

**Panel One: Recent Developments in Legal Ethics and Professional Conduct–  
What You Need to Know  
(S. Banola, B. Krueger, J. Fields)  
55 Responses**

**Overall Teaching Effectiveness: 4.45**

**Effectiveness of Teaching Methods: 4.38**

**Significant Current Intellectual or Practical Content: 4.51**

**Comments regarding particular speakers or the panel as a whole are welcome here:**

Great speakers and content.

the diversity of industry panel members was great

This panel provided a helpful detailed overview of recent developments.

Thank you. For having me in the session..c

This presentation needed more examples for direction in avoiding pitfalls.

Well prepared. Thorough.

Topic is very complicated. Panel did a great job explaining conflict of interest. I would definitely seek clarification from the state bar if confronted with possible conflict

Very good materials and presentations.

I think the presentation was great. It did a deep dive into interesting topics.

Great event - instructive, thoughtful and well-doing. I really enjoyed this panel and learned a lot.

They were all excellent

Would have been helpful to have had written materials ahead of time.

I thought all the seminars were well presented and informative.

The entire panel was very informative and the online setting was extremely convenient/cost effective.

**Panel Two: Implicit Bias Mitigation Tactics in the Business and Practice of Law**  
**(H. Starr, G. Gillett, G. Hamilton)**  
**58 Responses**

**Overall Teaching Effectiveness: 4.26**

**Effectiveness of Teaching Methods: 4.27**

**Significant Current Intellectual or Practical Content : 4.34**

**Comments regarding particular speakers or the panel as a whole are welcome here:**

The topic is timely.

great to see real examples

The slides that the speakers (G. Gillett and G. Hamilton) used helped me in understanding the material that was being presented.

Thank you for giving me the time

Competent.

Very interesting concept regarding editing reports and public statements to avoid racial or other bias

Presenters (thank you for time) did not appear convinced of value of race neutral policies. It would also have been helpful to hear directly from members of the Bench as to what is being done and their perspectives.

This was an excellent seminar and it opened my eyes to many things. The younger members on the panels were great.

These panelists were disorganized and appeared to be unprepared. G. Gillett seemed more prepared than the other panelists. Although the information was somewhat interesting, none of the information presented was useful to my practice. Also, for a panel discussing implicit bias, there should have been a woman on the panel.

Better than the typical bias CLE courses. Thoughtful.

Mr. Gillett was the most impressive speaker of the day. Try to secure him for other presentations.

Panel did not really seem very prepared and it was a bit too "on the fly".

This panel was purely performative and downright dangerous. The State Bar owes a duty to the public and its members to vet programs such as the touting equity and inclusion from an agency with a horrendous track record such as the Yolo DA. If the Bar believes that these programs are actually creating equity in the law, then you are truly tone def. This is indicative of how much the Bar continues to operate within the privileged bubble.

They were all excellent

Would have been helpful to have had written materials ahead of time.

Hello, I would like to see more speakers that are POC. As a POC, I believe it's important for messages about implicit bias to come from people who are affected most. Thank you.

**Panel Three: Ethics Perspectives on the Girardi Affair**  
**(B. Krueger, G. Cardona, D. Carr, S. Moawad, E. Pansky)**  
**51 Responses**

**Overall Teaching Effectiveness: 4.24**

**Effectiveness of Teaching Methods: 4.16**

**Significant Current Intellectual or Practical Content: 4.25**

**Comments regarding particular speakers or the panel as a whole are welcome here:**

Great speakers

Disappointing that nothing really was said how the Girardi affair happened at the bar. No apology from the bar to its members.

The State Bar website is so depressing not to mention that it looks like a 9th grader designed it. The news alerts on the Public page of the site only list negative news about attorneys. I am a member of the bar in MI. Take a look at their Public page.

The State bar buys a building in one of the most expensive cities. What about the State Bar's duties to be a good steward of the members' dues.

This panel provided clear explanations about the Girardi affair and about CTAPP.

Really enjoyed this presentation.

Assumed audience knew the particulars of Girardi- not context provided by way of introduction

Long rambling speakers

No takeaways, lessons and current action

This is such an embarrassment for the state bar. I was pleased to hear of remedial efforts and investigations. I was also enlightened by attorneys on the panel that represent malpractice cases

Presentation was disappointing. It continues to "whitewash" the whole matter.

The Girardi was something else. I do think that the pass that Girardi received may or may not be reason for more severe punishment against vulnerable communities. The Girardis and the Robert Shapiros of the world get preferential treatment due to their power and influence.

This was the most useful panel of the day. I really enjoyed this presentation.

Please leave the chat on so we can engage and respond directly to the presentation.

This section was beyond disappointing. They barely talked about how Girardi... This was just more of the same why he was able to get away with stealing from people while the state bar

took no action for decades. I did not get an ethics perspective on the girardi affair. Mr. Carr was the most interesting. All of the speakers are excellent attorneys, but they gave a very poor program.

Would have been helpful to have had written materials ahead of time. information was too voluminous. should have been distilled. Just a "cut and paste" is not worthy of your/my time.

yeah try that link Yep and then you're gonna click uh place in this document and you see the bookmark um there should be another one well you created one called something either one but it could even go to a section apparently headings if you wanted to do it that way but you would just basically start creating all these bookmarks and anytime you want to link instead of linking to a URL you will link to the bookmark do you see what I'm saying but for some reason insert you also apparently linked to hennings so this is the bookmark for team maybe the S one that you made above did not save yeah but that's how you were gonna link it so even if we went back up to the top you're going tograpple with the real issues nor did they take tough questions from the audience. And starting by suggesting that the speakers rightly had "halos" behind them was beyond offensive.

