

COMMISSION PROVISIONAL REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION: RULE 1-311 [5.3.1]

Commission Drafting Team Information

Lead Drafter: Toby Rothschild

Co-Drafters: James Ham, Tobi Inlender

I. CURRENT CALIFORNIA RULE

Rule 1-311 Employment of Disbarred, Suspended, Resigned, or Involuntarily Inactive Member

- (A) For purposes of this rule:
- (1) “Employ” means to engage the services of another, including employees, agents, independent contractors and consultants, regardless of whether any compensation is paid;
 - (2) “Involuntarily inactive member” means a member who is ineligible to practice law as a result of action taken pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 6007, 6203(c), or California Rule of Court 9.31; and
 - (3) “Resigned member” means a member who has resigned from the State Bar while disciplinary charges are pending.
- (B) A member shall not employ, associate professionally with, or aid a person the member knows or reasonably should know is a disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive member to perform the following on behalf of the member’s client:
- (1) Render legal consultation or advice to the client;
 - (2) Appear on behalf of a client in any hearing or proceeding or before any judicial officer, arbitrator, mediator, court, public agency, referee, magistrate, commissioner, or hearing officer;
 - (3) Appear as a representative of the client at a deposition or other discovery matter;
 - (4) Negotiate or transact any matter for or on behalf of the client with third parties;
 - (5) Receive, disburse or otherwise handle the client’s funds; or
 - (6) Engage in activities which constitute the practice of law.

- (C) A member may employ, associate professionally with, or aid a disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive member to perform research, drafting or clerical activities, including but not limited to:
- (1) Legal work of a preparatory nature, such as legal research, the assemblage of data and other necessary information, drafting of pleadings, briefs, and other similar documents;
 - (2) Direct communication with the client or third parties regarding matters such as scheduling, billing, updates, confirmation of receipt or sending of correspondence and messages; or
 - (3) Accompanying an active member in attending a deposition or other discovery matter for the limited purpose of providing clerical assistance to the active member who will appear as the representative of the client.
- (D) Prior to or at the time of employing a person the member knows or reasonably should know is a disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive member, the member shall serve upon the State Bar written notice of the employment, including a full description of such person's current bar status. The written notice shall also list the activities prohibited in paragraph (B) and state that the disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive member will not perform such activities. The member shall serve similar written notice upon each client on whose specific matter such person will work, prior to or at the time of employing such person to work on the client's specific matter. The member shall obtain proof of service of the client's written notice and shall retain such proof and a true and correct copy of the client's written notice for two years following termination of the member's employment with the client.
- (E) A member may, without client or State Bar notification, employ a disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive member whose sole function is to perform office physical plant or equipment maintenance, courier or delivery services, catering, reception, typing or transcription, or other similar support activities.
- (F) Upon termination of the disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive member, the member shall promptly serve upon the State Bar written notice of the termination.

Discussion:

For discussion of the activities that constitute the practice of law, see *Farnham v. State Bar* (1976) 17 Cal.3d 605 [131 Cal.Rptr. 611]; *Bluestein v. State Bar* (1974) 13 Cal.3d 162 [118 Cal.Rptr. 175]; *Baron v. City of Los Angeles* (1970) 2 Cal.3d 535 [86 Cal.Rptr. 673]; *Crawford v. State Bar* (1960) 54 Cal.2d 659 [7 Cal.Rptr. 746]; *People v. Merchants Protective Corporation* (1922) 189 Cal. 531, 535 [209 P. 363]; *People v. Landlords Professional Services* (1989) 215 Cal.App.3d 1599 [264 Cal.Rptr. 548]; and *People v. Sipper* (1943) 61 Cal.App.2d Supp. 844 [142 P.2d 960].)

Paragraph (D) is not intended to prevent or discourage a member from fully discussing with the client the activities that will be performed by the disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive member on the client's matter. If a member's client is an organization, then the written notice required by paragraph (D) shall be served upon the highest authorized officer, employee, or constituent overseeing the particular engagement. (See rule 3-600).

