduct by a person\* who is either a member of a venire or a juror, or by another toward a person\* who is either a member of a venire or a juror or a member of his or her family, of which the lawyer has knowledge.
- (k) This Rule does not prohibit a lawyer from communicating with persons\* who are members of

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

*(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)*

a venire or jurors as a part of the official proceedings.

- (1) For purposes of this Rule, “juror” means any empaneled, discharged, or excused juror.

## Comment

[1] An applicable code of judicial ethics or code of judicial conduct under this Rule includes the California Code of Judicial Ethics and the Code of Conduct for United States Judges. Regarding employees of a tribunal\* not subject to judicial ethics or conduct codes, applicable standards include the Code of Ethics for the Court Employees of California and 5 U.S.C. § 7353 (Gifts to Federal employees).

[2] For guidance on permissible communications with a juror in a criminal action after discharge of the jury, see Code of Civil Procedure § 206.

[3] It is improper for a lawyer to communicate with a juror who has been removed, discharged, or excused from an empaneled jury, regardless of whether notice is given to other counsel, until such time as the entire jury has been discharged from further service or unless the communication is part of the official proceedings of the case.

## Rule 3.6 [5-120] Trial Publicity

- (a) A lawyer who is participating or has participated in the investigation or litigation of a matter shall not make an extrajudicial statement that the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* will (i) be disseminated by means of public communication and (ii) have a substantial\* likelihood of materially prejudicing an adjudicative proceeding in the matter.
- (b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), but only to the extent permitted by Rule 1.6 and Business and Professions Code § 6068(e), lawyer may state:
- (1) the claim, offense or defense involved and, except when prohibited by law, the identity of the persons\* involved;
  - (2) information contained in a public record;
  - (3) that an investigation of a matter is in progress;
  - (4) the scheduling or result of any step in litigation;

- (5) a request for assistance in obtaining evidence and information necessary thereto;

- (6) a warning of danger concerning the behavior of a person\* involved, when there is reason to believe that there exists the likelihood of substantial\* harm to an individual or to the public but only to the extent that dissemination by public communication is reasonably\* necessary to protect the individual or the public; and

- (7) in a criminal case, in addition to subparagraphs (1) through (6):

- (i) the identity, general area of residence, and occupation of the accused;
- (ii) if the accused has not been apprehended, the information necessary to aid in apprehension of that person;
- (iii) the fact, time, and place of arrest; and
- (iv) the identity of investigating and arresting officers or agencies and the length of the investigation.

- (c) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), a lawyer may make a statement that a reasonable\* lawyer would believe is required to protect a client from the substantial\* undue prejudicial effect of recent publicity not initiated by the lawyer or the lawyer’s client. A statement made pursuant to this paragraph shall be limited to such information as is necessary to mitigate the recent adverse publicity.
- (d) No lawyer associated in a law firm\* or government agency with a lawyer subject to paragraph (a) shall make a statement prohibited by paragraph (a).

## Comment

[1] Whether an extrajudicial statement violates this Rule depends on many factors, including: (i) whether the extrajudicial statement presents information clearly inadmissible as evidence in the matter for the purpose of proving or disproving a material fact in issue; (ii) whether the extrajudicial statement presents information the lawyer knows\* is false, deceptive, or the use of which would violate Business and Professions Code § 6068(d) or Rule 3.3; (iii) whether the extrajudicial statement violates a lawful “gag” order, or protective order, statute, rule of court, or special rule of confidentiality, for example, in juvenile, domestic, mental disability, and certain criminal proceedings, (see

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

Rule 3.4(f) and Business and Professions Code § 6068(a), which require compliance with such obligations); and (iv) the timing of the statement.

[2] This Rule applies to prosecutors and criminal defense counsel. See Rule 3.8(f) for additional duties of prosecutors in connection with extrajudicial statements about criminal proceedings.

## Rule 3.7 [5-210] Lawyer as Witness

- (a) A lawyer shall not act as an advocate in a trial in which the lawyer is likely to be a witness unless:
  - (1) the lawyer's testimony relates to an uncontested issue or matter;
  - (2) the lawyer's testimony relates to the nature and value of legal services rendered in the case; or
  - (3) the lawyer has obtained informed written consent\* from the client. If the lawyer represents the People or a governmental entity, the consent shall be obtained from the head of the office or a designee of the head of the office by which the lawyer is employed.
- (b) A lawyer may act as advocate in a trial in which another lawyer in the lawyer's firm\* is likely to be called as a witness unless precluded from doing so by Rule 1.7 or Rule 1.9.

### Comment

[1] This Rule applies to a trial before a jury, judge, administrative law judge or arbitrator. This Rule does not apply to other adversarial proceedings. This Rule also does not apply in non-adversarial proceedings, as where a lawyer testifies on behalf of a client in a hearing before a legislative body.

[2] A lawyer's obligation to obtain informed written consent\* may be satisfied when the lawyer makes the required disclosure, and the client gives informed consent,\* on the record in court before a licensed court reporter or court recorder who prepares a transcript or recording of the disclosure and consent. See definition of "written" in Rule 1.0.1(n).

[3] Notwithstanding a client's informed written consent,\* courts retain discretion to take action, up to and including disqualification of a lawyer who seeks to both testify and serve as an advocate, to protect the trier of fact from being misled or the opposing party from being prejudiced. See, e.g., *Lyle v. Superior Court* (1981) 122 Cal.App.3d 470 [175 Cal.Rptr. 918].

## Rule 3.8 [5-110] Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor

The prosecutor in a criminal case shall:

- (a) not institute or continue to prosecute a charge that the prosecutor knows\* is not supported by probable cause;
- (b) make reasonable\* efforts to assure that the accused has been advised of the right to, and the procedure for obtaining, counsel and has been given reasonable\* opportunity to obtain counsel;
- (c) not seek to obtain from an unrepresented accused a waiver of important pretrial rights unless the tribunal\* has approved the appearance of the accused in propria persona;
- (d) make timely disclosure to the defense of all evidence or information known\* to the prosecutor that the prosecutor knows\* or reasonably should know\* tends to negate the guilt of the accused or mitigates the offense, and, in connection with sentencing, disclose to the defense all unprivileged mitigating information known\* to the prosecutor that the prosecutor knows\* or reasonably should know\* mitigates the sentence, except when the prosecutor is relieved of this responsibility by a protective order of the tribunal;
- (e) not subpoena a lawyer in a grand jury or other criminal proceeding to present evidence about a past or present client unless the prosecutor reasonably believes:
  - (1) The information sought is not protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege or work product protection;
  - (2) The evidence sought is essential to the successful completion of an ongoing investigation or prosecution; and
  - (3) There is no other feasible alternative to obtain the information;
- (f) exercise reasonable\* care to prevent persons\* under the supervision or direction of the prosecutor, including investigators, law enforcement personnel, employees or other persons\* assisting or associated with the prosecutor in a criminal case from making an extrajudicial statement that the prosecutor would be prohibited from making under Rule 3.6.
- (g) When a prosecutor knows\* of new, credible and material evidence creating a reasonable\* likelihood

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

that a convicted defendant did not commit an offense of which the defendant was convicted, the prosecutor shall:

- (1) promptly disclose that evidence to an appropriate court or authority, and
- (2) if the conviction was obtained in the prosecutor's jurisdiction,
  - (i) promptly disclose that evidence to the defendant unless a court authorizes delay, and
  - (ii) undertake further investigation, or make reasonable\* efforts to cause an investigation, to determine whether the defendant was convicted of an offense that the defendant did not commit.
- (h) When a prosecutor knows\* of clear and convincing evidence establishing that a defendant in the prosecutor's jurisdiction was convicted of an offense that the defendant did not commit, the prosecutor shall seek to remedy the conviction.

## Comment

[1] A prosecutor has the responsibility of a minister of justice and not simply that of an advocate. This responsibility carries with it specific obligations to see that the defendant is accorded procedural justice, that guilt is decided upon the basis of sufficient evidence, and that special precautions are taken to prevent and to rectify the conviction of innocent persons.\* This Rule is intended to achieve those results. All lawyers in government service remain bound by Rules 3.1 and 3.4.

[2] Paragraph (c) does not forbid the lawful questioning of an uncharged suspect who has knowingly\* waived the right to counsel and the right to remain silent. Paragraph (c) also does not forbid prosecutors from seeking from an unrepresented accused a reasonable\* waiver of time for initial appearance or preliminary hearing as a means of facilitating the accused's voluntary cooperation in an ongoing law enforcement investigation.