This section was very informative and interesting.

DID NOT ATTEND THIS SESSION

**Panel Four: Chatbots, Robots and AI, Oh My:  
Ethics and the Use of New Technologies in the Practice of Law  
(K. Bacon, N. Mutura, D. Ravi, R. Ross)  
54 Responses**

**Overall Teaching Effectiveness: 4.26**

**Effectiveness of Teaching Methods: 4.20**

**Significant Current Intellectual or Practical Content: 4.34**

**Comments regarding particular speakers or the panel as a whole are welcome here:**

Thought provoking professional responsibility issues with AI.

Waste of our time. Speakers and moderator need to decide what each will talk about. There was a lot of repetition. Moderator should be more knowledgeable on new technologies. One quick demo of software would have been good such as Dragon Naturally Speaking. We tested Dragon in the 1990s. Took too long to "train" back then. Or software for organizing discovery, etc. Software that organizes phone text messages would be great to talk about.

This panel provided a great overview of the use of AI in the practice of law and how it impacts every area of practice. However, more specific examples could have been used to explain and clarify the material, because some people may not have a thorough understanding of AI.

Thank you .

It would have been more helpful if each of the areas would have been defined and explained with examples for those of us unfamiliar with the topic - I.e. what is a chat bot and what are some ways it is being used. I

Interesting.

Coprac facilitator was not well prepared and didnt focus the session  
Panelists were knowledgeable; unfortunately, the depth/application to law profession & ethics were not explored

The panel was well informed. However, it was apparent the moderator had no insight into technological challenges. The AI issue is a daunting one

Panel was excellent, particularly D Ravi.

Interesting presentation.

Ms. Ross did a great job as a last minute substitute.

Very interesting and raised lots of related issues that one wouldn't normally think about.  
Best session of the day.

Please leave the chat on so we can engage and respond directly to the presentation.

They were all excellent

Would have been helpful to have had written materials ahead of time.

I guess there is not really much of significance to discuss in this area as of now. Thank you for trying.

The moderator did not seem to be paying attention to the speakers and asked questions the speakers had already talked about. He was also an older gentleman who did not know how to work zoom. I think for a presentation on chatbots, robots and AI, it would be nice to have someone that is experienced with these issues.

There has been no comprehensive development of chatbot, robots, and AI in the legal society because the technologies are still being developed.

## General Comments

### Suggested Topics for Future Symposiums

Gender Bias and how to work with clients of different genders. AI for legal writing.

How long are we required to keep client hard copy files or electronic files?

If atty refers someone to real estate agent and the agent is hired, can the attorney accept a referral fee from agent? Are attorneys required to keep audio voice mail messages/text messages from clients or 3rd parties on a case as part of the client file?

Perhaps future symposium topics could include the ethical duties of attorneys when discussing high profile cases with the public, and more on the ethical duties of attorneys when using new technologies in practice.

trust accounting

When you do a "Special Appearance" and the attorney who sent you did not give you ALL the info. needed...

This symposium was great! I enjoyed the variety of speakers, the thoughtfulness of the program and the delivery method. I would definitely attend next year.

Really appreciated that you chose topics that were not only needed to receive certain MCLE, but were also very timely given what is happening today in our state, our country and the world. Keep your finger on the pulse like this and your future events will be as successful. Great job by the organizers as well as the panelists! Thank you.

Access to justice

human trafficking

quick course on trust accounting. I write one check a quarter. Why do all the accounting each and every month. seems silly.

More speakers who are POC, and younger moderator for a discussion on AI.

### To what extent were your personal objectives satisfied: 4.29

#### Comments:

Although I thought it included 1 hours of Implicit Bias credit. There is no link to the free program on the State Bar website.

I was able to learn about recent developments in ethics.

It was great.

lots not rally relevant to me. I did receive hours in the "odd bal" categoriss required by law.



**To what extent did the online webcast contribute to the learning experience: 4.46**

**Comments:**

The speakers spoke clearly, and I could clearly see the slides that were presented.

The program greatly exceeded my expectations.

I prefer webcasts to in person events.

webcast is an amazing learning opportunity where participants are afforded the opportunity to directly engage with the content.

yes.

The online setting made it easy to tune in and listen - had this been an in-person event I would not have been able to attend. I hope there are more online events such as this one offered.

Comfortable/No drive

**To what extent did the materials contribute to the learning experience: 4.15**

**Comments:**

The materials were detailed and helpful.

Have not gone through them yet.

It might have been nice if the materials could have been available during the presentation or after each presentation, but providing them a few days later is fine too.

Would have been helpful to have had written materials ahead of time.

**To what extent were the objectives stated in the promotional literature or those stated at the beginning of the activity satisfied: 4.39**

**Comments:**

The descriptions of the panels in the promotional literature were mostly accurate.

I think they were satisfied. The trust account materials was instructive. The rationale for not allowing attorneys to deposit a say a cushion of say \$500 is a little specious. It is asking a lot to have an attorney balance the account precisely on a real time basis. Maybe the State Bar should sponsor legislation to allow this. It seems like there is a cottage industry evolving for the sole purpose of counting nickles and pennies to ensure compliance.

I would like to have heard more about the Girardi case

The panelists clearly laid out the schedule at the outset. There was also a schedule in the written materials.

**To what extent did the activity contain significant current intellectual or practical content: 4.33**

**Comments:**

The activity contained a great amount of significant current intellectual and practical content.

Could been more information about the topic.

I was greatly impressed about the discussion about the contingent fee agreements which purport to give the attorney an off-ramp. It is not fair clients.