Nothing in rule 1-311 shall be deemed to limit or preclude any activity engaged in pursuant to rules 9.40, 9.41, 9.42, and 9.44 of the California Rules of Court, or any local rule of a federal district court concerning admission *pro hac vice*.

II. FINAL VOTES BY THE COMMISSION AND THE BOARD

Commission:

Date of Vote: January 20 & 21, 2017

Action: Recommend Board Adoption of Proposed Rule 5.3.1 [1-311]

Vote: 14 (yes) – 1 (no) – 0 (abstain)

Board:

Date of Vote: March 10, 2017

Action: Board Adoption of Proposed Rule 5.3.1 [1-311]

Vote: X (yes) – X (no) – X (abstain))

III. COMMISSION'S PROPOSED RULE 5.3.1 [1-311] (CLEAN)

Rule 5.3.1 [1-311] Employment of Disbarred, Suspended, Resigned, or Involuntarily Inactive Lawyer

(a) For purposes of this Rule:

- (1) "Employ" means to engage the services of another, including employees, agents, independent contractors and consultants, regardless of whether any compensation is paid;
- (2) "Member" means a member of the State Bar of California.
- (3) "Involuntarily inactive member" means a member who is ineligible to practice law as a result of action taken pursuant to Business and Professions Code §§ 6007, 6203(d)(1), or California Rule of Court 9.31(d).
- (4) "Resigned member" means a member who has resigned from the State Bar while disciplinary charges are pending.
- (5) "Ineligible person" means a member whose current status with the State Bar of California is disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive.

- (b) A lawyer shall not employ, associate in practice with, or assist a person* the lawyer knows* or reasonably should know* is an ineligible person to perform the following on behalf of the lawyer's client:
 - (1) Render legal consultation or advice to the client;
 - (2) Appear on behalf of a client in any hearing or proceeding or before any judicial officer, arbitrator, mediator, court, public agency, referee, magistrate, commissioner, or hearing officer;
 - (3) Appear as a representative of the client at a deposition or other discovery matter;
 - (4) Negotiate or transact any matter for or on behalf of the client with third parties;
 - (5) Receive, disburse or otherwise handle the client's funds; or
 - (6) Engage in activities that constitute the practice of law.
- (c) A lawyer may employ, associate in practice with, or assist an ineligible person to perform research, drafting or clerical activities, including but not limited to:
 - (1) Legal work of a preparatory nature, such as legal research, the assemblage of data and other necessary information, drafting of pleadings, briefs, and other similar documents;
 - (2) Direct communication with the client or third parties regarding matters such as scheduling, billing, updates, confirmation of receipt or sending of correspondence and messages; or
 - (3) Accompanying an active lawyer in attending a deposition or other discovery matter for the limited purpose of providing clerical assistance to the active lawyer who will appear as the representative of the client.
- (d) Prior to or at the time of employing, associating in practice with, or assisting a person* the lawyer knows* or reasonably should know* is an ineligible person, the lawyer shall serve upon the State Bar written* notice of the employment, including a full description of such person's current bar status. The written* notice shall also list the activities prohibited in paragraph (b) and state that the ineligible person will not perform such activities. The lawyer shall serve similar written* notice upon each client on whose specific matter such person* will work, prior to or at the time of employing, associating with, or assisting such person* to work on the client's specific matter. The lawyer shall obtain proof of service of the client's written* notice and shall retain such proof and a true and correct copy of the client's written* notice for two years following termination of the lawyer's employment by the client.

- (e) A lawyer may, without client or State Bar notification, employ, associate in practice with, or assist an ineligible person whose sole function is to perform office physical plant or equipment maintenance, courier or delivery services, catering, reception, typing or transcription, or other similar support activities.
- (f) When the lawyer no longer employs, associates in practice with, or assists the ineligible person, the lawyer shall promptly serve upon the State Bar written* notice of the termination.

Comment

If the client is an organization, the lawyer shall serve the notice required by paragraph (d) on its highest authorized officer, employee, or constituent overseeing the particular engagement. (See Rule 1.13.)

