



# The State Bar of California

---

## **OPEN SESSION**

### **AGENDA ITEM 5.3**

**NOVEMBER 2023**

### **LEGAL SERVICES TRUST FUND COMMISSION ELIGIBILITY & BUDGET REVIEW COMMITTEE**

**DATE:** November 3, 2023

**TO:** Members, Legal Services Trust Fund Commission Eligibility and Budget Review Committee

**FROM:** Erica Carroll, Lead Program Analyst, Office of Access & Inclusion

**SUBJECT:** Action on 2024 IOLTA/EAF Budget-Related Issues and Recommend Approval of 2024 IOLTA/EAF Budget Proposals to Legal Services Trust Fund Commission (LSTFC)

---

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Each year the State Bar, through the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission (LSTFC), administers the Interest on Lawyers' Trust Accounts (IOLTA) and Equal Access Fund (EAF) grants. As part of the grant administration process, the LSTFC reviews and approves budget proposals submitted by organizations found eligible for funding in the next grant cycle. In 2024 the State Bar will distribute approximately \$95.3 million in IOLTA funds and \$31.7 million in EAF formula funds.

After completing the IOLTA/EAF eligibility review process, the LSTFC approved 110 organizations for tentative award allocations on August 10, 2023. The State Bar's Office of Access & Inclusion (OA&I) calculated proposed award allocations as prescribed by statute and notified programs of the amount of their allocation on August 23, 2023. Qualified legal service providers (QLSPs) and support centers (SCs) had 30 days to submit their proposed budgets detailing how they would spend the allocated amount.

This memorandum provides a synopsis of the budget review process and issues elevated for the Eligibility and Budget Review Committee. After discussing and resolving any issues raised, staff recommends that the committee recommend approval of all proposed budgets to the LSTFC.

## **BACKGROUND**

### **GOVERNING AUTHORITIES AND OFFICE PRACTICE**

Section 6216 of the Business and Professions Code prescribes the method for allocating IOLTA funds to QLSPs and SCs. EAF was created by the State Budget Act, and that act further specifies that 90 percent of the funds will be distributed by the State Bar in the same manner as the IOLTA funds. Though the process is the same for determining award allocations and distribution, IOLTA and EAF remain distinct funding sources. QLSPs and SCs must submit separate budgets for each grant. Moreover, EAF requires funding to be tied to an identified set of activities with discrete deliverables and outcomes for evaluation purposes, as explained in the budget instructions for both types of grantees.

Once the State Bar releases tentative allocations, State Bar Rule 3.680(E)(3) requires that QLSPs and SCs prepare and submit a proposed budget and budget narrative within 30 days. This timeline is essential to ensure review and approval prior to circulation of grant agreements before the end of the year. This, in turn, allows for the timely execution of grant agreements and first quarter disbursement of funds in January. Staff has some discretion to accept late submissions within one business day of the deadline, but any submissions later than that—or that are substantially incomplete—are referred to the committee.

Longstanding office practice requires grant recipients to allocate at least 75 percent of funds to programs and 25 percent or less to administrative expenses. Grant recipients are also required to allocate 75 percent or more of the budget to personnel and 25 percent or less to nonpersonnel expenses. This has been the practice for at least the past eight years. There is a similar requirement that employee benefits amount to no more than 40 percent as compared to compensation. Deviation from any of these amounts requires committee approval.

Business and Professions Code section 6218 requires QLSPs to budget IOLTA/EAF funds “solely to defray the costs of providing legal services to indigent persons or for such other purposes” as permitted by statute (e.g., to fund a loan repayment assistance program administered by the California Access to Justice Commission). That same code section requires support centers to ensure that IOLTA/EAF “only be used to provide services to qualified legal services projects as defined” by statute. Budgeting for activities that may not qualify as legal services or legal support services under the Business and Professions Code and Rules of the State Bar requires committee review, as do purchases of real property or significant property-related capital additions, which are controlled by the Legal Services Trust Fund Program Guidelines for Purchases of Real Property.