[3] The disclosure obligations in paragraph (d) include exculpatory and impeachment material relevant to guilt or punishment and are not limited to evidence or information that is material as defined by *Brady v. Maryland* (1963) 373 U.S. 83 [83 S.Ct. 1194] and its progeny. Although this Rule does not incorporate the *Brady* standard of materiality, it is not intended to require cumulative disclosures of information or the

disclosure of information that is protected from disclosure by federal or California laws and rules, as interpreted by cases law or court orders. A disclosure's timeliness will vary with the circumstances, and this Rule is not intended to impose timing requirements different from those established by statutes, procedural rules, court orders, and case law interpreting those authorities and the California and federal constitutions.

[3A] The exception in paragraph (d) recognizes that a prosecutor may seek an appropriate protective order from the tribunal\* if disclosure of information to the defense could result in substantial\* harm to an individual or to the public interest.

[4] Paragraph (f) supplements Rule 3.6, which prohibits extrajudicial statements that have a substantial\* likelihood of prejudicing an adjudicatory proceeding. Paragraph (f) is not intended to restrict the statements which a prosecutor may make which comply with Rule 3.6(b) or 3.6(c).

[5] Prosecutors have a duty to supervise the work of subordinate lawyers and nonlawyer employees or agents. (See Rules 5.1 and 5.3.) Ordinarily, the reasonable\* care standard of paragraph (f) will be satisfied if the prosecutor issues the appropriate cautions to law enforcement personnel and other relevant individuals.

[6] When a prosecutor knows\* of new, credible and material evidence creating a reasonable\* likelihood that a person\* outside the prosecutor's jurisdiction was convicted of a crime that the person\* did not commit, paragraph (g) requires prompt disclosure to the court or other appropriate authority, such as the chief prosecutor of the jurisdiction where the conviction occurred. If the conviction was obtained in the prosecutor's jurisdiction, paragraph (g) requires the prosecutor to examine the evidence and undertake further investigation to determine whether the defendant is in fact innocent or make reasonable\* efforts to cause another appropriate authority to undertake the necessary investigation, and to promptly disclose the evidence to the court and, absent court authorized delay, to the defendant. Disclosure to a represented defendant must be made through the defendant's counsel, and, in the case of an unrepresented defendant, would ordinarily be accompanied by a request to a court for the appointment of counsel to assist the defendant in taking such legal measures as may be appropriate. (See Rule 4.2.)

[7] Under paragraph (h), once the prosecutor knows\* of clear and convincing evidence that the defendant

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

was convicted of an offense that the defendant did not commit, the prosecutor must seek to remedy the conviction. Depending upon the circumstances, steps to remedy the conviction could include disclosure of the evidence to the defendant, requesting that the court appoint counsel for an unrepresented indigent defendant and, where appropriate, notifying the court that the prosecutor has knowledge that the defendant did not commit the offense of which the defendant was convicted.

[8] A prosecutor's independent judgment, made in good faith, that the new evidence is not of such nature as to trigger the obligations of paragraphs (g) and (h), though subsequently determined to have been erroneous, does not constitute a violation of this Rule.

## Rule 3.9 Advocate in Non-adjudicative Proceedings

A lawyer communicating in a representative capacity with a legislative body or administrative agency in connection with a pending nonadjudicative matter or proceeding shall disclose that the appearance is in a representative capacity, except when the lawyer seeks information from an agency that is available to the public.

### Comment

This Rule only applies when a lawyer represents a client in connection with an official hearing or meeting of a governmental agency or a legislative body to which the lawyer or the lawyer's client is presenting evidence or argument. It does not apply to representation of a client in a negotiation or other bilateral transaction with a governmental agency or in connection with an application for a license or other privilege or the client's compliance with generally applicable reporting requirements, such as the filing of income-tax returns. This Rule also does not apply to the representation of a client in connection with an investigation or examination of the client's affairs conducted by government investigators or examiners. Representation in such matters is governed by Rules 4.1 through 4.4. This Rule does not require a lawyer to disclose a client's identity.

## Rule 3.10 [5-100] Threatening Criminal, Administrative, or Disciplinary Charges

(a) A lawyer shall not threaten to present criminal, administrative, or disciplinary charges to obtain an advantage in a civil dispute.

(b) As used in paragraph (a) of this Rule, the term "administrative charges" means the filing or lodging of a complaint with any governmental organization that may order or recommend the loss or suspension of a license, or may impose or recommend the imposition of a fine, pecuniary sanction, or other sanction of a quasi-criminal nature but does not include filing charges with an administrative entity required by law as a condition precedent to maintaining a civil action.

(c) As used in this Rule, the term "civil dispute" means a controversy or potential controversy over the rights and duties of two or more persons\* under civil law, whether or not an action has been commenced, and includes an administrative proceeding of a quasi-civil nature pending before a federal, state, or local governmental entity.

### Comment

[1] Paragraph (a) does not prohibit a statement by a lawyer that the lawyer will present criminal, administrative, or disciplinary charges, unless the statement is made to obtain an advantage in a civil dispute. For example, if a lawyer believes\* in good faith that the conduct of the opposing lawyer or party violates criminal or other laws, the lawyer may state that if the conduct continues the lawyer will report it to criminal or administrative authorities. On the other hand, a lawyer could not state or imply that a criminal or administrative action will be pursued unless the opposing party agrees to settle the civil dispute.

[2] This Rule does not apply to a threat to bring a civil action. It also does not prohibit actually presenting criminal, administrative or disciplinary charges, even if doing so creates an advantage in a civil dispute. Whether a lawyer's statement violates this Rule depends on the specific facts. See, e.g., *Crane v. State Bar* (1981) 30 Cal.3d 117 [177 Cal.Rptr. 670]. A statement that the lawyer will pursue "all available legal remedies," or words of similar import, does not by itself violate this Rule.

[3] This Rule does not apply to (i) a threat to initiate contempt proceedings for a failure to comply with a court order; or (ii) the offer of a civil compromise in accordance with a statute such as Penal Code §§ 1377-78.

[4] This Rule does not prohibit a government lawyer from offering a global settlement or release-dismissal agreement in connection with related criminal, civil or administrative matters. The government lawyer must have probable cause for initiating or continuing criminal charges. See Rule 3.8.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

[5] As used in paragraph (b), “governmental organizations” includes any federal, state, local, and foreign governmental organizations. Paragraph (b) exempts the threat of filing an administrative charge that is a prerequisite to filing a civil complaint on the same transaction or occurrence.

## **TRANSACTIONS WITH PERSONS OTHER THAN CLIENTS**

### **Rule 4.1 Truthfulness in Statements to Others**

In the course of representing a client a lawyer shall not knowingly:\*

- (a) make a false statement of material fact or law to a third person;\*, or
- (b) fail to disclose a material fact to a third person\* when disclosure is necessary to avoid assisting a criminal or fraudulent\* act by a client, unless disclosure is prohibited by Rule 1.6 or Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1).

#### **Comment**

[1] A lawyer is required to be truthful when dealing with others on a client’s behalf, but generally has no affirmative duty to inform an opposing party of relevant facts. A misrepresentation can occur if the lawyer incorporates or affirms the truth of a statement of another person\* that the lawyer knows\* is false. However, in drafting an agreement or other document on behalf of a client, a lawyer does not necessarily affirm or vouch for the truthfulness of representations made by the client in the agreement or document. A nondisclosure can be the equivalent of a false statement of material fact or law under paragraph (a) where a lawyer makes a partially true but misleading material statement or material omission. In addition to this Rule, lawyers remain bound by Rule 8.4 and Business and Professions Code § 6106.

[2] This Rule refers to statements of fact. Whether a particular statement should be regarded as one of fact can depend on the circumstances. For example, in negotiation, certain types of statements ordinarily are not taken as statements of material fact. Estimates of price or value placed on the subject of a transaction and a party’s intentions as to an acceptable settlement of a claim are ordinarily in this category, and so is the existence of an undisclosed principal except where nondisclosure of the principal would constitute fraud.\*

[3] Under Rule 1.2.1, a lawyer is prohibited from counseling or assisting a client in conduct that the lawyer knows\* is criminal or fraudulent.\* See Rule 1.4(a)(5) regarding a lawyer’s obligation to consult with the client about limitations on the lawyer’s conduct. In some circumstances, a lawyer can avoid assisting a client’s crime or fraud\* by withdrawing from the representation in compliance with Rule 1.16.

[4] Regarding a lawyer’s involvement in lawful covert activity in the investigation of violations of law, see Rule 8.4, Comment [5].