IV. COMMISSION'S PROPOSED RULE 5.3.1 [1-311] (REDLINE TO CURRENT CALIFORNIA RULE 1-311)

Rule 5.3.1 [1-311] Employment of Disbarred, Suspended, Resigned, or Involuntarily Inactive ~~Member~~Lawyer

(Aa) For purposes of this ~~rule~~Rule:

- (1) "Employ" means to engage the services of another, including employees, agents, independent contractors and consultants, regardless of whether any compensation is paid;

(2) "Member" means a member of the State Bar of California.

- ~~(23)~~ "Involuntarily inactive member" means a member who is ineligible to practice law as a result of action taken pursuant to Business and Professions Code ~~sections~~§§ 6007, 6203(~~ed~~(1)), or California Rule of Court 9.31~~;~~ and (d).

- ~~(34)~~ "Resigned member" means a member who has resigned from the State Bar while disciplinary charges are pending.

(5) "Ineligible person" means a member whose current status with the State Bar of California is disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive.

- (Bb) A ~~member~~lawyer shall not employ, associate ~~professionally~~in practice with, or ~~aid~~assist a person* the ~~member~~lawyer knows* or reasonably should know* is ~~a~~an ~~disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive member~~ineligible person to perform the following on behalf of the ~~member's~~lawyer's client:

- (1) Render legal consultation or advice to the client;

- (2) Appear on behalf of a client in any hearing or proceeding or before any judicial officer, arbitrator, mediator, court, public agency, referee, magistrate, commissioner, or hearing officer;
 - (3) Appear as a representative of the client at a deposition or other discovery matter;
 - (4) Negotiate or transact any matter for or on behalf of the client with third parties;
 - (5) Receive, disburse or otherwise handle the client's funds; or
 - (6) Engage in activities ~~which~~that constitute the practice of law.
- (Cc) A ~~member~~lawyer may employ, associate ~~professionally with, or aid a disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive member~~in practice with, or assist an ineligible person to perform research, drafting or clerical activities, including but not limited to:
- (1) Legal work of a preparatory nature, such as legal research, the assemblage of data and other necessary information, drafting of pleadings, briefs, and other similar documents;
 - (2) Direct communication with the client or third parties regarding matters such as scheduling, billing, updates, confirmation of receipt or sending of correspondence and messages; or
 - (3) Accompanying an active ~~member~~lawyer in attending a deposition or other discovery matter for the limited purpose of providing clerical assistance to the active ~~member~~lawyer who will appear as the representative of the client.
- (Dd) Prior to or at the time of employing, associating in practice with, or assisting a person* the ~~member~~lawyer knows* or reasonably should know* is ~~an disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive member, the member~~ineligible person, the lawyer shall serve upon the State Bar written* notice of the employment, including a full description of such person's current bar status. The written* notice shall also list the activities prohibited in paragraph (bB) and state that the ~~disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive member~~ineligible person will not perform such activities. The ~~member~~lawyer shall serve similar written* notice upon each client on whose specific matter such person* will work, prior to or at the time of employing, associating with, or assisting such person* to work on the client's specific matter. The ~~member~~lawyer shall obtain proof of service of the client's written* notice and shall retain such proof and a true and correct copy of the client's written* notice for two years following termination of the ~~member's~~lawyer's employment ~~with~~by the client.

- (Ee) A ~~member~~lawyer may, without client or State Bar notification, employ ~~a disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive member,~~ associate in practice with, or assist an ineligible person whose sole function is to perform office physical plant or equipment maintenance, courier or delivery services, catering, reception, typing or transcription, or other similar support activities.
- (Ff) ~~Upon termination of the disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive member, the member~~When the lawyer no longer employs, associates in practice with, or assists the ineligible, the lawyer shall promptly serve upon the State Bar written* notice of the termination.