### **2024 BUDGETING CHANGES**

In addition to the standard budgeting requirements above, several new aspects to the 2024 IOLTA/EAF budget proposals are highlighted below:

## **IOLTA Multiyear Spending**

Compared to the current year distribution, the 2024 IOLTA distribution has almost doubled. With approximately \$95.3 million in IOLTA funds scheduled for disbursement in 2024, the LSTFC voted in August 2023 to allow grantees a period of up to four years to spend their 2024 IOLTA allocation (if they elect to do so). This represented a significant shift; historically, grant funds had to be spent in the year awarded or receive approval to carry forward into the next grant cycle, typically for a maximum of six months.<sup>1</sup> In order to facilitate reporting and monitor spending over this extended period, grantees were required to complete a budget form with their anticipated spending on a yearly basis.

## **Loan Repayment Assistance Program (LRAP) Expenditures**

LRAPs are designed to cover all or a portion of a person's outstanding educational loans to lessen the financial burden of repayment. They take many forms (e.g., some are taxable, some are not; some are sponsored by educational institutions and others by employers, etc.). Many legal aid organizations either already administer, or are interested in starting, an LRAP due to their utility as a recruitment and retention tool.

Historically, IOLTA and EAF funds have been available to support LRAPs for grantee staff, as long as those funds are proportionate to the amount of qualifying work performed by benefitted staff under the statute and rules. A recent statutory change amended Business and Professions Code section 6219(b) to create a separate LRAP administered by the California Access to Justice Commission. This provision limits the LRAP to attorneys and requires those receiving LRAP funds to perform some, but not necessarily all, qualifying work.

Due to the differences between this program and the use of IOLTA and/or EAF for other LRAPs, the LSTFC formalized a set of guidelines in August 2023 to provide appropriate guidance to staff and grantees with this set of budget proposals. Separate line items specific to LRAP were also added to the budget forms this year. Finally, questions were added to the forms to collect data regarding the types of LRAPs funded with IOLTA and EAF monies, as well as the number of employees receiving these benefits and their staffing classifications. This will allow for greater analysis of the impact of this use of funds over time.

## **Change to Definition of "Civil Legal Services"**

On July 1, 2023, changes to the Rules of the State Bar went into effect as a result of the LSTFC's ongoing codification process. This included a revision and expansion of the definitions of "civil" and "legal services." (Amended State Bar Rule 3.672.) For many years, the LSTFC has considered activities that were supportive of a legal outcome, even if not performed by an attorney, to be within the scope of legal services. However, as this was not formally codified, each instance of budgeting for this work (e.g., funding social work staff activities) was previously elevated to the committee for review. Staff and grantees now have specific guidance pursuant to the rule

---

<sup>1</sup> The only other example of multiyear spending was the 2022 EAF distribution where grantees were permitted two years to spend the award. However, a four-year spending period for IOLTA is unprecedented.

change. As such, only proposals that appear to deviate from the new definition will be raised for committee discussion.

## **STAFF BUDGET REVIEW PROCESS AND LSTFC REVIEW**

Budget proposals for the 2024 IOLTA/EAF grant allocations were due on Friday, September 22, 2023, at 5:00 p.m.

Staff reviewed proposed budgets to confirm compliance with the governing authorities. Budget review involved, but was not limited to, checking proper calculation of full-time staff equivalents and salaries; use of funds for qualifying activities only; proper allocations by county for programs that operate in multiple counties; compliance with the recommended ratio of programmatic to administrative expenses and personnel to nonpersonnel expenses; any purchases of real property or capital additions; and ensuring that EAF expenditures are tied to anticipated activities and outcomes. If questions arose during review, staff contacted the organizations for clarification or correction and provided the opportunity to revise the budgets where necessary.

Staff completed review of all budgets. The Discussion section highlights issues that require committee review. The committee will discuss staff recommendations and vote whether to recommend approval or revision of the submitted budgets to the LSTFC. The LSTFC will then meet on November 9, 2023, to vote on the committee's recommendations.