### **Rule 4.2 [2-100] Communication with a Represented Person**

- (a) In representing a client, a lawyer shall not communicate directly or indirectly about the subject of the representation with a person\* the lawyer knows\* to be represented by another lawyer in the matter, unless the lawyer has the consent of the other lawyer.
- (b) In the case of a represented corporation, partnership, association, or other private or governmental organization, this Rule prohibits communications with:
  - (1) A current officer, director, partner,\*or managing agent of the organization; or
  - (2) A current employee, member, agent, or other constituent of the organization, if the subject of the communication is any act or omission of such person\* in connection with the matter which may be binding upon or imputed to the organization for purposes of civil or criminal liability.
- (c) This Rule shall not prohibit:
  - (1) communications with a public official, board, committee, or body; or
  - (2) communications otherwise authorized by law or a court order.
- (d) In any communication with a represented person\* not prohibited by this Rule, the lawyer shall comply with the requirements of Rule 4.3.
- (e) For purposes of this Rule:
  - (1) “Managing agent” means an employee, member, agent, or other constituent of an organization with substantial\* discretionary

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

authority over decisions that determine organizational policy.

- (2) “Public official” means a public officer of the United States government, or of a state, county, city, town, political subdivision, or other governmental organization, with the comparable decision-making authority and responsibilities as the organizational constituents described in paragraph (b)(1).

## Comment

[1] This Rule applies even though the represented person\* initiates or consents to the communication. A lawyer must immediately terminate communication with a person\* if, after commencing communication, the lawyer learns that the person\* is one with whom communication is not permitted by this Rule.

[2] “Subject of the representation,” “matter,” and “person” are not limited to a litigation context. This Rule applies to communications with any person,\* whether or not a party to a formal adjudicative proceeding, contract or negotiation, who is represented by counsel concerning the matter to which the communication relates.

[2A] This Rule applies where the lawyer has actual knowledge that the person\* to be contacted is represented by another lawyer in the matter. Actual knowledge may be inferred from the circumstances. (See Rule 1.0.1(f).)

[3] The prohibition against communicating “indirectly” with a person\* represented by counsel in paragraph (a) is intended to address situations where a lawyer seeks to communicate with a represented person\* through an intermediary such as an agent, investigator or the lawyer’s client. This Rule, however, does not prevent represented persons\* from communicating directly with one another with respect to the subject of the representation, nor does it prohibit a lawyer from advising a client concerning such a communication. A lawyer may also advise a client not to accept or engage in such communications. The Rule also does not prohibit a lawyer who is a party to a legal matter from communicating on his or her own behalf with a represented person\* in that matter.

[4] This Rule does not prohibit communications with a represented person\* concerning matters outside the representation. Similarly, a lawyer who knows\* that a person\* is being provided with limited scope representation is not prohibited from communicating with that person\* with respect to matters that are outside

the scope of the limited representation. (See, e.g., Cal. Rules of Court, Rules 3.35 – 3.37; 5.425 (Limited Scope Representation).)

[5] This Rule does not prohibit communications initiated by a represented person\* seeking advice or representation from an independent lawyer of the person’s choice.

[6] If a current constituent of the organization is represented in the matter by his or her own counsel, the consent by that counsel to a communication is sufficient for purposes of this Rule.

[7] This Rule applies to all forms of governmental and private organizations, such as cities, counties, corporations, partnerships, limited liability companies, and unincorporated associations. When a lawyer communicates on behalf of a client with a governmental organization, or certain employees, members, agents, or other constituents of a governmental organization, however, special considerations exist as a result of the right to petition conferred by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution and Article I, § 3 of the California Constitution. Paragraph (c)(1) recognizes these special considerations by generally exempting from application of this Rule communications with public boards, committees, and bodies, and with public officials as defined in paragraph (e)(2) of this Rule. Communications with a governmental organization constituent who is not a public official, however, will remain subject to this Rule when the lawyer knows\* the governmental organization is represented in the matter and the communication with that constituent falls within paragraph (b)(2).

[8] Paragraph (c)(2) recognizes that statutory schemes, case law, and court orders may authorize communications between a lawyer and a person\* that would otherwise be subject to this Rule. Examples of such statutory schemes include those protecting the right of employees to organize and engage in collective bargaining, employee health and safety, and equal employment opportunity. The law also recognizes that prosecutors and other government lawyers are authorized to contact represented persons,\* either directly or through investigative agents and informants, in the context of investigative activities, as limited by relevant federal and state constitutions, statutes, rules, and case law. (See, e.g., *United States v. Carona* (9th Cir. 2011) 630 F.3d 917; *United States v. Talao* (9th Cir. 2000) 222 F.3d 1133.) The Rule is not intended to preclude communications with represented persons\* in the course of such legitimate investigative activities as authorized by law. This Rule also is not intended to preclude communications with represented persons\* in



# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

the course of legitimate investigative activities engaged in, directly or indirectly, by lawyers representing persons\* whom the government has accused of or is investigating for crimes, to the extent those investigative activities are authorized by law.

## Rule 4.3 Communicating with an Unrepresented Person

- (a) In communicating on behalf of a client with a person\* who is not represented by counsel, a lawyer shall not state or imply that the lawyer is disinterested. When the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* that the unrepresented person\* incorrectly believes\* the lawyer is disinterested in the matter, the lawyer shall make reasonable\* efforts to correct the misunderstanding. If the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* that the interests of the unrepresented person\* are in conflict with the interests of the client, the lawyer shall not give legal advice to that person,\* except that the lawyer may, but is not required to, advise the person\* to secure counsel.
- (b) In communicating on behalf of a client with a person\* who is not represented by counsel, a lawyer shall not seek to obtain privileged or other confidential information the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* the person\* may not reveal without violating a duty to another or which the lawyer is not otherwise entitled to receive.

### Comment

[1] This Rule is intended to protect unrepresented persons,\* whatever their interests, from being misled when communicating with a lawyer who is acting for a client.

[2] Paragraph (a) distinguishes between situations in which a lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* that the interests of an unrepresented person\* are in conflict with the interests of the lawyer's client and situations in which the lawyer does not. In the former situation, the possibility that the lawyer will compromise the unrepresented person's interests is so great that the Rule prohibits the giving of any legal advice, apart from the advice to obtain counsel. A lawyer does not give legal advice merely by stating a legal position on behalf of the lawyer's client. This Rule does not prohibit a lawyer from negotiating the terms of a transaction or settling a dispute with an unrepresented person.\* So long as the lawyer discloses that the lawyer represents an adverse party and not the person,\* the

lawyer may inform the person\* of the terms on which the lawyer's client will enter into the agreement or settle the matter, prepare documents that require the person's signature, and explain the lawyer's own view of the meaning of the document and the underlying legal obligations.

## Rule 4.4 Duties Concerning Inadvertently Transmitted Writings\*

A lawyer who receives a writing\* relating to the representation of the lawyer's client and knows\* or reasonably should know\* that the writing\* is privileged or subject to the work product doctrine, where it is reasonably\* apparent that the writing\* was inadvertently sent or produced, shall promptly notify the sender.

### Comment

If a lawyer determines this Rule applies to a transmitted writing,\* the lawyer should refrain from further examination of the writing\* and either return the writing\* to the sender, seek to reach agreement with the sender regarding the disposition of the writing,\* or seek guidance from a tribunal.\* See *Rico v. Mitsubishi* (2007) 42 Cal.4th 807, 817 [68 Cal.Rptr.3d 758]. If the sender is known\* to be represented by counsel, the lawyer must communicate with the sender's counsel.

## LAW FIRMS AND ASSOCIATIONS

### Rule 5.1 Responsibilities of Managerial and Supervisory Lawyers

- (a) A lawyer who individually or together with other lawyers possesses managerial authority in a law firm,\* shall make reasonable\* efforts to ensure that the firm\* has in effect measures giving reasonable\* assurance that all lawyers in the firm\* comply with these Rules and the State Bar Act.
- (b) A lawyer having direct supervisory authority over another lawyer, whether or not a member or employee of the same law firm,\* shall make reasonable\* efforts to ensure that the other lawyer complies with these Rules and the State Bar Act.
- (c) A lawyer shall be responsible for another lawyer's violation of these Rules and the State Bar Act if: (1) the lawyer orders or, with knowledge of the relevant facts and of the specific conduct, ratifies the conduct involved; or (2) the lawyer,

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

individually or together with other lawyers, possesses managerial authority in the law firm\* in which the other lawyer practices, or has direct supervisory authority over the other lawyer, whether or not a member of employee of the same law firm,\* and knows\* of the conduct at a time when its consequences can be avoided or mitigated but fails to take reasonable\* remedial action.

## Comment

*Paragraph (a) – Duties Of Managerial Lawyers To Reasonably\* Assure Compliance with the Rules.*

[1] Paragraph (a) requires lawyers with managerial authority within a law firm\* to make reasonable\* efforts to establish internal policies and procedures designed, for example, to detect and resolve conflicts of interest, identify dates by which actions must be taken in pending matters, account for client funds and property, and ensure that inexperienced lawyers are properly supervised.