DiscussionComment

~~For discussion of the activities that constitute the practice of law, see *Farnham v. State Bar* (1976) 17 Cal.3d 605 [131 Cal.Rptr. 611]; *Bluestein v. State Bar* (1974) 13 Cal.3d 162 [118 Cal.Rptr. 175]; *Baron v. City of Los Angeles* (1970) 2 Cal.3d 535 [86 Cal.Rptr. 673]; *Crawford v. State Bar* (1960) 54 Cal.2d 659 [7 Cal.Rptr. 746]; *People v. Merchants Protective Corporation* (1922) 189 Cal. 531, 535 [209 P. 363]; *People v. Landlords Professional Services* (1989) 215 Cal.App.3d 1599 [264 Cal.Rptr. 548]; and *People v. Sipper* (1943) 61 Cal.App.2d Supp. 844 [142 P.2d 960].)~~

~~Paragraph (D) is not intended to prevent or discourage a member from fully discussing with the client the activities that will be performed by the disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive member on the client's matter. If a member's client~~If the client is an organization, ~~then the written~~lawyer shall serve the notice required by paragraph (Dd) ~~shall be served upon the~~ on its highest authorized officer, employee, or constituent overseeing the particular engagement. (See ~~rule~~Rule 3-6001.13.)

~~Nothing in rule 1-311 shall be deemed to limit or preclude any activity engaged in pursuant to rules 9.40, 9.41, 9.42, and 9.44 of the California Rules of Court, or any local rule of a federal district court concerning admission *pro hac vice*.~~

V. RULE HISTORY

The rule was originally conceived and recommended by the State Bar's Board Committee on Discipline in 1993. Rule 1-311 is intended to address public protection and disciplinary enforcement concerns by (1) preventing a licensed member from acting as a screen behind which a former member could continue practicing law, (2) disclosing to the client the former member's role in the client's matter, (3) delineating the kinds of activities in which an employed but former member is permitted to engage, and (4) requiring notice to the State Bar of the former member's employment and termination.

Rule 1-311 regulates the employing/associating attorney, rather than the disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive lawyer. This is because the State Bar does not have disciplinary jurisdiction over former members who have been disbarred or who have resigned from the State Bar. As such, the rule only imposes duties on the employing lawyer and not the employed, disciplined lawyer and does not address, regulate or limit the activities in which a disbarred, suspended, resigned, or voluntarily

inactive lawyer, acting alone, might engage. (See “Request that the Supreme Court of California Approve Proposed Rule 1-311 of the Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of California and Memorandum and Supporting Documents in Explanation,” December 1995, at pages 2-3.)

Current rule 1-311 became operative on August 1, 1996. It was amended in 2008 but those amendments were non-substantive changes that updated the cross-references to several renumbered California Rules of Court contained in the rule.

VI. OCTC / STATE BAR COURT COMMENTS

- **Gregory Dresser, Office of Chief Trial Counsel, 9/27/2016**
(In response to 90-day public comment circulation):

1. OCTC supports this rule and its Comments.

- **State Bar Court:** No comments were received from State Bar Court.

VII. PUBLIC COMMENTS & PUBLIC HEARING TESTIMONY

One comment was received which disagreed with the proposed rule. A public comment synopsis table, with the Commission’s responses to each comment, is provided at the end of this report..

VIII. RELATED CALIFORNIA LAW AND ABA MODEL RULE ADOPTIONS

There is no corresponding ABA model rule. Several states have related rules, sometimes in the Rules of Professional Responsibility and sometimes in Bar rules or other contexts.

Three states have adopted a rule of professional conduct similar to current rule 1-311 in that they require the employing lawyer to provide notice when employing a suspended or disbarred lawyer: Colorado, Maryland, and Minnesota. Alaska incorporates a bar rule that similarly requires an employing lawyer to serve upon the Alaska Bar Association written notice of the employment of a disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive lawyer.

Seven states prohibit suspended or disbarred lawyers from working in law-related activities: Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Massachusetts, New Jersey, South Carolina, and Washington.