## **DISCUSSION**

The following questions arose in one or more budget proposals and require committee review:

### **DEVIATIONS FROM RECOMMENDED EXPENSE RATIOS**

Budget proposal instructions recommend that grantees devote 75 percent or more of the allocated funds to program expenses and no more than 25 percent to administrative expenses (similarly, 75 percent or more to personnel expenses and 25 percent or less to nonpersonnel), which has been the standard target allocation for the past several years. Staff also flags any budgets that allocate more than 40 percent of their IOLTA/EAF funds dedicated to employee benefits over salaries. Staff elevates all budgets that deviate from these allocations to the committee for review and approval or revision.<sup>2</sup>

The recommended expense ratios reflect the fact that these grants are devoted to provision of civil legal services to indigent persons for the grant period. Staff informed QLSPs and SCs that departures from the proposed expense ratios require grantees to explain their rationale for the use of the funds. They must also demonstrate how the increased allocation to administrative and/or nonpersonnel expenses would support their programmatic work and ultimately benefit clients and improve or expand service delivery.

---

<sup>2</sup> There were no budget proposals that deviated from the recommended expense ratios regarding benefits as compared to compensation this year.

Knowing that the large increase to 2024 IOLTA funds might present a challenge to spending the entirety of the grant award, the LSTFC discussed the possibility that grantees may need or want to utilize funds in a way that diverges from these outlined norms. While the committee did not formally revise the recommended expense ratios, staff was mindful of examples previously discussed as reasonable, such as infrastructure spending and staffing challenges (e.g., hiring temporary staff to fill gaps left by vacant permanent roles) when reviewing the budgets.

### **Deviation from Personnel/Nonpersonnel Allocations**

Three organizations deviated from the recommended budget allocation of at least 75 percent to personnel in their budget proposals:

| Organization                          | Grant | Personnel Percentage | Nonpersonnel Percentage |
|---------------------------------------|-------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Center for Gender and Refugee Studies | IOLTA | 63.86%               | 36.14%                  |
| Greater Bakersfield Legal Assistance  | IOLTA | 63.95%               | 36.05%                  |
| Los Angeles County Bar Association    | EAF   | 54.34%               | 45.66%                  |
| Western Center on Law and Poverty     | EAF   | 66.23%               | 33.77%                  |

The first three organizations cited infrastructure costs and/or contract services as the primary reason(s) for the deviation. In the IOLTA/EAF budget forms, “contract service to clients” falls under nonpersonnel expenses, as do most technology costs. Because these organizations provided a reasonable explanation for the deviation and how it will support ongoing civil legal services to indigent persons, staff recommends approving these deviations. (See Attachment A for the organizations’ narrative explanations taken from their budget proposals.)

Western Center on Law and Poverty did not provide an explanation for the deviation. Staff is seeking more information before providing a recommendation.

### **Deviation from Program/Administration Allocations**

One organization deviated from the recommended budget allocation of at least 75 percent to program expenses in its budget proposal:

| Organization                             | Grant | Personnel Percentage | Nonpersonnel Percentage |
|--|-------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Family Violence Appellate Project (FVAP) | IOLTA | 73.20%               | 26.80%                  |

FVAP intends to invest in its administrative staffing and infrastructure with the increased IOLTA funding in order to ensure organizational sustainability and strengthen ongoing regulatory and

grant compliance. (See Attachment A for the narrative explanation.) Staff recommends approval.

### **LATE AND/OR SUBSTANTIALLY INCOMPLETE BUDGET PROPOSALS**

Staff released budget forms to 110 organization, and 101 organizations submitted their budgets by the deadline of September 22 at 5:00 p.m. Due to a recent rule change, State Bar staff has discretion to accept budget materials submitted within one business day of the deadline. (Amended State Bar Rule 3.680(F).)

All nine organizations with late submissions delivered their budget materials within that timeframe. Staff accepted six of the late submissions, most of whom reached out very shortly after the deadline reporting issues with submission (e.g., the organization tried to submit but encountered a validation error and was locked out by the time they were able to reattempt submission). However, three are referred for committee review:

1. Asian Pacific Islander Legal Outreach (APILO)
2. Coalition of California Welfare Rights Organizations (CCWRO)
3. Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights (LCCR)

Staff contacted APILO on September 25 (the first business day after the deadline) regarding its draft budget. APILO had not notified staff regarding any failed attempts at submission or need for extension. The proposal submitted on September 25 was substantially incomplete and required significant revisions. The necessary revisions were also late, received a week after the date by which staff had requested updates. Though the organization submitted within one business day of the budget deadline, due to the above concerns, as well as similar experiences during the application review process, staff declined to exercise its discretion in this instance, instead referring this to the committee for review.