[2] Whether particular measures or efforts satisfy the requirements of paragraph (a) might depend upon the law firm's structure and the nature of its practice, including the size of the law firm,\* whether it has more than one office location or practices in more than one jurisdiction, or whether the firm\* or its partners\* engage in any ancillary business.

[3] A partner,\* shareholder or other lawyer in a law firm\* who has intermediate managerial responsibilities might not be required to implement particular measures under paragraph (a) if the law firm\* has a designated managing lawyer charged with that responsibility, or a management committee or other body that has appropriate managerial authority and is charged with that responsibility. However, a lawyer remains responsible to take corrective steps if the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* that the delegated body or person\* is not providing or implementing measures as required by this Rule.

[4] Paragraph (a) also requires managerial lawyers to make reasonable\* efforts to assure that other lawyers in an agency or department comply with these Rules and the State Bar Act. This Rule contemplates, for example, the creation and implementation of reasonable\* guidelines relating to the assignment of cases and the distribution of workload among lawyers in a public sector legal agency or other legal department. See, e.g., State Bar of California, Guidelines on Indigent Defense Services Delivery Systems (2006).

*Paragraph (b) – Duties of Supervisory Lawyers*

[5] Whether a lawyer has direct supervisory authority over another lawyer in particular circumstances is a question of fact.

*Paragraph (c) – Responsibility for Another's Lawyer's Violation*

[6] The appropriateness of remedial action under paragraph (c)(2) would depend on the nature and seriousness of the misconduct and the nature and immediacy of its harm. A managerial or supervisory lawyer must intervene to prevent avoidable consequences of misconduct if the lawyer knows\* that the misconduct occurred.

[7] A supervisory lawyer violates paragraph (b) by failing to make the efforts required under that paragraph, even if the lawyer does not violate paragraph (c) by knowingly\* directing or ratifying the conduct, or where feasible, failing to take reasonable\* remedial action.

[8] Paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) create independent bases for discipline. This Rule does not impose vicarious responsibility on a lawyer for the acts of another lawyer who is in or outside the law firm.\* Apart from paragraph (c) of this Rule and Rule 8.4(a), a lawyer does not have disciplinary liability for the conduct of a partner,\* associate, or subordinate lawyer. The question of whether a lawyer can be liable civilly or criminally for another lawyer's conduct is beyond the scope of these Rules.

[9] This Rule does not alter the personal duty of each lawyer in a law firm\* to comply with these Rules and the State Bar Act. See Rule 5.2(a).

## Rule 5.2 Responsibilities of a Subordinate Lawyer

- (a) A lawyer shall comply with these Rules and the State Bar Act notwithstanding that the lawyer acts at the direction of another lawyer or other person.
- (b) A subordinate lawyer does not violate these Rules or the State Bar Act if that lawyer acts in accordance with a supervisory lawyer's reasonable\* resolution of an arguable question of professional duty.

## Comment

When lawyers in a supervisor-subordinate relationship encounter a matter involving professional judgment as to the lawyers' responsibilities under these Rules or the State Bar Act and the question can reasonably\* be

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

answered only one way, the duty of both lawyers is clear and they are equally responsible for fulfilling it. Accordingly, the subordinate lawyer must comply with his or her obligations under paragraph (a). If the question reasonably\* can be answered more than one way, the supervisory lawyer may assume responsibility for determining which of the reasonable\* alternatives to select, and the subordinate may be guided accordingly. If the subordinate lawyer believes\* that the supervisor's proposed resolution of the question of professional duty would result in a violation of these Rules or the State Bar Act, the subordinate is obligated to communicate his or her professional judgment regarding the matter to the supervisory lawyer.

## Rule 5.3 Responsibilities Regarding Nonlawyer Assistants

With respect to a nonlawyer employed or retained by or associated with a lawyer:

- (a) a lawyer who individually or together with other lawyers possesses managerial authority in a law firm,\* shall make reasonable\* efforts to ensure that the firm\* has in effect measures giving reasonable\* assurance that the nonlawyer's conduct is compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer;
- (b) a lawyer having direct supervisory authority over the nonlawyer, whether or not an employee of the same law firm,\* shall make reasonable\* efforts to ensure that the person's conduct is compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer; and
- (c) a lawyer shall be responsible for conduct of such a person\* that would be a violation of these Rules or the State Bar Act if engaged in by a lawyer if:
  - (1) the lawyer orders or, with knowledge of the relevant facts and of the specific conduct, ratifies the conduct involved; or
  - (2) the lawyer, individually or together with other lawyers, possesses managerial authority in the law firm\* in which the person\* is employed, or has direct supervisory authority over the person,\* whether or not an employee of the same law firm,\* and knows\* of the conduct at a time when its consequences can be avoided or mitigated but fails to take reasonable\* remedial action.

## Comment

Lawyers often utilize nonlawyer personnel, including secretaries, investigators, law student interns, and paraprofessionals. Such assistants, whether employees or independent contractors, act for the lawyer in rendition of the lawyer's professional services. A lawyer must give such assistants appropriate instruction and supervision concerning all ethical aspects of their employment. The measures employed in instructing and supervising nonlawyers should take account of the fact that they might not have legal training.

## Rule 5.3.1 [1-311] Employment of Disbarred, Suspended, Resigned, or Involuntarily Inactive Lawyer

(a) For purposes of this Rule:

- (1) "Employ" means to engage the services of another, including employees, agents, independent contractors and consultants, regardless of whether any compensation is paid;
- (2) "Member" means a member of the State Bar of California.
- (3) "Involuntarily inactive member" means a member who is ineligible to practice law as a result of action taken pursuant to Business and Professions Code §§ 6007, 6203(d)(1), or California Rule of Court 9.31(d).
- (4) "Resigned member" means a member who has resigned from the State Bar while disciplinary charges are pending.
- (5) "Restricted lawyer" means a member whose current status with the State Bar of California is disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive.

- (b) A lawyer shall not employ, associate in practice with, or assist a person\* the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* is a restricted lawyer to perform the following on behalf of the lawyer's client:
  - (1) Render legal consultation or advice to the client;
  - (2) Appear on behalf of a client in any hearing or proceeding or before any judicial officer, arbitrator, mediator, court, public agency,

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

*(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)*

referee, magistrate, commissioner, or hearing officer;

- (3) Appear as a representative of the client at a deposition or other discovery matter;
  - (4) Negotiate or transact any matter for or on behalf of the client with third parties;
  - (5) Receive, disburse or otherwise handle the client's funds; or
  - (6) Engage in activities that constitute the practice of law.
- (c) A lawyer may employ, associate in practice with, or assist a restricted lawyer to perform research, drafting or clerical activities, including but not limited to:
- (1) Legal work of a preparatory nature, such as legal research, the assemblage of data and other necessary information, drafting of pleadings, briefs, and other similar documents;
  - (2) Direct communication with the client or third parties regarding matters such as scheduling, billing, updates, confirmation of receipt or sending of correspondence and messages; or
  - (3) Accompanying an active lawyer in attending a deposition or other discovery matter for the limited purpose of providing clerical assistance to the active lawyer who will appear as the representative of the client.
- (d) Prior to or at the time of employing, associating in practice with, or assisting a person\* the lawyer knows\* or reasonably should know\* is a restricted lawyer, the lawyer shall serve upon the State Bar written\* notice of the employment, including a full description of such person's current bar status. The written\* notice shall also list the activities prohibited in paragraph (b) and state that the restricted lawyer will not perform such activities. The lawyer shall serve similar written\* notice upon each client on whose specific matter such person\* will work, prior to or at the time of employing, associating with, or assisting such person\* to work on the client's specific matter. The lawyer shall obtain proof of service of the client's written\* notice and shall retain such proof and a true and correct copy of the client's written\* notice for two years following termination of the lawyer's employment by the client.

- (e) A lawyer may, without client or State Bar notification, employ, associate in practice with, or assist a restricted lawyer whose sole function is to perform office physical plant or equipment maintenance, courier or delivery services, catering, reception, typing or transcription, or other similar support activities.
- (f) When the lawyer no longer employs, associates in practice with, or assists the restricted lawyer, the lawyer shall promptly serve upon the State Bar written\* notice of the termination.

## Comment

If the client is an organization, the lawyer shall serve the notice required by paragraph (d) on its highest authorized officer, employee, or constituent overseeing the particular engagement. (See Rule 1.13.)