Nine states partially restrict the work of suspended or disbarred lawyers in law-related activities in their rules of professional conduct. For example, Georgia and Hawaii prohibit a suspended or disbarred lawyer from contacting another lawyer’s clients “either in person, by telephone or in writing.” (See, Georgia Rule of Professional Conduct 5.3(d) (Responsibilities Regarding Nonlawyer Assistants); and Hawaii Rule of Professional Conduct 5.5(c) (Unauthorized Practice of Law). Other states in this

category are Florida, Louisiana, New Mexico, North Carolina, Virginia, Washington, and Wyoming.)

Finally, thirty states have no rule or regulation addressing law-related activities of disbarred, suspended, resigned or involuntarily inactive lawyers.

IX. CONCEPTS ACCEPTED/REJECTED; CHANGES IN DUTIES; NON-SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES; ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

A. Concepts Accepted (Pros and Cons):

1. No substantive changes to the rule are recommended. The recommended changes to the rule proper and the deletion of current Discussion text are not intended to be substantive changes to the current rule or changes a lawyer's duties under the current rule. The changes are intended to clarify and streamline the existing rule.

B. Concepts Rejected (Pros and Cons):

1. Specify whether notice to State Bar of hiring is available to the public or not.
 - Pros: More information available to the public about disbarred, suspended, resigned or involuntarily inactive lawyers.
 - Cons: Not a disciplinary issue and therefore not appropriate for Rules of Professional Conduct.
 - Status of such lawyers is already available on the website;
 - Any affected clients are given notice by the hiring lawyer;
2. Require disbarred, suspended, resigned or involuntarily inactive lawyer to give notice of employment to the State Bar, either along with or in addition to hiring lawyer giving such notice.
 - Pros: State Bar has more information about such lawyers.
 - Cons: Notice of employment is already given by the hiring lawyer.
 - Gathering additional data, which is possibly useful to the State Bar, is not a disciplinary issue and therefore inclusion of requirement is not appropriate for Rules of Professional Conduct.

This section identifies concepts the Commission considered before the rule was circulated for public comment. Other concepts considered by the Commission, together with the Commission's reasons for not recommending their inclusion in the rule, can be found in the Public Comment Synopsis Tables.

C. Changes in Duties/Substantive Changes to the Current Rule:

1. No changes in duties/substantive changes are recommended to the current rule.

D. Non-Substantive Changes to the Current Rule:

1. Proposed paragraph (a)(3) – Code cites corrected.
2. Throughout the rule, substitute the phrase “associate in practice” for “associate professionally with” and substitute “assist” for “aid.”
3. Add to the proposed rule a definition of “member” that is the same as the existing definition of “member” in current Rule 1-100(B)(2).
4. Delete all of the current rule Discussion except for the current language that clarifies a hiring lawyer’s obligation to give notice to a client when the client is an organization
5. Various conforming language changes to implement the use of the terms, “associate in practice with” and “~~restricted lawyer~~ineligible person.”
6. Current rule draft uses the term “ineligible person” as opposed to “~~restricted lawyer~~ineligible person.”

E. Alternatives Considered:

1. The Commission considered but rejected the concept of expanding the scope of the rule to include the same or similar restrictions on members with regard to disbarred, suspended, resigned or involuntarily inactive lawyers *from non-California jurisdictions*.
 - o Pros: If the concerns for client protection require notice to clients of California ~~restricted~~lawyers who are ineligible to practice, similar concerns should require notice of the hiring of non-California disbarred or suspended lawyers.
 - o Cons: Both the research required of the hiring attorney and the enforcement requirements on the State Bar would be more extensive than the benefits would justify. Limiting the rule to California ~~restricted~~lawyers who are ineligible to practice keeps it within the scope of authority of the State Bar and the core focus of the rule.
2. The Commission considered but rejected the concept of recommending the retention of current Rule 1-311 without any revisions pursuant to OCTC’s recommendation. (See Section VI, above.)
 - o Pros: This rule is not controversial and there is no need to change it.
 - o Cons: The recommended rule makes no substantive changes to the rule. It merely updates it with clearer language to make compliance easier. It also eliminates unnecessary Comments.