CCWRO contacted staff shortly after the 5:00 p.m. budget deadline on September 22, stating it had experienced a "tech issue," causing the organization to miss the deadline. After staff reopened the budget record, CCWRO submitted promptly. However, staff review revealed a number of areas for correction and revision. Typically, staff would have accepted this late submission, but given the recent eligibility review conference regarding this organization's grant compliance, staff is elevating this late submission to ensure the committee remains apprised of the organization's adherence to the requirements of the contingency plan that was put in place.

LCCR reached out shortly after the deadline to ask for an extension to submit the budget. Staff replied indicating that the desired extension date was not within staff's discretion and would ultimately require committee review. LCCR then submitted within the one-day window of staff's discretion. However, upon review, substantial portions of the budget proposal were left incomplete (e.g., the entirety of the IOLTA budget and narrative), preventing staff from performing a comprehensive review. Upon further discussion, the organization reported that it experienced significant turnover of late and was short-staffed in terms of team members who

were well-versed regarding the IOLTA requirements. Since allowing for revisions, however, the proposal is now complete.

Notwithstanding the above concerns, staff recommends that the committee accept all three of these late budget proposals. Staff does not recommend imposing any conditions on LCCR as there appeared to be extenuating circumstances and this is not a pattern for the organization. However, staff believes it may be appropriate to consider conditions in the case of APILO and/or CCWRO. Staff will continue to document late submissions in order to report ongoing patterns to the committee for future discussion and response, as appropriate.

### **CAPITAL ADDITIONS AND NONQUALIFYING ACTIVITIES**

Staff did not encounter any budget proposals that planned to acquire real property using IOLTA/EAF funds this coming year, which would have required committee review. A number of proposals included capital additions for tangible personal property (e.g., sharing the cost of purchasing new furniture or laptops). Grant recipients were required to itemize these purchases in the budget. Current Guidelines for Management of Tangible Personal Property do not require prior approval beyond the overall budget approval. Grant recipients must, however, maintain proper financial documentation and produce it as necessary for monitoring purposes.

Staff also reviewed the budget proposals for possible nonqualifying activities (i.e., activities that would not constitute free civil legal services to indigent persons or legal support services to QLSPs). With the recent rule change to the definition of civil legal services, activities that staff would formerly have presented to the committee (e.g., social work positions that are part of the legal team and contribute to a legal outcome) are not being elevated for individual review as they now squarely fit within the revised definition. (Amended State Bar Rule 3.672.) Staff also followed up with organizations that submitted proposals with any questionable activities in order to clarify the nature of the work or revise the budget as necessary.

Consequently, there are no questions regarding capital additions or nonqualifying activities for the committee to resolve at this meeting.

### **APPROVAL OF 2024 IOLTA/EAF BUDGET PROPOSALS**

Staff is working with organizations to finalize any necessary changes and corrections to their budgets. After addressing the above questions, staff recommends that the committee recommend approval of all 110 budgets to the LSTFC.

### **FISCAL/PERSONNEL IMPACT**

None

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

**RESOLVED**, that the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission Eligibility and Budget Review Committee (Committee) accepts the three late budget proposals from Asian Pacific

Islander Legal Outreach, Coalition of California Welfare Rights Organizations, and Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights, and it is

**FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Committee approves the organizations with budget deviations from the recommended personnel to nonpersonnel and/or program to administration expense ratios, as reflected in the meeting materials, and it is

**FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Committee recommends to the LSTFC approval of all 2024 IOLTA/EAF budgets from organizations listed in the November 3, 2023, meeting materials, contingent upon satisfactory completion of any staff-requested corrections by the time of the LSTFC's November 9 meeting.