## Rule 5.4 [1-320, 1-310, 1-600] Financial and Similar Arrangements with Nonlawyers

- (a) A lawyer or law firm\* shall not share legal fees directly or indirectly with a nonlawyer or with an organization that is not authorized to practice law, except that:
  - (1) an agreement by a lawyer with the lawyer's firm,\* partner,\* or associate may provide for the payment of money or other consideration over a reasonable\* period of time after the lawyer's death, to the lawyer's estate or to one or more specified persons;
  - (2) a lawyer purchasing the practice of a deceased, disabled or disappeared lawyer may pay the agreed-upon purchase price, pursuant to Rule 1.17, to the lawyer's estate or other representative;
  - (3) a lawyer or law firm\* may include nonlawyer employees in a compensation or retirement plan, even though the plan is based in whole or in part on a profit-sharing arrangement, provided the plan does not otherwise violate these Rules or the State Bar Act;
  - (4) a lawyer or law firm\* may pay a prescribed registration, referral, or other fee to a lawyer referral service established, sponsored and operated in accordance with the State Bar of California's Minimum Standards for Lawyer Referral Services; or

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

- (5) a lawyer or law firm\* may share with or pay a court-awarded legal fee to a nonprofit organization that employed, retained or recommended employment of the lawyer or law firm\* in the matter.
- (b) A lawyer shall not form a partnership or other organization with a nonlawyer if any of the activities of the partnership or other organization consist of the practice of law.
- (c) A lawyer shall not permit a person\* who recommends, employs, or pays the lawyer to render legal services for another to direct or regulate the lawyer's independent professional judgment or interfere with the lawyer-client relationship in rendering legal services.
- (d) A lawyer shall not practice with or in the form of a professional corporation or other organization authorized to practice law for a profit if:
  - (1) a nonlawyer owns any interest in it, except that a fiduciary representative of a lawyer's estate may hold the lawyer's stock or other interest for a reasonable\* time during administration;
  - (2) a nonlawyer is a director or officer of the corporation or occupies a position of similar responsibility in any other form of organization; or
  - (3) a nonlawyer has the right or authority to direct or control the lawyer's independent professional judgment.
- (e) The Board of Trustees of the State Bar shall formulate and adopt Minimum Standards for Lawyer Referral Services, which, as from time to time amended, shall be binding on lawyers. A lawyer shall not accept a referral from, or otherwise participate in, a lawyer referral service unless it complies with such Minimum Standards for Lawyer Referral Services.
- (f) A lawyer shall not practice with or in the form of a nonprofit legal aid, mutual benefit or advocacy group if the nonprofit organization allows any third person\* or organization to interfere with the lawyer's independent professional judgment, or with the lawyer-client relationship, or allows or aids any person,\* organization or group to practice law in violation of these Rules or the State Bar Act.

## Comment

[1] Paragraph (a) does not prohibit a lawyer or law firm\* from paying a bonus to or otherwise compensating a nonlawyer employee from general revenues received for legal services, provided the arrangement does not interfere with the independent professional judgment of the lawyer or lawyers in the firm\* and does not violate these Rules or the State Bar Act. However, a nonlawyer employee's bonus or other form of compensation may not be based on a percentage or share of fees in specific cases or legal matters.

[2] Paragraph (a) also does not prohibit payment to a nonlawyer third-party for goods and services provided to a lawyer or law firm;\* however, the compensation to a nonlawyer third-party may not be determined as a percentage or share of the lawyer's or law firm's overall revenues or tied to fees in particular cases or legal matters. A lawyer may pay to a nonlawyer third-party, such as a collection agency, a percentage of past due or delinquent fees in concluded matters that the third-party collects on the lawyer's behalf.

[3] Paragraph (a)(5) permits a lawyer to share with or pay court-awarded legal fees to nonprofit legal aid, mutual benefit, and advocacy groups that are not engaged in the unauthorized practice of law. See *Frye v. Tenderloin Housing Clinic, Inc.* (2006) 38 Cal.4th 23 [40 Cal.Rptr.3d 221]. See also Rule 6.3. Regarding a lawyer's contribution of legal fees to a legal services organization, see Rule 1.0, Comment [5] on financial support for programs providing pro bono legal services.

[4] This Rule is not intended to affect case law regarding the relationship between insurers and lawyers providing legal services to insureds. See, e.g., *Gafcon, Inc. v. Ponsor Associates* (2002) 98 Cal.App.4th 1388 [120 Cal.Rptr.2d 392].

## Rule 5.5 [1-300] Unauthorized Practice of Law; Multijurisdictional Practice of Law

- (a) A lawyer admitted to practice law in California shall not:
  - (1) practice law in a jurisdiction where to do so would be in violation of regulations of the profession in that jurisdiction.
  - (2) knowingly\* assist a person\* or entity in the unauthorized practice of law.
- (b) A lawyer who is not admitted to practice law in California shall not:

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

- (1) except as authorized by these Rules or other law, establish or maintain a resident office or other systematic or continuous presence in California for the practice of law; or
- (2) hold out to the public or otherwise represent that the lawyer is admitted to practice law in California.

## Comment

Paragraph (b)(1) prohibits lawyers from practicing law in California unless otherwise entitled to practice law in this state by court rule or other law. See, e.g., California Business and Professions Code, §§ 6125 et seq. See also California Rules of Court, rules 9.40 (counsel pro hac vice), 9.41 (appearances by military counsel), 9.42 (certified law students), 9.43 (out-of-state attorney arbitration counsel program), 9.44 (registered foreign legal consultant); 9.45 (registered legal services attorneys), 9.46 (registered in-house counsel), 9.47 (attorneys practicing temporarily in California as part of litigation), and 9.48 (non-litigating attorneys temporarily in California to provide legal services).

## Rule 5.6 [1-500] Restrictions on a Lawyer's Right to Practice

- (a) A lawyer shall not participate in offering or making:
  - (1) a partnership, shareholders, operating, employment, or other similar type of agreement that restricts the right of a lawyer to practice after termination of the relationship, except an agreement that: (i) concerns benefits upon retirement, or (ii) is authorized by law; or
  - (2) an agreement in which a restriction on the lawyer's right to practice is part of the settlement of a client controversy.
- (b) A lawyer shall not participate in offering or making an agreement which precludes the reporting of a violation of these rules.
- (c) This Rule does not prohibit an agreement that is authorized by Business and Professions Code §§ 6092.5(i) or 6093.

## Comment

[1] Concerning the application of paragraph (a)(1)(ii), see Business and Professions Code § 16602; *Howard v. Babcock* (1993) 6 Cal.4th 409, 425 [25 Cal.Rptr.2d 80].

[2] Paragraph (a)(2) prohibits a lawyer from offering or agreeing not to represent other persons\* in connection with settling a claim on behalf of a client.

[3] This Rule does not prohibit restrictions that may be included in the terms of the sale of a law practice pursuant to Rule 1.17.

## PUBLIC SERVICE

### Rule 6.3 Membership in Legal Services Organizations

A lawyer may serve as a director, officer or member of a legal services organization, apart from the law firm\* in which the lawyer practices, notwithstanding that the organization serves persons\* having interests adverse to a client of the lawyer. The lawyer shall not knowingly\* participate in a decision or action of the organization:

- (a) if participating in the decision or action would be incompatible with the lawyer's obligations to a client under Rules 1.7 or 1.9, or Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1); or
- (b) where the decision or action could have a material adverse effect on the representation of a client of the organization whose interests are adverse to a client of the lawyer.

## Comment

Lawyers should support and participate in legal service organizations. A lawyer who is an officer or a member of such an organization does not thereby have a client-lawyer relationship with persons\* served by the organization. However, there is potential conflict between the interests of such persons\* and the interests of the lawyer's clients. If the possibility of such conflict disqualified a lawyer from serving on the board of a legal services organization, the profession's involvement in such organizations would be severely curtailed.

### Rule 6.5 [1-650] Limited Legal Services Programs

- (a) A lawyer who, under the auspices of a program sponsored by a court, government agency, bar association, law school, or nonprofit organization, provides short-term limited legal services to a client without expectation by either the lawyer or

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

the client that the lawyer will provide continuing representation in the matter:

- (1) is subject to Rules 1.7 and 1.9(a) only if the lawyer knows\* that the representation of the client involves a conflict of interest; and
  - (2) is subject to Rule 1.10 only if the lawyer knows\* that another lawyer associated with the lawyer in a law firm\* is prohibited from representation by Rule 1.7 or 1.9(a) with respect to the matter.
- (b) Except as provided in paragraph (a)(2), Rule 1.10 is inapplicable to a representation governed by this Rule.
- (c) The personal disqualification of a lawyer participating in the program will not be imputed to other lawyers participating in the program.