3. The Commission considered but rejected the concept of using the term “restricted lawyer” rather than “ineligible person.”

o Pros: The term “restricted lawyer” denotes that activities as a lawyer are limited and controlled.

o Cons: The term “restricted lawyer” is at best a confusing misnomer and at worst a trap that might lead a law firm to improperly hold-out an ineligible person by using the word “lawyer.”

⊖

3.4. The Commission considered but rejected the concept of recommending the complete deletion all of current Rule 1-311. This concept was raised by a member of the Commission. (For the pros and cons of this proposal, see below for the dissent/minority statement and the Commission’s response to that statement.)

X. RECOMMENDATION AND PROPOSED COMMISSION RESOLUTION

Recommendation:

The Commission recommends adoption of proposed Rule 5.3.1 [1-311] in the form attached to this Report and Recommendation.

Proposed Resolution:

RESOLVED: That the Board of Trustees adopt proposed Rule 5.3.1 [1-311] in the form attached to this Report and Recommendation.

**Commission Member Dissent to the Recommended Adoption
of Proposed Rule 5.3.1, Submitted by Daniel E. Eaton**

I believe that Rule 1-311, dealing with the employment of disempowered attorneys by members of the Bar, should be dropped from the revised Rules of Professional Conduct. The one piece of the rule worth saving should be moved to Rule 1-300. Keeping the rule retains an unnecessary non-conformity with the professional rules in effect in the preponderance of the states. Lawyers who employ disempowered attorneys don't need it to know how such sidelined members of the Bar may be engaged. State Bar prosecutors don't need it to be able to pursue discipline for employing attorneys who assist disempowered practice attorneys in practicing law. And disempowered attorneys don't need a rule not even directed at them to know what they may and may not do while they are sidelined. I respectfully dissent in principle from the Commission's retention of 1-311.

"The Rules of Professional Conduct are intended not only to establish ethical standards of members of the bar, but also designed to protect the members of the public." (*Ames v. State Bar* (1973) 8 Cal.3d 910, 917, citations omitted, rejecting disciplined attorney's contention that consent of client or the fairness of an attorney-client transaction rendered professional conduct rule regulating such a transaction in operative.) The first principle of this Commission's Charter from the State Bar Board of Trustees captures that declaration: "The Commission's work should promote confidence in the legal profession and the administration of justice, and ensure adequate protection of the public." (Commission Charter, Principle 1.)

Principle 3 of the Commission's Charter directs the analysis of whether a particular existing Rule should be revised and, if so, how: "The Commission should begin with the current Rules and focus on revisions that (a) are necessary to address changes in law and (b) eliminate, when and if appropriate, **unnecessary** differences between California's rules and the rules used by a preponderance of the states (in some cases in reliance on the American Bar Association's Model Rules) in order to promote a national standard with respect to professional responsibility issues **whenever possible**." (Emphasis added.)

Rule of Professional Conduct 1-311 is entitled "Employment of Disbarred, Suspended, Resigned, or Involuntarily Inactive Member." It was adopted by the California Supreme Court in 1996 over the dissent of Justice Joyce Kennard. The Rule has six subparts. Paragraph (A) defines the terms "employ," "involuntarily inactive member," and "resigned member." Paragraph (B), the core of the Rule, sets out six tasks the employing member of the Bar may not employ a disempowered attorney to do on behalf of the employing member's clients. Subparagraph 6 of this paragraph has the catchall prohibition on employing such an attorney to "[e]ngage in activities which constitute the practice of law." Paragraph (C) identifies three non-exhaustive types of "research, drafting or clerical activities" the employing attorney may employ a disempowered lawyer to do. Paragraph (D) requires the employing attorney to serve a written notice of the employment of the disbarred attorney on the State Bar, listing the prohibited activities in paragraph (B) and confirming that the disempowered attorney is not being employed to perform any of those activities. Paragraph (D) also requires the employing attorney to serve a similar written notice on each client on whose matter the disempowered attorney will work before or at the time the disempowered attorney begins to work on the client's matter and further requires the employing attorney to retain that notice for two years with proof that it was served. Paragraph (E) expressly allows the employing attorney, without notifying clients or the Bar, to hire the disempowered attorney exclusively to do such support services as typing,

catering, reception, and maintenance. Paragraph (F) requires the employing member to notify the Bar when the services of the disempowered attorney are terminated.