### **ATTACHMENT(S) LIST**

- A. Organizations with deviations from recommended expense ratios
- B. Organizations and 2024 IOLTA/EAF allocations recommended for budget approval



# Organizations with Personnel/Nonpersonnel or Program/Admin Expense Ratio Deviations

| Organization                          | Grant | Personnel Percentage | Nonpersonnel Percentage | Explanation  |
|---------------------------------------|-------|----------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Center for Gender and Refugee Studies | IOLTA | 63.86%               | 36.14%                  | As described in Form A, this coming year, we will need to pay for outside web developer time to support a one-time migration from Drupal 7 to Drupal 10 that amounts to a full rebuild of the backend of our asylum case database as well as the front-facing website. Because our database is complex, and houses several of our innovative technical assistance tools we make available to QLSPs -- the expense is significant (estimated at \$100,000 for the rebuild). We see this forced migration to Drupal 10 as having a silver lining: we intend to take this opportunity to clean up our data, streamline our intake forms, and make other needed improvements to the user-interface. Therefore, in addition to the cost to rebuild, we are also budgeting for additional web developer time (\$50,000) to improve on what we already built. We consider these one-time expenses an investment in our organizational infrastructure that makes us a valuable support center for QLSPs. |
| Greater Bakersfield Legal Assistance  | IOLTA | 63.95%               | 36.05%                  | IT services are outsourced to an independent third party vendor with a full-time technician on site costing \$6,500 per month or \$78,000 per year.  |
| Los Angeles County Bar Association    | EAF   | 54.34%               | 45.66%                  | A non-employee attorney is contracted to coordinate Pro-bono activities. \$27,600 is allocated for this attorney's services, which decreases Personnel salaries. Personnel Salary \$47,655 + Non Employee Attorney \$27,600 = \$75,255. for 85.61%.  |

# Organizations with Personnel/Nonpersonnel or Program/Admin Expense Ratio Deviations

| Organization                                   | Grant | Program Percentage | Admin Percentage | Explanation   |
|--|-------|--------------------|------------------|---|
| Family Violence<br>Appellate Project<br>(FVAP) | IOLTA | 73.20%             | 26.80%           | FVAP is intentionally investing in infrastructure staffing in 2024 and 2025 to meet the organization's needs after 11 years of exceptional growth and expansion in legal capacity. Infrastructure staffing includes a new Human Resources Coordinator position that will start in 2024 to support our existing Deputy Director and Office Manager. This new position will handle many of the people-related processes of the organization such as recruiting, hiring, benefits administration, and working with the Deputy Director to ensure compliance with all regulatory and grant requirements. FVAP's hope is that this will free up resources within the organization to more strategically address the organization's operational needs, strengthen the backbone of the organization, and streamline legacy processes that prove to be unnecessarily time consuming. This level of staffing will bring the organization to a new level of maturity. |

# 2024 IOLTA/EAF Grantees and Allocations

ATTACHMENT B

| #  | Funding Opportunity | Organization  | IOLTA Grant Allocation | EAF Grant Allocation |
|----|---------------------|---|------------------------|----------------------|
| 1  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus                        | \$1,459,810            | \$483,374            |
| 2  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Affordable Housing Advocates                                | \$23,410               | \$7,785              |
| 3  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Aids Legal Referral Panel                                   | \$53,631               | \$17,793             |
| 4  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Al Otro Lado, Inc.  | \$702,659              | \$234,273            |
| 5  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Alameda County Homeless Action Center                       | \$405,553              | \$135,864            |
| 6  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Alliance for Children's Rights                              | \$1,261,663            | \$417,491            |
| 7  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Asian Americans Advancing Justice Southern California       | \$1,278,226            | \$422,967            |
| 8  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Asian Pacific Islander Legal Outreach                       | \$308,244              | \$102,062            |
| 9  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Bay Area Legal Aid  | \$1,468,318            | \$487,984            |
| 10 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Bet Tzedek Legal Services                                   | \$2,814,720            | \$931,409            |
| 11 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | California Advocates for Nursing Home Reform                | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 12 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | California Collaborative for Immigrant Justice              | \$337,210              | \$111,570            |
| 13 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | California Indian Legal Services                            | \$573,494              | \$190,006            |
| 14 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation                | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 15 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | California Rural Legal Assistance, Inc.                     | \$6,839,138            | \$2,277,591          |
| 16 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | California Women's Law Center                               | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 17 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Capital Pro Bono Inc.                                       | \$249,002              | \$82,406             |
| 18 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Casa Cornelia Law Center                                    | \$771,850              | \$256,537            |
| 19 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | Center for Gender and Refugee Studies - California          | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 20 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | Center for Human Rights and Constitutional Law              | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 21 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Center for Immigrant Protection dba The LGBT Asylum Project | \$17,809               | \$5,911              |
| 22 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Center for Workers' Rights                                  | \$148,296              | \$49,086             |
| 23 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Central California Legal Services                           | \$2,820,210            | \$916,507            |
| 24 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Centro Legal de la Raza                                     | \$478,322              | \$159,428            |
| 25 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | Child Care Law Center                                       | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 26 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | Coalition of California Welfare Rights Organizations        | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 27 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Community Lawyers Inc.                                      | \$19,703               | \$6,522              |
| 28 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Community Legal Aid SoCal                                   | \$2,450,352            | \$810,747            |
| 29 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Community Legal Services in East Palo Alto                  | \$547,800              | \$181,780            |
| 30 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Contra Costa Senior Legal Services                          | \$135,490              | \$44,830             |
| 31 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Dependency Advocacy Center                                  | \$223,964              | \$74,102             |
| 32 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Disability Rights California                                | \$7,128,453            | \$2,372,187          |
| 33 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | Disability Rights Education and Defense Fund                | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 34 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Disability Rights Legal Center                              | \$352,505              | \$118,949            |
| 35 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | East Bay Community Law Center                               | \$406,173              | \$136,067            |