## Comment

[1] Courts, government agencies, bar associations, law schools and various nonprofit organizations have established programs through which lawyers provide short-term limited legal services – such as advice or the completion of legal forms that will assist persons\* in addressing their legal problems without further representation by a lawyer. In these programs, such as legal-advice hotlines, advice-only clinics or pro se counseling programs, whenever a lawyer-client relationship is established, there is no expectation that the lawyer's representation of the client will continue beyond that limited consultation. Such programs are normally operated under circumstances in which it is not feasible for a lawyer to systematically screen for conflicts of interest as is generally required before undertaking a representation.

[2] A lawyer who provides short-term limited legal services pursuant to this Rule must secure the client's informed consent\* to the limited scope of the representation. See Rule 1.2(b). If a short-term limited representation would not be reasonable\* under the circumstances, the lawyer may offer advice to the client but must also advise the client of the need for further assistance of counsel. Except as provided in this Rule, these Rules and the State Bar Act, including the lawyer's duty of confidentiality under Business and Professions Code § 6068(e)(1), Rule 1.6, and Rule 1.9, are applicable to the limited representation.

[3] A lawyer who is representing a client in the circumstances addressed by this Rule ordinarily is not able to check systematically for conflicts of interest.

Therefore, paragraph (a)(1) requires compliance with Rules 1.7 and 1.9(a) only if the lawyer knows\* that the representation presents a conflict of interest for the lawyer. In addition, paragraph (a)(2) imputes conflicts of interest to the lawyer only if the lawyer knows\* that another lawyer in the lawyer's law firm\* would be disqualified under Rules 1.7 or 1.9(a).

[4] Because the limited nature of the services significantly reduces the risk of conflicts of interest with other matters being handled by the lawyer's law firm,\* paragraph (b) provides that imputed conflicts of interest are inapplicable to a representation governed by this rule except as provided by paragraph (a)(2). Paragraph (a)(2) imputes conflicts of interest to the participating lawyer when the lawyer knows\* that any lawyer in the lawyer's firm\* would be disqualified under Rules 1.7 or 1.9(a). By virtue of paragraph (b), moreover, a lawyer's participation in a short-term limited legal services program will not be imputed to the lawyer's law firm\* or preclude the lawyer's law firm\* from undertaking or continuing the representation of a client with interests adverse to a client being represented under the program's auspices. Nor will the personal disqualification of a lawyer participating in the program be imputed to other lawyers participating in the program.

[5] If, after commencing a short-term limited representation in accordance with this Rule, a lawyer undertakes to represent the client in the matter on an ongoing basis, Rules 1.7, 1.9(a), and 1.10 become applicable.

## INFORMATION ABOUT LEGAL SERVICES

### **Rule 7.1 [1-400] Communications Concerning a Lawyer's Services**

- (a) A lawyer shall not make a false or misleading communication about the lawyer or the lawyer's services. A communication is false or misleading if it contains an untrue statement, or a material misrepresentation of fact or law, or omits a fact necessary to make the communication considered as a whole not materially misleading.
- (b) The Board of Trustees of the State Bar may formulate and adopt standards as to communications that will be presumed to violate Rule 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4 or 7.5. The standards shall only be used as presumptions affecting the burden

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

*(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)*

of proof in disciplinary proceedings involving alleged violations of these Rules. “Presumption affecting the burden of proof” means that presumption defined in Evidence Code §§ 605 and 606. Such standards formulated and adopted by the Board, as from time to time amended, shall be effective and binding on all lawyers.

## Comment

[1] This Rule governs all communications of any type whatsoever about the lawyer or the lawyer’s services, including advertising permitted by Rule 7.2. A communication includes any message or offer made by or on behalf of a lawyer concerning the availability for professional employment of a lawyer or a lawyer’s law firm\* directed to any person.\*

[2] A communication that contains an express guarantee or warranty of the result of a particular representation is a false or misleading communication under this Rule. See also, Business and Professions Code § 6157.2(a).

[3] This Rule prohibits truthful statements that are misleading. A truthful statement is misleading if it omits a fact necessary to make the lawyer’s communication considered as a whole not materially misleading. A truthful statement is also misleading if it is presented in a manner that creates a substantial\* likelihood that it will lead a reasonable\* person\* to formulate a specific conclusion about the lawyer or the lawyer’s services for which there is no reasonable\* factual foundation. Any communication that states or implies “no fee without recovery” is also misleading unless the communication also expressly discloses whether or not the client will be liable for costs.

[4] A communication that truthfully reports a lawyer’s achievements on behalf of clients or former clients, or a testimonial about or endorsement of the lawyer, may be misleading if presented so as to lead a reasonable\* person\* to form an unjustified expectation that the same results could be obtained for other clients in similar matters without reference to the specific factual and legal circumstances of each client’s case. Similarly, an unsubstantiated comparison of the lawyer’s services or fees with the services or fees of other lawyers may be misleading if presented with such specificity as would lead a reasonable\* person\* to conclude that the comparison can be substantiated. An appropriate disclaimer or qualifying language often avoids creating unjustified expectations.

[5] This Rule prohibits a lawyer from making a communication that states or implies that the lawyer is

able to provide legal services in a language other than English unless the lawyer can actually provide legal services in that language or the communication also states in the language of the communication the employment title of the person\* who speaks such language.

[6] Rules 7.1 through 7.5 are not the sole basis for regulating communications concerning a lawyer’s services. See, e.g., Business and Professions Code §§ 6150 – 6159.2 and 17000 et. seq. Other state or federal laws may also apply.

## Rule 7.2 [1-400, 1-320(B), (C), & (A)(4), 2-200(B)] Advertising

- (a) Subject to the requirements of Rules 7.1 and 7.3, a lawyer may advertise services through any written, recorded or electronic means of communication, including public media.
- (b) A lawyer shall not compensate, promise or give anything of value to a person\* or entity for the purpose of recommending or securing the services of the lawyer or the lawyer’s law firm,\* except that a lawyer may:
  - (1) pay the reasonable\* costs of advertisements or communications permitted by this Rule;
  - (2) pay the usual charges of a legal services plan or a qualified lawyer referral service. A qualified lawyer referral service is a lawyer referral service established, sponsored and operated in accordance with the State Bar of California’s Minimum Standards for a Lawyer Referral Service in California;
  - (3) pay for a law practice in accordance with Rule 1.17;
  - (4) refer clients to another lawyer or a nonlawyer professional pursuant to an arrangement not otherwise prohibited under these Rules or the State Bar Act that provides for the other person\* to refer clients or customers to the lawyer, if
    - (i) the reciprocal referral arrangement is not exclusive, and
    - (ii) the client is informed of the existence and nature of the arrangement;
  - (5) offer or give a gift or gratuity to a person\* or entity having made a recommendation

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.



# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

resulting in the employment of the lawyer or the lawyer's law firm,\* provided that the gift or gratuity was not offered or given in consideration of any promise, agreement, or understanding that such a gift or gratuity would be forthcoming or that referrals would be made or encouraged in the future.

- (c) Any communication made pursuant to this Rule shall include the name and address of at least one lawyer or law firm\* responsible for its content.

## Comment

[1] This Rule permits public dissemination of accurate information concerning a lawyer and the lawyer's services, including for example, the lawyer's name or firm\* name, the lawyer's contact information; the kinds of services the lawyer will undertake; the basis on which the lawyer's fees are determined, including prices for specific services and payment and credit arrangements; a lawyer's foreign language ability; names of references and, with their consent, names of clients regularly represented; and other information that might invite the attention of those seeking legal assistance. This Rule, however, prohibits the dissemination of false or misleading information, for example, an advertisement that sets forth a specific fee or range of fees for a particular service where, in fact, the lawyer charges or intends to charge a greater fee than that stated in the advertisement.

[2] Neither this Rule nor Rule 7.3 prohibits communications authorized by law, such as court-approved class action notices.

## *Paying Others to Recommend a Lawyer*

[3] Paragraph (b)(1) permits a lawyer to compensate employees, agents and vendors who are engaged to provide marketing or client-development services, such as publicists, public-relations personnel, business-development staff and website designers. See Rule 5.3 for the duties of lawyers and law firms\* with respect to supervising the conduct of nonlawyers who prepare marketing materials and provide client development services.

[4] Paragraph (b)(4) permits a lawyer to make referrals to another lawyer or nonlawyer professional, in return for the undertaking of that person\* to refer clients or customers to the lawyer. Such reciprocal referral arrangements must not interfere with the lawyer's

professional judgment as to making referrals or as to providing substantive legal services. See Rule[s] 2.1 and]² 5.4(c). Conflicts of interest created by arrangements made pursuant to paragraph (b)(4) are governed by Rule 1.7. A division of fees between or among lawyers not in the same law firm\* is governed by Rule 1.5.1.