The substance of Rule 1-311 is not found in the ABA Model Rules and is not found in the professional rules of 46 other states. The continued presence of Rule 1-311 in the California Rules of Professional Conduct is an unnecessary non-conformity with the rules used by the preponderance of the states. The essence of the Rule would remain in Business and Professions Code § 6133: “Any attorney or any law firm, partnership, corporation, or association employing an attorney who has resigned, or who is under actual suspension from the practice of law, or is disbarred, shall not permit that attorney to practice law or so advertise or hold himself or herself out as practicing law and shall supervise him or her in any other assigned duties. A willful violation of this section constitutes a cause for discipline.” This provision was enacted in 1988. It captures all of paragraph (B) of the existing rule. Indeed, by requiring the employing attorney to supervise the disempowered attorney in the latter’s assigned duties, § 6133 appropriately goes beyond what is required by Rule 1-311. It is not clear that the continued presence of this Rule, with a limited exception addressed below, adds anything to the ability of the State Bar to prosecute those who would employ a disempowered attorney to practice law. And yet there it is.

Paragraph (B) is not necessary to tell the disempowered attorney and an attorney who would employ him what he may do. It is useful to repeat that Rule 1-311 is not directed at the disempowered attorney at all, only to the attorney who would employ him or her. Even without this Rule, the law is clear for both employer and employee that a disempowered attorney may not in any way, shape, or form practice law or be employed to do so. Period. Subparagraphs 1-5 of Paragraph (B) add nothing to subparagraph 6, which in turn adds nothing to Rule 1-300. Subparts 1-5 may confuse the practitioner seeking guidance, who may understandably assume that the activities listed in those subparts comprise some special category of activities that are not quite the practice of law prohibited by subpart 6. What it means to “practice law” has been ably handled by the courts, including the State Bar Review Department. (See e.g., *Birbrower, Montalbano, Condon & Frank v. Superior Court* (1998) 17 Cal.4th 119, 128 (collecting cases); *Farnham v. State Bar* (1976) 17 Cal.3d 605; *Estate of Condon v. McHenry* (1998) 65 Cal.App.4th 1138, 1142-1143.) That is where those looking for guidance on this question, both the disempowered attorney and the one who would employ him or her, should turn, not the Rules of Professional Conduct.

It may be argued that Paragraphs (C) and (E) are still important because they guide the employing attorney in assigning the disempowered attorney appropriate tasks and thereby encourage the rehabilitation of the disempowered attorney. There are at least two responses to that argument.

First, it should be self-evident that not all roads to vocational redemption for the disempowered lawyer lead through a law office. For one thing, seven states prohibit suspended or disbarred lawyers from engaging in any law-related activities, a bar that presumably does not preclude those lawyers’ rehabilitation through other means. There are other ways for a disempowered lawyer to carry the heavy burden of demonstrating the “exemplary” behavior “over a meaningful period of time” required for reinstatement. (*In re Gossage* (2000) 23 Cal.4th 1080, 1097.) That is why any defense of this Rule on the ground that its elimination would make the disempowered lawyer altogether unemployable makes no sense. The omission of these provisions would not even make the disempowered lawyer less employable since anyone at all may perform the tasks that are listed in Paragraphs (C) and (E), and there is nothing in the Rules that says that a disempowered lawyer may not be employed by an active lawyer at all.

Second, a disciplinary rule, the violation of which may lead to punishment of the employing attorney, is an odd place to set out a purported rehabilitating mechanism that gives no positive incentive to the employing attorney to help the wayward, sidelined attorney. In any event are the Rules of Professional Conduct, given their purpose, really the place to advance even such a noble end?

All of that said, I would not discard Rule 1-311 in its entirety. The requirement that the employing attorney provide contemporaneous written notice to clients on whose matters the disempowered is being engaged to work serves the purpose of these Rules to protect the public, especially the public consisting of clients. The same could be said I suppose of a rule requiring written notice to a client of anyone convicted of criminal fraud to work on their matters. I would transfer this part of the Rule to Rule 1-300 (A), addressing the unauthorized practice of law.

