

**COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL NOMINEES EVALUATION**  
**Statistical Report**  
**2014-2016**

Presented in this report are the ratings of the Commission on Judicial Nominees Evaluation (JNE Commission) and the appointments by the Governor in response to those ratings for the years 2014-2016. The data for the years 2014 and 2015 are included for the purpose of comparison and to demonstrate a greater overview of ratings and appointments. Please be advised that the data comparing the three years may provide an inaccurate picture of appointments due to candidates who are evaluated in one year and may be appointed to the bench in a later year.

Please note that the five tables incorporate data from the statewide demographic report that the JNE Commission released on or before March 1<sup>st</sup> 2016 under California Government Code Section 12011.5(n).

The JNE Commission rates candidates for judicial appointment as Exceptionally Well Qualified (EWQ), Well Qualified (WQ), Qualified (Q), and Not Qualified (NQ).

	Page No.
1. Total Judicial Evaluations, 2014-2016.....	2
2. Judicial Appointments by Rating, 2014-2016 .....	3
3. Judicial Evaluations by County, 2014-2016 .....	4
4. Evaluations by District, 2014-2016 .....	6
5. Commissioner Workloads and Budgetary Statistics, 2014-2016.....	6

# 1. Total Judicial Evaluations - 2014-2016

Year	EWQ	Percent	WQ	Percent	Q	Percent	NQ	Percent	Withdrawn / Elected	Percent	Total
2014	21	12.43	68	40.24	64	37.87	12	7.10	4	2.37	169
2015	20	11.56	74	42.77	61	35.26	15	8.67	3	1.73	173
2016	30	16	80	42	65	34	8	4	8	4	191
Total	71	13.32	222	41.65	190	35.65	35	6.57	15	2.81	533

## Number of Evaluations

Year	EWQ	WQ	Q	NQ
2014	21	68	64	12
2015	20	74	61	15
2016	30	80	65	8

The JNE Commission rates candidates for judicial appointment as Exceptionally Well Qualified (EWQ), Well Qualified (WQ), Qualified (Q), and Not Qualified (NQ).

## 2. Judicial Appointments by Rating - 2014 – 2016

Year	EWQ	Percent	WQ	Percent	Q	Percent	NQ	Percent	Total
2014	11	16.42%	37	55.22%	19	28.35%	0	0	67
2015	13	24.53%	22	41.51%	18	33.96%	0	0	53
2016	2	12	8	47	7	41	0	0	17
Total	26	18.98	67	48.90	44	32.12	0	0	137

### Number of Appointments

Year	EWQ	WQ	Q	NQ
2014	11	37	19	0
2015	13	22	18	0
2016	2	8	7	0

The JNE Commission rates candidates for judicial appointment as Exceptionally Well Qualified (EWQ), Well Qualified (WQ), Qualified (Q), and Not Qualified (NQ).

### 3. Evaluations by County/District – 2014-2016

Evaluations by County/District – 2014-2016					
County	District	2014	2015	2016	Total
Alameda	1	12	11	8	31
Alpine	3	0	3	0	3
Amador	3	0	0	0	0
Butte	3	0	3	1	4
Calaveras	3	0	0	0	0
Colusa	3	0	0	1	1
Contra Costa	1	6	4	3	13
Del Norte	1	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	3	0	1	4	5
Fresno	5	0	3	0	3
Glenn	3	0	1	0	1
Humboldt	1	0	0	5	5
Imperial	4	0	0	2	2
Inyo	4	0	0	0	0
Kern	5	4	2	4	10
Kings	5	0	0	1	1
Lake	1	0	0	1	1
Lassen	3	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	2	41	54	43	138
Madera	5	0	1	0	1
Marin	1	6	2	0	8
Mariposa	5	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	1	0	0	0	0
Merced	5	1	1	0	2
Modoc	3	0	0	0	0
Mono	3	0	0	0	0
Monterey	6	0	0	1	1
Napa	1	0	0	0	0
Nevada	3	0	0	2	2
Orange	4	13	12	13	38
Placer	3	1	2	0	3

<b>Evaluations by County/District – 2014-2016</b>					
<b>County</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Plumas</b>	3	0	0	0	0
<b>Riverside</b>	4	7	2	6	15
<b>Sacramento</b>	3	3	5	5	13
<b>San Benito</b>	6	0	0	0	0
<b>San Bernardino</b>	4	7	7	9	23
<b>San Diego</b>	4	10	4	9	23
<b>San Francisco</b>	1	11	7	8	26
<b>San Joaquin</b>	3	0	5	2	7
<b>San Luis Obispo</b>	2	0	3	4	7
<b>San Mateo</b>	1	1	4	1	6
<b>Santa Barbara</b>	2	2	4	1	7
<b>Santa Clara</b>	6	9	4	6	19
<b>Santa Cruz</b>	6	0	1	4	5
<b>Shasta</b>	3	0	0	7	7
<b>Sierra</b>	3	0	0	2	2
<b>Siskiyou</b>	3	0	1	1	2
<b>Solano</b>	1	1	8	5	14
<b>Sonoma</b>	1	0	0	1	1
<b>Stanislaus</b>	5	3	1	3	7
<b>Sutter</b>	3	1	1	2	4
<b>Tehama</b>	3	0	0	2	2
<b>Trinity</b>	3	1	0	1	2
<b>Tulare</b>	5	6	1	5	12
<b>Tuolumne</b>	5	0	2	0	2
<b>Ventura</b>	2	2	5	2	9
<b>Yolo</b>	3	2	1	2	5
<b>Yuba</b>	3	1	0	1	2
<b>Supreme Court</b>	n/a	2	0	0	2
<b>Court of Appeal</b>	n/a	16	7	13	36
<b>TOTAL</b>	n/a	169	173	191	533

#### 4. Judicial Evaluations by District 2014-2016

District No.	2014	2015	2016
1	37	36	32
2	45	66	50
3	9	23	33
4	37	25	39
5	14	11	13
6	9	5	11
Supreme Court	2	0	0
Appellate Court	16	7	13
Total	169	173	191

#### 5. Commissioner Workloads and Budgetary Statistics

##### Meeting Days/Assignments 2014-2016

Year	Commissioners	Meeting Days	Evaluations	Total Assignments	Assignments Per Commissioner
2014	37	15	169	407	11
2015	35	12	174	385	11
2016	34	13	191	437	13

##### Expenses for Travel, Postage, and Printing/Outside Services 2014-2016

Year	Travel Expense	Postage	Printing/Outside Services
2014	\$196,270	\$14,312	\$2,835
2015	\$157,471	\$9,228	\$1,809
2016	\$164,353	\$7,640	\$1,281

##### Budget Used 2014-2016

Year	Budget Used
2014	\$802,387
2015	\$856,744
2016	\$678,714