# 2024 IOLTA/EAF Grantees and Allocations

ATTACHMENT B

| #  | Funding Opportunity | Organization   | IOLTA Grant Allocation | EAF Grant Allocation |
|----|---------------------|--|------------------------|----------------------|
| 36 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Elder Law & Advocacy   | \$335,270              | \$111,316            |
| 37 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Elder Law and Disability Rights Center                             | \$92,180               | \$30,500             |
| 38 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Eviction Defense Collaborative                                     | \$266,920              | \$88,531             |
| 39 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | Family Violence Appellate Project                                  | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 40 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Family Violence Law Center   | \$86,181               | \$28,868             |
| 41 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Greater Bakersfield Legal Assistance                               | \$1,432,340            | \$473,900            |
| 42 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Harriett Buhai Center for Family Law                               | \$398,831              | \$131,978            |
| 43 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Housing and Economic Rights Advocates                              | \$383,154              | \$127,124            |
| 44 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Immigrant Defenders Law Center                                     | \$2,797,192            | \$938,336            |
| 45 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Immigrant Legal Defense  | \$1,102,901            | \$362,206            |
| 46 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | Immigrant Legal Resource Center                                    | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 47 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | Impact Fund  | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 48 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Inland Counties Legal Services                                     | \$5,089,880            | \$1,757,962          |
| 49 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Inland Empire Latino Lawyers Association, Inc.                     | \$171,290              | \$59,563             |
| 50 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Inner City Law Center  | \$1,956,639            | \$647,457            |
| 51 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Justice & Diversity Center of the Bar Association of San Francisco | \$306,470              | \$101,649            |
| 52 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | Justice in Aging   | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 53 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | La Raza Centro Legal   | \$51,682               | \$17,141             |
| 54 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | LACBA Counsel for Justice  | \$265,601              | \$87,895             |
| 55 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Law Foundation of Silicon Valley                                   | \$882,826              | \$292,098            |
| 56 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights                                | \$882,535              | \$292,838            |
| 57 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Learning Rights Law Center   | \$325,447              | \$108,395            |
| 58 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Legal Access Alameda   | \$155,651              | \$52,147             |
| 59 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Legal Aid at Work  | \$1,339,192            | \$441,614            |
| 60 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles                                | \$4,621,755            | \$1,529,362          |
| 61 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Legal Aid Foundation of Santa Barbara County                       | \$363,985              | \$120,030            |
| 62 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Legal Aid of Marin   | \$176,640              | \$58,440             |
| 63 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Legal Aid of Sonoma County   | \$430,670              | \$142,490            |
| 64 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Legal Aid Society of San Bernardino                                | \$1,126,210            | \$385,814            |
| 65 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Legal Aid Society of San Diego                                     | \$2,481,770            | \$824,871            |
| 66 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Legal Aid Society of San Mateo County                              | \$287,559              | \$95,703             |
| 67 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Legal Assistance for Seniors                                       | \$92,111               | \$30,863             |
| 68 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Legal Assistance to the Elderly                                    | \$96,939               | \$32,157             |
| 69 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Legal Services for Children  | \$151,578              | \$50,321             |
| 70 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | Legal Services for Prisoners with Children                         | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |

# 2024 IOLTA/EAF Grantees and Allocations

ATTACHMENT B

| #   | Funding Opportunity | Organization  | IOLTA Grant Allocation | EAF Grant Allocation |
|-----|---------------------|---|------------------------|----------------------|
| 71  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Legal Services for Seniors                          | \$216,630              | \$71,670             |
| 72  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Legal Services of Northern California               | \$3,295,324            | \$1,090,372          |
| 73  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Los Angeles Center for Law and Justice              | \$326,580              | \$108,071            |
| 74  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Loyola Marymount University                         | \$652,190              | \$215,812            |
| 75  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | McGeorge Community Legal Services                   | \$197,651              | \$65,408             |
| 76  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Mental Health Advocacy Services                     | \$292,615              | \$96,828             |
| 77  | IOLTA/EAF SC        | National Center for Youth Law                       | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 78  | IOLTA/EAF SC        | National Health Law Program                         | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 79  | IOLTA/EAF SC        | National Housing Law Project                        | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 80  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Neighborhood Legal Services                         | \$2,629,781            | \$870,201            |
| 81  | IOLTA/EAF SC        | OneJustice  | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 82  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Open Door Legal                                     | \$117,205              | \$38,872             |
| 83  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Prison Law Office                                   | \$810,546              | \$270,757            |
| 84  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Public Advocates Inc.                               | \$1,081,333            | \$360,862            |
| 85  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Public Counsel                                      | \$2,998,378            | \$992,177            |
| 86  | IOLTA/EAF SC        | Public Interest Law Project                         | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 87  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Public Law Center                                   | \$1,646,666            | \$544,813            |
| 88  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Q.Me Place, Inc.                                    | \$13,771               | \$4,560              |
| 89  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Riverside Legal Aid                                 | \$736,690              | \$257,028            |
| 90  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | San Diego Volunteer Lawyer Program                  | \$748,690              | \$248,841            |
| 91  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | San Joaquin College of Law                          | \$115,497              | \$37,523             |
| 92  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | San Luis Obispo Legal Assistance Foundation         | \$148,639              | \$50,175             |
| 93  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Santa Barbara County Immigrant Legal Defense Center | \$171,892              | \$57,066             |
| 94  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Santa Clara County Asian Law Alliance               | \$173,343              | \$57,352             |
| 95  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Santa Clara University Alexander Law Center         | \$97,082               | \$32,121             |
| 96  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Senior Adults Legal Assistance                      | \$64,781               | \$21,431             |
| 97  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Senior Advocacy Network                             | \$163,791              | \$55,449             |
| 98  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Senior Citizens Legal Services                      | \$156,878              | \$54,892             |
| 99  | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Social Justice Collaborative                        | \$408,776              | \$135,914            |
| 100 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Southern California Immigration Project             | \$70,900               | \$23,497             |
| 101 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | UC Davis School of Law Legal Clinics                | \$483,585              | \$160,030            |
| 102 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | UnCommon Law  | \$715,565              | \$237,453            |
| 103 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | USD School of Law Legal Clinics                     | \$431,620              | \$143,462            |
| 104 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Veterans Legal Institute                            | \$344,652              | \$114,047            |
| 105 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Wage Justice Center                                 | \$66,710               | \$22,076             |

# 2024 IOLTA/EAF Grantees and Allocations

ATTACHMENT B

| #   | Funding Opportunity | Organization                         | IOLTA Grant Allocation | EAF Grant Allocation |
|-----|---------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 106 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Watsonville Law Center               | \$186,399              | \$63,608             |
| 107 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | Western Center on Law and Poverty    | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 108 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | Worksafe, Inc.                       | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 109 | IOLTA/EAF SC        | Youth Law Center                     | \$680,188              | \$225,046            |
| 110 | IOLTA/EAF LSP       | Yuba-Sutter Legal Center for Seniors | \$63,121               | \$20,880             |