Rule 1-300 (A) reads: "A member shall not aid any person or entity in the unauthorized practice of law." One of three other states that have such a rule, Colorado, places the substance of the current Rule 1-311 under its rule prohibiting an attorney to assist others in the unauthorized practice of law. (See, Colorado Rule 5.5.) Rule 5.5 also is the ABA Rule addressing the unauthorized practice of law. Annotations under Rule 5.5. as it has been adopted in other states deal with the same kind of conduct as addressed in Rule 1-311. See e.g., *Ky. Bar Ass'n v. Unnamed Attorney* (Ky. 2006) 191 S.W.3d 640 (Lawyer disciplined for employing suspended lawyer and telling clients that employee was not practicing law for "health" and other reasons.) I would make the client notification provision of Rule 1-311 new Paragraph (B) of Rule 1-300 and make what is now Paragraph 1-300(B) a new Paragraph 1-300(C).

But that is the only part of Rule 1-311 that I would keep. The Commission learned from the Office of Chief Trial Counsel that lawyers who have employed disempowered attorneys have filed over 1,000 written notices of having done so with the State Bar under this Rule. Impressive, but what ethical purpose does that really serve? Violation of the written notice provision gives the Bar an additional ground to punish a lawyer who has assisted a disempowered attorney in the practice of law. But the employing attorney is subject to discipline for that under Rule 1-300 anyway. And what of the lawyer who employs a disempowered attorney to perform non-legal tasks without serving the written notice with the Bar? In that case, violation of the notice furnishes a unique ground to seek discipline of the unwary employing lawyer. In my view, the provision requiring written notice to the Bar gives rise to what is essentially either redundant discipline or it is a trap for the unwary. Either way, it should go.

Yes, we start with the Rules as they exist, but our mandate goes beyond that. I regret that we have missed a rare opportunity to eliminate an unnecessary non-conformity with the rules prevailing in the vast majority of the states. I respectfully dissent.

**Proposed Rule 5.3.1 [1-311] Employment of Disbarred, Suspended,
Resigned, or Involuntarily Inactive Lawyer
Synopsis of Public Comments**

TOTAL = 1 **A = 0**
D = 1
M = 0
NI = 0

No.	Commenter/Signatory	Comment on Behalf of Group?	A/D/M/NI ¹	Rule Section or Cmt.	Comment	RRC Response
Y-2016-26	Birkley, Dain (dated 11/18/16; received 01/17/17)	No	D		<p>All but paragraph (b) of Rule 5.3.1 should be deleted. The remaining provisions are redundant and superfluous.</p> <p>The written notice requirement to each client in paragraph (d) “positively and instantly ends job possibilities.” If the law firm is of substantial size, with hundreds of clients, the costs associated with drafting a letter for each client, postage, collecting and obtaining proofs of service, and record management is too prohibitive for the firm.</p> <p>Over and above the penalty that a restricted attorney has paid in loss of freedom and assets, Rule 5.3.1(d) effectively renders restricted attorneys unemployable and robs them of their right to earn a living for life. Rule 5.3.1(d) is not just.</p>	<p>The proposed rule carries forward, without any substantive changes, the policy of current rule 1-311 by imposing duties on an attorney employing, or professionally associating with, a lawyer who is not entitled to practice. These duties include the requirement in paragraph (d). The notice to the State Bar ensures that the Bar can provide oversight. The notice to client ensures greater transparency by giving the client an opportunity to object to the restricted lawyer working on his or her case. The Commission concluded that having this rule serves a valuable public protection benefit as well as provides an opportunity for the restricted lawyer to work in a law office (within the parameters established by the rule) and to assist with his or her rehabilitation and potential reinstatement to active status. The rule is tailored to provide that opportunity.</p>

¹ A = AGREE with proposed Rule

D = DISAGREE with proposed Rule

M = AGREE ONLY IF MODIFIED

NI = NOT INDICATED