## Rule 7.3 [1-400] Solicitation of Clients

- (a) A lawyer shall not by in-person, live telephone or real-time electronic contact solicit professional employment when a significant motive for doing so is the lawyer's pecuniary gain, unless the person\* contacted:
- (1) is a lawyer; or
  - (2) has a family, close personal, or prior professional relationship with the lawyer.
- (b) A lawyer shall not solicit professional employment by written, recorded or electronic communication or by in-person, telephone or real-time electronic contact even when not otherwise prohibited by paragraph (a), if:
- (1) the person\* being solicited has made known\* to the lawyer a desire not to be solicited by the lawyer; or
  - (2) the solicitation is transmitted in any manner which involves intrusion, coercion, duress or harassment.
- (c) Every written, recorded or electronic communication from a lawyer soliciting professional employment from any person\* known\* to be in need of legal services in a particular matter shall include the word "Advertisement" or words of similar import on the outside envelope, if any, and at the beginning and ending of any recorded or electronic communication, unless the recipient of the communication is a person\* specified in paragraphs (a)(1) or (a)(2), or unless it is apparent from the context that the communication is an advertisement.
- (d) Notwithstanding the prohibitions in paragraph (a), a lawyer may participate with a prepaid or group legal service plan operated by an organization not owned or directed by the lawyer that uses in-person, live

<sup>2</sup> See footnote no. 1 regarding pending consideration of ABA Model Rule 2.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

telephone or real-time electronic contact to solicit memberships or subscriptions for the plan from persons\* who are not known\* to need legal services in a particular matter covered by the plan.

- (e) As used in this Rule, the terms “solicitation” and “solicit” refer to an oral or written\* targeted communication initiated by or on behalf of the lawyer that is directed to a specific person\* and that offers to provide, or can reasonably\* be understood as offering to provide, legal services.

## Comment

[1] A lawyer’s communication does not constitute a solicitation if it is directed to the general public, such as through a billboard, an Internet banner advertisement, a website or a television commercial, or if it is in response to a request for information or is automatically generated in response to Internet searches.

[2] Paragraph (a) does not apply to situations in which the lawyer is motivated by considerations other than the lawyer’s pecuniary gain. Therefore, paragraph (a) does not prohibit a lawyer from participating in constitutionally protected activities of bona fide public or charitable legal-service organizations, or bona fide political, social, civic, fraternal, employee or trade organizations whose purposes include providing or recommending legal services to its members or beneficiaries. See, e.g., *In re Primus* (1978) 436 U.S. 412 [98 S.Ct. 1893].

[3] This Rule does not prohibit a lawyer from contacting representatives of organizations or groups that may be interested in establishing a bona fide group or prepaid legal plan for their members, insureds, beneficiaries or other third parties for the purpose of informing such entities of the availability of and details concerning the plan or arrangement which the lawyer or lawyer’s firm\* is willing to offer.

[4] Lawyers who participate in a legal service plan as permitted under paragraph (d) must comply with Rules 7.1, 7.2, and 7.3(b). See also Rules 5.4 and 8.4(a).

## Rule 7.4 [1-400(D)(6)] Communication of Fields of Practice and Specialization

- (a) A lawyer shall not state that the lawyer is a certified specialist in a particular field of law, unless:
  - (1) the lawyer is currently certified as a specialist by the Board of Legal Specialization, or any

other entity accredited by the State Bar to designate specialists pursuant to standards adopted by the Board of Trustees; and

- (2) the name of the certifying organization is clearly identified in the communication.

(b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), a lawyer may communicate the fact that the lawyer does or does not practice in particular fields of law. A lawyer may also communicate that his or her practice specializes in, is limited to, or is concentrated in a particular field of law, subject to the requirements of Rule 7.1.

## Rule 7.5 [1-400] Firm\* Names and Trade Names

- (a) A lawyer shall not use a firm\* name, trade name or other professional designation that violates Rule 7.1.
- (b) A lawyer in private practice shall not use a firm\* name, trade name or other professional designation that states or implies a relationship with a government agency or with a public or charitable legal services organization, or otherwise violates Rule 7.1.
- (c) A lawyer shall not state or imply that the lawyer practices in or has a professional relationship with a law firm\* or other organization unless that is the fact.

## Comment

The term “other professional designation” includes, but is not limited to, logos, letterheads, URLs, and signature blocks.

## MAINTAINING THE INTEGRITY OF THE PROFESSION

### Rule 8.1 [1-200] False Statement Regarding Application for Admission, Readmission, Certification or Registration

- (a) This Rule applies to applications for admission, readmission, certification or registration submitted to the State Bar or a court, including applications for: admission to practice law under Business and Professions Code §§ 6060 and 6062; readmission or reinstatement to practice law pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 9.10(f); certification as a legal specialist under California

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

*(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)*

Rules of Court, rule 9.35; and appearance and practice under California Rules of Court, rules 9.40 – 9.46.

- (b) An applicant for admission, readmission, certification or registration shall not knowingly\* make a false statement of material fact, fail to disclose a material fact, or fail to correct a statement known\* to be false.
- (c) A lawyer supporting or opposing another person's application for admission, readmission, certification or registration, shall not, as part of the application process, knowingly\* make a false statement of material fact, fail to disclose a material fact, or fail to correct a statement known\* to be false.
- (d) This Rule does not apply to a lawyer in representing an applicant in proceedings relating to admission, readmission, certification or registration.

## Comment

[1] A person\* who makes a false statement in connection with that person's own application can be subject to discipline under this Rule or to later cancellation of that person's admission or other authorization.

[2] In representing an applicant for admission, readmission, certification or registration, a lawyer is subject to other applicable rules and the State Bar Act.

### Rule 8.1.1 [1-110] Compliance with Conditions of Discipline and Agreements in Lieu of Discipline

A lawyer shall comply with the terms and conditions attached to any agreement in lieu of discipline, any public or private reproof, or to other discipline administered by the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code §§ 6077 and 6078 and California Rules of Court, rule 9.19.

## Comment

Other provisions also require a lawyer to comply with agreements in lieu of discipline and conditions of discipline. See e.g., Business and Professions Code § 6068(k) and (l).

### Rule 8.2 [1-700] Judicial Officials

- (a) A lawyer shall not make a statement of fact that the lawyer knows\* to be false or with reckless disregard as to its truth or falsity concerning the qualifications or integrity of a judge or judicial

officer, or of a candidate for election or appointment to judicial office.

- (b) A lawyer who is a candidate for judicial office in California shall comply with Canon 5 of the California Code of Judicial Ethics. For purposes of this Rule, "candidate for judicial office" means a lawyer seeking judicial office by election. The determination of when a lawyer is a candidate for judicial office by election is defined in the terminology section of the California Code of Judicial Ethics. A lawyer's duty to comply with this Rule shall end when the lawyer announces withdrawal of the lawyer's candidacy or when the results of the election are final, whichever occurs first.
- (c) A lawyer who seeks appointment to judicial office shall comply with Canon 5B(1) of the California Code of Judicial Ethics. A lawyer becomes an applicant seeking judicial office by appointment at the time of first submission of an application or personal data questionnaire to the appointing authority. A lawyer's duty to comply with this Rule shall end when the lawyer advises the appointing authority of the withdrawal of the lawyer's application.

## Comment

[1] To maintain the fair and independent administration of justice, lawyers should defend judges and courts unjustly criticized. Lawyers also are obligated to maintain the respect due to the courts of justice and judicial officers. See Business and Professions Code § 6068(b).

[2] Assessments by lawyers are relied on in evaluating the professional or personal fitness of persons\* being considered for election or appointment to judicial office. Expressing honest and candid opinions on such matters contributes to improving the administration of justice. Conversely, false statements by a lawyer can unfairly undermine public confidence in the administration of justice.

### Rule 8.4 [1-120] Misconduct

It is professional misconduct for a lawyer to:

- (a) violate these Rules or the State Bar Act, knowingly\* assist, solicit or induce another to do so, or do so through the acts of another;

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

- (b) commit a criminal act that involves moral turpitude or that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects;
- (c) engage in conduct involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud,\* deceit or reckless or intentional misrepresentation;
- (d) engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice;
- (e) state or imply an ability to influence improperly a government agency or official or to achieve results by means that violate these Rules, the State Bar Act, or other law; or
- (f) knowingly\* assist a judge or judicial officer in conduct that is a violation of applicable rules of judicial conduct or other law.

## Comment

[1] A violation of this Rule can occur when a lawyer is acting in propria persona or when a lawyer is not practicing law or acting in a professional capacity.

[2] Paragraph (a) does not prohibit a lawyer from advising a client concerning action the client is legally entitled to take.

