



The State Bar of California

Task Force on Access
of Legal Services – Subcommittee on
Unauthorized Practice of Law
and Artificial Intelligence

ATILS Agenda Item C.1.d.
UPL-AI Agenda Item B.4.
05-13-19 Meeting

To: Subcommittee on Unauthorized Practice of Law and Artificial Intelligence
From: Abhijeet Chavan
Date: May 8, 2019
Re: B.4. Recommendation: If an entity is permitted to practice law using technology, then the nature of the technology used should not be limited or restrained by any concept or definition of “artificial intelligence”

Section V(D)(1) of the UPL/AI Report

Here are discussion points for the meeting for recommendation V D 1

1. AI is a rapidly evolving field without a specific definition or delineation.
2. AI is often used as an umbrella/placeholder term in common usage further blurring its meaning.
3. AI-driven systems may also incorporate human input or judgement.
4. Defining AI for the recommendations could lead to unclear applicability as new technologies emerge.

I would like to discuss with the group their thoughts on this and potential downsides if any.