[3] A lawyer may be disciplined for criminal acts as set forth in Business and Professions Code §§ 6101 et seq., or if the criminal act constitutes "other misconduct warranting discipline" as defined by California Supreme Court case law. See *In re Kelley* (1990) 52 Cal.3d 487 [276 Cal.Rptr. 375].

[4] A lawyer may be disciplined under Business and Professions Code § 6106 for acts of gross negligence involving moral turpitude.

[5] Paragraph (c) does not apply where a lawyer advises clients or others about, or supervises, lawful covert activity in the investigation of violations of civil or criminal law or constitutional rights, provided the lawyer's conduct is otherwise in compliance with these Rules and the State Bar Act.

[6] Paragraph (d) does not prohibit activities of a lawyer that are protected by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution or by Article I, § 2 of the California Constitution.

## Rule 8.4.1 [2-400] [ALT1]<sup>3</sup> Prohibited Discrimination, Harassment and Retaliation

- (a) In representing a client, or in terminating or refusing to accept the representation of any client, a lawyer shall not unlawfully harass or unlawfully discriminate against persons\* on the basis of any protected characteristic or for the purpose of retaliation.
- (b) In relation to a law firm's operations, a lawyer shall not, on the basis of any protected characteristic or for the purpose of retaliation, unlawfully:
  - (1) discriminate or knowingly\* permit unlawful discrimination;
  - (2) harass or knowingly\* permit the unlawful harassment of an employee, an applicant, an unpaid intern or volunteer, or a person\* providing services pursuant to a contract; or
  - (3) refuse to hire or employ a person,\* or refuse to select a person\* for a training program leading to employment, or bar or discharge a person\* from employment or from a training program leading to employment, or discriminate against a person\* in compensation or in terms, conditions, or privileges of employment.
- (c) For purposes of this rule:
  - (1) "protected characteristic" means race, religious creed, color, national origin, ancestry, physical disability, mental disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sex, gender, gender identity, gender expression, sexual orientation, age, military and veteran status, or other category of discrimination prohibited by applicable law, whether the category is actual or perceived;

---

<sup>3</sup> There are 2 versions of proposed Rule 8.4.1 that are being circulated for public comment. ALT1 is recommended for adoption by the Commission. ALT2 was drafted by staff at the direction of the Board of Trustees following the June 23<sup>rd</sup> Board meeting. See the public comment posting for the text of ALT2: <http://www.calbar.ca.gov/AboutUs/PublicComment/201608.aspx>.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

*(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)*

- (2) “knowingly permit” means to fail to advocate corrective action where the lawyer knows\* of a discriminatory policy or practice that results in the unlawful discrimination or harassment prohibited by paragraph (b);
  - (3) “unlawfully” and “unlawful” shall be determined by reference to applicable state and federal statutes and decisions making unlawful discrimination or harassment in employment and in offering goods and services to the public; and
  - (4) “retaliation” means to take adverse action because a person\* has (i) opposed, or (ii) pursued, participated in, or assisted any action alleging, any conduct prohibited by this Rule.
- (d) A lawyer who is the subject of a State Bar investigation or State Bar Court proceeding alleging a violation of this Rule shall promptly notify the State Bar of any criminal, civil, or administrative action premised, whether in whole or part, on the same conduct that is the subject of the State Bar investigation or State Bar Court proceeding.
- (e) Upon issuing a notice of a disciplinary charge under this Rule:
- (1) If the notice is of a disciplinary charge under paragraph (a) of this Rule, the State Bar shall provide a copy of the notice to the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing and the United States Department of Justice, Coordination and Review Section.
  - (2) If the notice is of a disciplinary charge under paragraph (b) of this Rule, the State Bar shall provide a copy of the notice to the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing and the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.
- (f) This Rule shall not prevent a lawyer from representing a client alleged to have engaged in unlawful discrimination, harassment, or retaliation.

## Comment

[1] Conduct that violates this Rule undermines confidence in the legal profession and our legal system and is contrary to the fundamental principle that all people are created equal. A lawyer may not engage in such conduct through the acts of another. See Rule

8.4(a). In relation to a law firm’s operations, this Rule imposes on all law firm\* lawyers the responsibility to advocate corrective action to address known\* harassing or discriminatory conduct by the firm\* or any of its other lawyers or nonlawyer personnel. Law firm\* management and supervisory lawyers retain their separate responsibility under Rules 5.1 and 5.3. Neither this Rule nor Rule 5.1 or 5.3 imposes on the alleged victim of any conduct prohibited by this Rule any responsibility to advocate corrective action.

[2] The conduct prohibited by paragraph (a) includes the conduct of a lawyer in a proceeding before a judicial officer. (See Canon 3B(6) of the Code of Judicial Ethics providing, in part, that: “A judge shall require lawyers in proceedings before the judge to refrain from manifesting, by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, gender, religion, national origin, ethnicity, disability, age, sexual orientation, marital status, socioeconomic status, or political affiliation against parties, witnesses, counsel, or others.”) A lawyer does not violate paragraph (a) by referring to any particular status or group when the reference is relevant to factual or legal issues or arguments in the representation. This Rule does not apply to conduct protected by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution or by Article I, § 2 of the California Constitution. While both the parties and the court retain discretion to refer such conduct to the State Bar, a court’s finding that preemptory challenges were exercised on a discriminatory basis does not alone establish a violation of paragraph (a).

[3] What constitutes a failure to advocate corrective action under paragraph (c)(2) will depend on the nature and seriousness of the discriminatory policy or practice, the extent to which the lawyer knows\* of unlawful discrimination or harassment resulting from that policy or practice, and the nature of the lawyer’s relationship to the lawyer or law firm\* implementing that policy or practice. For example, a law firm\* non-management and non-supervisory lawyer who becomes aware that the law firm\* is engaging in a discriminatory hiring practice may advocate corrective action by bringing that discriminatory practice to the attention of a law firm\* management lawyer who would have responsibility under Rule 5.1 or 5.3 to take reasonable\* remedial action upon becoming aware of a violation of this Rule.

[4] Paragraph (d) ensures that the State Bar and the State Bar Court will be provided with information regarding related proceedings that may be relevant in determining whether a State Bar investigation or a State Bar Court proceeding relating to a violation of this Rule should be abated.

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.

# PROPOSED NEW AND AMENDED CALIFORNIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

(Authorized for Public Comment Circulation by the Board of Trustees on June 23, 2016.)

[5] Paragraph (e) recognizes the public policy served by enforcement of laws and regulations prohibiting unlawful discrimination, by ensuring that the state and federal agencies with primary responsibility for coordinating the enforcement of those laws and regulations is provided with notice of any allegation of unlawful discrimination, harassment, or retaliation by a lawyer that the State Bar finds has sufficient merit to warrant issuance of a notice of a disciplinary charge.

[6] This Rule permits the imposition of discipline for conduct that would not necessarily result in the award of a remedy in a civil or administrative proceeding if such proceeding were filed.

## Comment

### *Disciplinary Authority*

The conduct of a lawyer admitted to practice in California is subject to the disciplinary authority of California. See Business and Professions Code §§ 6077, 6100. Extension of the disciplinary authority of California to other lawyers who provide or offer to provide legal services in California is for the protection of the residents of California. A lawyer disciplined by a disciplinary authority in another jurisdiction may be subject to discipline in California for the same conduct. *See e.g.*, Business and Professions Code § 6049.1.

## **Rule 8.5 [1-100(D)] Disciplinary Authority; Choice of Law**

- (a) Disciplinary Authority. A lawyer admitted to practice in California is subject to the disciplinary authority of California, regardless of where the lawyer's conduct occurs. A lawyer not admitted in California is also subject to the disciplinary authority of California if the lawyer provides or offers to provide any legal services in California. A lawyer may be subject to the disciplinary authority of both California and another jurisdiction for the same conduct.
- (b) Choice of Law. In any exercise of the disciplinary authority of California, the rules of professional conduct to be applied shall be as follows:
  - (1) for conduct in connection with a matter pending before a tribunal,\* the rules of the jurisdiction in which the tribunal\* sits, unless the rules of the tribunal\* provide otherwise; and
  - (2) for any other conduct, the rules of the jurisdiction in which the lawyer's conduct occurred, or, if the predominant effect of the conduct is in a different jurisdiction, the rules of that jurisdiction shall be applied to the conduct. A lawyer shall not be subject to discipline if the lawyer's conduct conforms to the rules of a jurisdiction in which the lawyer reasonably believes\* the predominant effect of the lawyer's conduct will occur.

The bracketed number after the proposed rule number is the current California rule number, if any.

An asterisk (\*) identifies a word or phrase defined in the terminology rule, Rule 1.0.1.